

FYS4480 Quantum mechanics for many-particle systems

— Project 1 —

Erlend Lima, Lasse Lorentz Braseth and Halvard Sutterud

October 2018



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Theory	1
2.1	Second quantization	1
2.2	Wicks theorem	1
2.2.1	Generalized wicks theorem	1
2.3	Part a (to be renamed)	1
2.4	Part b (to be renamed)	2
3	Methods and implementation	2
4	Results	2
5	Discussion	2
6	Conclusions	2

1 Introduction

the normal-ordered products of every possible permutation of one to $N/2$ contractions of the same operators.

2 Theory

only need to consider the sum over normal products of all contractions between two and two operators.

2.1 Second quantization

We introduce the second quantization formalism to simplify the notation and calculations. Second quantization introduces creation and annihilation operators, which we will represent as a_p^\dagger and a_p respectively. They work on a slater determinant in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} a_p^\dagger |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle &= |p \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle \\ a_p |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle &= |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The a_p^\dagger thus has the effect of creating a particle in the state p , and a_p to remove a particle from the same state. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_p^\dagger |p \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle &= 0 \\ a_p |\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n\rangle &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the creation operator cannot create a particle in an occupied state (the Pauli Exclusion principle), and in the second case the annihilation operator cannot remove a particle not present (common sense).

2.2 Wicks theorem

Wicks theorem states that a product of N operators can be expressed as the normal-ordered product of the operators plus

2.2.1 Generalized wicks theorem

When using the particle-hole formalism, we need to give a small reformulation of wicks theorem. Main changes: We consider

2.3 Part a (to be renamed)

Our basis in the second quantization are slater determinants consisting of s-wave ($l = 0$) hydrogen like single particle functions $R_{n0}(r)$, given by

$$R_{n0}(r) = \left(\frac{2Z}{n}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)!}{2n(n!)}} L_{n-1}^1\left(\frac{2Zr}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{Zr}{n}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $L_{n-1}^1(r)$ are the so-called Laguerre polynomials. We then have a degeneracy for the states with quantum number n of 2, since we only consider s-waves.

We will be working with the helium atom. We define our single-particle Hilbert space to consist of the single-particle orbits $1s$, $2s$, and $3s$ with corresponding spin degeneracies, and consider that we will be working with a two-electron system. We will number the six possible single particle states $|nm_s\rangle$ as seen in table 1. The Fermi level is then defined to be $F = 2$. Our ansatz for the ground state $|c\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle$ of the helium atom is then two electrons placed in the ground state with $n = 1$, with opposite spin values, which we can then

represent as

$$|c\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^F a_i^\dagger |0\rangle = a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (2)$$

Assuming that the total spin is projection of the possible states is $M_S = 0$, the possible one-particle-one-hole excitations are $|\Phi_i^a\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_i |c\rangle$ in these combinations,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_1^3\rangle &= a_3^\dagger a_1 |c\rangle, & |\Phi_1^5\rangle &= a_5^\dagger a_1 |c\rangle \\ |\Phi_2^4\rangle &= a_4^\dagger a_2 |c\rangle, & |\Phi_2^6\rangle &= a_6^\dagger a_2 |c\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

while the possible two-particle-two-holes excitations are $|\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle = a_b^\dagger a_a^\dagger a_j a_i |c\rangle$ in the following combinations,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{12}^{34}\rangle &= a_4^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_2 a_1 |c\rangle, & |\Phi_{12}^{56}\rangle &= a_6^\dagger a_5^\dagger a_2 a_1 |c\rangle \\ |\Phi_{12}^{36}\rangle &= a_6^\dagger a_3^\dagger a_2 a_1 |c\rangle, & |\Phi_{12}^{45}\rangle &= a_5^\dagger a_4^\dagger a_2 a_1 |c\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Here \uparrow corresponds to magnetic spin quantum number $m_s = 1/2$, while \downarrow corresponds to $m_s = -1/2$.

index	1	2	3	4	5	6
state	$ 1\uparrow\rangle$	$ 1\downarrow\rangle$	$ 2\uparrow\rangle$	$ 2\downarrow\rangle$	$ 3\uparrow\rangle$	$ 3\downarrow\rangle$

2.4 Part b (to be renamed)

The Hamiltonian in a second-quantized form is given as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{pq} \langle p|\hat{h}|q\rangle a_p^\dagger a_q + \frac{1}{4} \sum p q r s \langle p q|\hat{v}|r s\rangle a_p^\dagger a_q^\dagger a_s a_r, \quad (3)$$

where the sums run both above and below the fermi surface. Splitting these sums give us the BLA BLA

Or maybe we should split it into non-interacting and interacting?

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{pq>F} \langle p|h_0|q\rangle \quad (4)$$

3 Methods and implementation

4 Results

5 Discussion

6 Conclusions