

A note on transforming PDEs to ODEs

M. Alghalith

*University of the West Indies, St Augustine
Trinidad and Tobago*

Received: 18 June 2015; Revised: 18 August 2015; Accepted: 18 August 2015

Published: 25 September 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we develop a simple and general method that transforms (nonlinear) PDEs to ODEs. We apply our method to the stochastic portfolio model.

Keywords: HJB PDEs; ODEs; portfolio; stochastic factor; investment.

This note overcomes major obstacles in the area of stochastics and mathematical finance. In so doing, it transforms a cumbersome partial differential equation PDE to an ordinary differential equation ODE. We apply our method to the portfolio model (the stochastic factor model).

We consider the function $V(x, y)$; it can be expressed as $V(\beta x, y)$, where β is a shift parameter with an initial value equal to one (see [Alghalith, 2008](#)). Define $g \equiv \beta x$; differentiating $V(g, y)$ with respect to β and x , respectively, yields

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\beta} &= V_g x, \\V_x &= V_g \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{V_x}{V_{\beta}} = \frac{\beta}{x} \Rightarrow V_x = \frac{\beta V_{\beta}}{x}. \quad (1)$$

The second order derivatives of $V(g, y)$ with respect to β and x , respectively, are

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta\beta} &= V_{gg}x^2, \\ V_{xx} &= V_{gg}\beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{V_{xx}}{V_{\beta\beta}} = \frac{\beta^2}{x^2} \Rightarrow V_{xx} = \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{x^2}. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, we can rewrite $V(x, y)$ as $V(x, \beta y)$. Define $f \equiv \beta y$; differentiating $V(x, f)$ with respect to β and y , respectively, yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta} &= V_f y, \\ V_y &= V_f \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$V_y = \frac{\beta V_{\beta}}{y}. \quad (3)$$

The second order derivatives of $V(x, f)$ with respect to β and y , respectively, are

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\beta\beta} &= V_{ff}y^2, \\ V_{yy} &= V_{ff}\beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{V_{yy}}{V_{\beta\beta}} = \frac{\beta^2}{y^2} \Rightarrow V_{yy} = \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{y^2}. \quad (4)$$

Differentiating (1) with respect to y yields

$$V_{xy} = \frac{\beta V_{\beta y}}{x} \Rightarrow V_{\beta y} = \frac{x V_{xy}}{\beta}. \quad (5)$$

Differentiating (3) with respect to y yields

$$V_{yy} = \frac{\beta[\beta y V_{\beta y} - V_{\beta}]}{y^2}. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (5) into (6), we obtain

$$V_{xy} = \frac{(y^2/\beta)V_{yy} + \beta V_{\beta}}{xy} = \frac{\beta(V_{\beta\beta} + V_{\beta})}{xy}. \quad (7)$$

Practical Example: The Portfolio Model

We provide a brief description of the portfolio model (Detemple, 2013; Alghalith, 2009; Castaneda-Leyva and Hernandez-Hernandez, 2006). Thus, we have a two-dimensional Brownian motion $\{(W_s^1, W_s^{(2)}), \mathcal{F}_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_s, P)$, where $\{\mathcal{F}_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$ is the augmentation of filtration. The risk-free asset price process is $S_0 = e^{\int_t^T r(Y_s) ds}$, where $r(Y_s) \in C_b^2(R)$ is the rate of return and Y_s is the economic factor.

The risky asset price process is given by

$$dS_s = S_s \{\mu(Y_s) ds + \sigma(Y_s) dW_s^1\}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mu(Y_s)$ and $\sigma(Y_s)$ are the rate of return and the volatility, respectively. The economic factor process is given by

$$dY_s = b(Y_s) ds + \rho dW_s^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_s^{(2)}, \quad Y_t = y, \quad (9)$$

where $|\rho| < 1$ is the correlation factor between the two Brownian motions and $b(Y_s) \in C^1(R)$ with a bounded derivative.

The wealth process is given by

$$X_T^\pi = x + \int_t^T \{r(Y_s) X_s^\pi + [\mu(Y_s) - r(Y_s)] \pi_s\} ds + \int_t^T \pi_s \sigma(Y_s) dW_s^1, \quad (10)$$

where x is the initial wealth, $\{\pi_s, \mathcal{F}_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$ is the portfolio process with $E \int_t^T \pi_s^2 ds < \infty$. The trading strategy $\pi_s \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)$ is admissible.

The investor's objective is to maximize the expected utility of terminal wealth

$$V(t, x, y) = \sup_{\pi} E[u(X_T) | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad (11)$$

where $V(\cdot)$ is the value function and $u(\cdot)$ is a continuous, bounded and strictly concave utility function.

The corresponding Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman PDE is (suppressing the notations)

$$V_t + rxV_x + bV_y + \frac{1}{2} V_{yy} + \sup_{\pi_t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \pi_t^2 \sigma^2 V_{xx} + \pi_t (\mu - r) V_x + \rho \sigma \pi_t V_{xy} \right\} = 0, \\ V(T, x, y) = u(x). \quad (12)$$

Thus, we obtain the following well-known HJB PDE

$$V_t + rxV_x + (\mu - r) \pi_t^* V_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \pi_t^{*2} V_{xx} + bV_y + \frac{1}{2} V_{yy} + \rho \sigma \pi_t^* V_{xy} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the asterisk denotes the optimal value. Substituting (1), (2), (4), (3) and (7) into (13) yields

$$V_t + r\beta V_\beta + (\mu - r)\pi_t^* \frac{\beta V_\beta}{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\pi_t^{*2} \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{x^2} + \frac{b\beta V_\beta}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{y^2} + \rho\sigma\pi_t^* \frac{\beta(V_{\beta\beta} + V_\beta)}{xy} = 0.$$

Using the above procedure, we can easily show that $V_t = \beta V_\beta/t$ and thus the above equation becomes

$$\frac{\beta V_\beta}{t} + r\beta V_\beta + (\mu - r)\pi_t^* \frac{\beta V_\beta}{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\pi_t^{*2} \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{x^2} + \frac{b\beta V_\beta}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^2 V_{\beta\beta}}{y^2} + \rho\sigma\pi_t^* \frac{\beta(V_{\beta\beta} + V_\beta)}{xy} = 0.$$

Setting β at its initial value, we obtain

$$\frac{V_\beta}{t} + rV_\beta + (\mu - r)\pi_t^* \frac{V_\beta}{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\pi_t^{*2} \frac{V_{\beta\beta}}{x^2} + \frac{bV_\beta}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_{\beta\beta}}{y^2} + \frac{\rho\sigma\pi_t^*(V_{\beta\beta} + V_\beta)}{xy} = 0.$$

The solution to the above equation is equivalent to solving an ODE.

References

- Alghalith, M (2008). Recent applications of theory of the firm under uncertainty, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 186, 443–450.
- Alghalith, M (2009). A new stochastic factor model: General explicit solutions, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 22, 1852–1854.
- Castaneda-Leyva, N and D Hernandez-Hernandez (2006). Optimal consumption-investment problems in incomplete markets with random coefficients, *44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 2005 and 2005 European Control Conference. CDC-ECC Apos*, Snowbird, Utah, pp. 6650–6655.
- Detemple, J (2013). Portfolio selection: A review, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 161, 1–121.