

4.

A.Needleman–Wunsch matrix:

| | - | E | N | E | P | V | L | S | M | R | P | L | D | Y | T | R | Q |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| - | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -10 | -12 | -14 | -16 | -18 | -20 | -22 | -24 | -26 | -28 | -30 | -32 |
| E | -2 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -9 | -11 | -13 | -15 | -17 | -19 | -21 | -23 | -25 | -27 | -29 |
| N | -4 | -1 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -10 | -12 | -14 | -16 | -18 | -20 | -22 | -24 | -26 |
| E | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -9 | -11 | -13 | -15 | -17 | -19 | -21 | -23 |
| A | -8 | -5 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -9 | -11 | -13 | -15 | -17 | -19 | -21 | -23 | -25 |
| V | -10 | -7 | -4 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -10 | -12 | -14 | -16 | -18 | -20 | -22 |
| L | -12 | -9 | -6 | -3 | -5 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -9 | -11 | -13 | -15 | -17 | -19 |
| S | -14 | -11 | -8 | -5 | -7 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -10 | -12 | -14 | -16 |
| M | -16 | -13 | -10 | -7 | -9 | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -9 | -11 | -13 |
| R | -18 | -15 | -12 | -9 | -11 | -8 | -5 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -10 |
| P | -20 | -17 | -14 | -11 | -8 | -10 | -7 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | -7 |
| L | -22 | -19 | -16 | -13 | -10 | -12 | -9 | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 |
| D | -24 | -21 | -18 | -15 | -12 | -14 | -11 | -8 | -5 | -2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | -1 |
| S | -26 | -23 | -20 | -17 | -14 | -16 | -13 | -10 | -7 | -4 | -1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 |
| D | -28 | -25 | -22 | -19 | -16 | -18 | -15 | -12 | -9 | -6 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 |
| R | -30 | -27 | -24 | -21 | -18 | -20 | -17 | -14 | -11 | -8 | -5 | -2 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -2 |

Global alignment (from backtrace):

ENEAVLSMRPLDSR-

ENEPVLSMRPLDYTRQ

Score = -2

B.Smith–Waterman Matrix

| | - | E | N | E | P | V | L | S | M | R | P | L | D | Y | T | R | Q |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Local (highest-scoring) alignment (from the cell with value 8 back to zero):

VLSMRPLD

VLSMRPLD

Score = 8 (8 matches × +1)

C. Smith–Waterman gives the longer continuous alignment because it performs *local* alignment and focuses only on the highest-scoring matching region, ignoring mismatching ends. Needleman–Wunsch forces alignment of the entire sequence, so gaps and mismatches break the continuous block.