Modular Arithmetic: The Missing Parts

Ajay Ramesh

January 28th, 2017

1 Introduction

This guide is meant to serve as a supplement to Note 6 of CS70. It provides full proofs of statements made throughout the note, which I did not understand at first glance. If you stumbled upon this document by accident, may it provide you with a better intuition of certain properties of modular arithmetic.

2 Proofs

Theorem 2.1 $a \equiv_n b \Leftrightarrow n \mid (a - b)$

$$a = nk + r, 0 \le r < n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$b = nj + r, 0 \le r < n, j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Both a and b can be expressed as a multiple of n with the same remainder r, since they are congruent under mod n

$$a - b = (nk + r) - (nj + r)$$

$$= nk + r - nj - r$$

$$= nk - nj$$

$$= n(k - j) \Leftrightarrow n \mid (a - b)$$

Since $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}, k - j \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $n \mid (a - b)$

Theorem 2.2 $a \equiv_n b, c \equiv_n d \Leftrightarrow a + c \equiv_n b + d$

$$a = nk + r_1, 0 \le r_1 < n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$b = nj + r_1, 0 \le r_1 < n, j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$c = nq + r_2, 0 \le r_2 < n, q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$d = nz + r_2, 0 \le r_2 < n, z \in \mathbb{Z}$$

We are representing the congruences the same way as ${\bf 2.1}$ only with the added c and d.

$$a + c = (nk + r_1) + (nq + r_2)$$

$$b + d = (nj + r_1) + (nz + r_2)$$

$$[(nk + r_1) + (nq + r_2)] - [(nj + r_1) + (nz + r_2)]$$

$$(a + c) - (b + d) = n(k + q - j - z)$$

$$n \mid (a + c) - (b + d) \Leftrightarrow (a + c) \equiv_n (b + d)$$

Theorem 2.3 $a \equiv_n b, b \equiv_n d \Leftrightarrow n \mid ac \equiv_n bd$

Proved the same way as 2.2, but with multiplication instead of addition.

Theorem 2.4 x has a multiplicative inverse mod n iff gcd(n, x) = 1 We need to find $x^{-1} = a \pmod{n}$ for $xa \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, and for a to exist, gcd(x, n) = 1, let's see why. Recall **2.1** where we can say that $n \mid (xa - 1)$. We can use this fact to prove the above theorem.

$$n \mid (xa - 1)$$

$$xa - 1 = nk, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$xa = nk + 1$$

$$xa - nk = 1$$

$$\text{Let } \gcd(n, x) = c$$

$$c \mid (xa - nk) = 1$$

$$c \mid 1$$

$$c = 1$$

If $gcd(n, x) = c \neq 1$ then $x^{-1} = a$ cannot exist.

Theorem 2.5 If a mod inverse exists, it is unique.

Let $xa_1 \equiv_n 1$ and $xa_2 \equiv_n 1$. We want to show that $a_1 \equiv_n a_2$

$$xa_1 \equiv_n xa_2 \equiv_n 1$$
$$n \mid (xa_1 - xa_2)$$
$$n \mid x(a_1 - a_2)$$

In order for the last statement above to be true, $n \mid x$ or $n \mid (a_1 - a_2)$. We proved that gcd(n,x) = 1 if a_i exists in **2.4**. This means that $n \mid x$ is not possible. Why? $n \mid x \Leftrightarrow x = nk, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If gcd(n,x) = 1 then $\frac{x}{n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ which yields a contradiction. Therefore, $n \mid (a_1 - a_2)$ must be true. As seen in **2.1**, $n \mid (a_1 - a_2) \Leftrightarrow (a_1 \equiv_n a_2)$.