#### Problem 1

Consider a discrete-time model, where  $R_X(t)$  is the expected return at time t of a stock with price X(t), and  $R_M(t)$  is the expected return at time t of the market portfolio, with value M(t). In other terms:

$$R_X(t) = E_t \left[ \frac{X(t+1) - X(t)}{X(t)} \right]$$
  
 $R_M(t) = E_t \left[ \frac{M(t+1) - M(t)}{M(t)} \right]$ 

where  $E_t$  denotes expectation under the physical measure, conditional on all the information available at time t. We write  $\beta$  for the beta of the stock, and r for the risk-free rate of return. The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) shows that:

$$R_X(t) - r = \beta(R_M(t) - r)$$

- a) Suppose now that stock prices change continuously, and that r = 0. Assuming the CAPM holds, derive a system of two stochastic differential equations (SDE) for X(t) and M(t).
- b) Show that in this model, beta is equal to the covariance of the stock return with the market portfolio divided by the variance of the return of the market portfolio.
- c) Suppose that the volatility of both X(t) and M(t) can be estimated. Can we also estimate  $\beta$ ?
- d) Suppose that both the drift and volatility of X(t) and M(t) can be estimated. Can we also estimate  $\beta$ ?

For cases (c) and (d) you need to justify your answer.

# Problem 2

Let P(t,T) be the price at time t of a discount bond with maturity T. The time axis is divided in equal intervals of size  $\delta$ . We define N(t) as:

$$N(t) = P(t, k\delta)$$
 for  $(k-1)\delta < t < k\delta$ 

The rolling forward measure is the measure under which the ratio of any asset price divided by the numeraire N is a martingale. Let  $F_k(t)$  be the value at time t of the simply compounded forward rate on a loan with start date  $k\delta$  and maturity  $(k+1)\delta$ . In other terms:

$$1 + F_k(t)\delta = \frac{P(t, k\delta)}{P(t, (k+1)\delta)}$$

The forward rate is modelled as:

$$\frac{dF_k(t)}{F_k(t)} = \sum_{q=1}^p \zeta_{k,q} dW_q^{k\delta}(t)$$

where  $W_q^{k\delta}(t)$  are independent Brownian motions in the forward measure with tenor  $k\delta$ . Let  $W_q(t)$  be independent Brownian motions in the rolling forward measure.

a) Show that

$$\frac{dF_k(t)}{F_k(t)} = \sum_{i=m(t)}^{k} \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{p} \zeta_{i,q} \zeta_{k,q} \delta}{1 + F_i(t) \delta} dt + \sum_{q=1}^{p} \zeta_{k,q} dW_q(t)$$

In this expression  $m(t) = \{ \min n\delta | n\delta > t \}$ 

b) How would you calculate the price of an asset in this model?

#### Problem 3

The original two-factor Vasicek model for the risk-free interest rate r(t) is:

$$dX_1(t) = (a_1 - b_{11}X_1(t) - b_{12}X_2(t))dt + \sigma_1 dB_1(t)$$

$$dX_2(t) = (a_2 - b_{21}X_1(t) - b_{22}X_2(t))dt + \sigma_2 dB_2(t)$$

$$r(t) = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 X_1(t) + \varepsilon_2 X_2(t)$$

where  $B_1(t)$  and  $B_2(t)$  are Brownian motions with  $dB_1(t)dB_2(t) = \rho dt$ . This model has 12 parameters, but it has been showed that it can be rewritten in a canonical format with only 6 parameters, thus facilitating estimation. In a first step towards the canonical model, we define the semi-canonical Vasicek model:

$$\begin{split} d\bar{X}_1(t) &= (\theta_1 - \lambda_1 \bar{X}_1(t))dt + p_{11}\sigma_1 dB_1(t) \\ d\bar{X}_2(t) &= (\theta_1 - \kappa \bar{X}_1(t) - \lambda_2 \bar{X}_2(t))dt + p_{21}\sigma_1 dB_1(t) + p_{22}\sigma_2 dB_2(t) \\ R(t) &= \varepsilon_0 + \gamma_1 \bar{X}_1(t) + \gamma_2 \bar{X}_2(t) \end{split}$$

- a) Determine relations between the parameters of the original model and the semi-canonical model which ensure that r(t) and R(t) are the same processes.
- b) Define uncorrelated Brownian motions  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , and express the semi-canonical model as a function of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ .

### Problem 4

Consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}, \mathbb{P})$  that supports as many Brownian motions as you need. In each subproblem (a) to (d) you should construct a market model. In other terms, you should write equations for asset prices  $X_1(t)$  and  $X_2(t)$ , linking them (through coefficients that are not all zero) to Brownian motions.

- a) Construct a market model where there exists an arbitrage strategy.
- b) Construct a complete market model, where there does not exist an arbitrage strategy.
- c) Construct an incomplete market model with 3 Brownian motions.
- d) Construct an incomplete model with 2 Brownian motions.

### Problem 5

A security gives the right to all the dividends issued by a firm between period t and T. The price of this security at time t is denoted X(t), and the value of the cumulated dividends between 0 and t is D(t). Let r be the (constant) risk-free rate of return.

- a) Find a formula for X(t), and justify it.
- b) Let V(t) be the value at time t of the cumulated dividends between zero and t

$$V(t) = \exp(rt) \int_0^t e^{-r(t-s)} dD(s)$$

Show by mathematical means only and your result in (a) that  $\exp(-rt)(X(t) + V(t))$  is a martingale in the risk-neutral measure.

## Problem 6

Let W'(t) be white noise. Solve the stochastic differential equation:

$$X''(t) + 156X'(t) + 169 = W'(t)$$
  
 $X(0) = 1$   
 $X'(0) = 0$ 

What is  $E[X^2(t)]$ ?

## Problem 7

Let T and f be two differentiable functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let W be Brownian motion. We would like to define a stochastic integral, which we write

$$\int_0^b f(t)dW(T(t))$$

which would be a limit in some sense (when n goes to infinity) of

$$I(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\frac{ib}{n}) [W(T(\frac{(i+1)b}{n})) - W(T(\frac{ib}{n}))]$$

- a) How would you define such an integral ? What restriction should you impose on T?
- b) Let  $f(t) = t^4$  and  $T(t) = t^2$ . Calculate  $(\int_0^b f(t)dW(T(t)))^2$  as a function of b