CIS600 HW1

Yuchen Wang 905508464

1.Citions

Most of my codes are modified from

https://towardsdatascience.com/how-to-build-an-artificial-neural-network-from-scratch-in-julia -c839219b3ef8.

2. Data Set

The data set I chose is about sales data of mobile phones of various companies. The data contains battery_power(Total energy a battery can store in one time measured in mAh); blue(Has bluetooth or not); clock_speed(speed at which microprocessor executes instructions); dual_sim(Has dual sim support or not); fc(Front Camera megapixels); four_g(Has 4G or not); int_memory(Internal Memory in Gigabytes); m_dep (Mobile Depth in cm); mobile_wt(Weight of mobile phone) and price_range(This is the target variable with value of 0(low cost), 1(medium cost), 2(high cost) and 3(very high cost))

| | , , , | , | <i>"</i> (0 | , | ` , | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|--------|------------|---------|
| 2,000 rows × 21 columns (omitted printing of 13 columns) | | | | | | | | |
| | battery_power | blue | clock_speed | dual_sim | fc | four_g | int_memory | m_dep |
| | Int64 | Int64 | Float64 | Int64 | Int64 | Int64 | Int64 | Float64 |
| 1 | 842 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 1021 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 0.7 |
| 3 | 563 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 41 | 0.9 |
| 4 | 615 | 1 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.8 |
| 5 | 1821 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 44 | 0.6 |
| 6 | 1859 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0.7 |
| 7 | 1821 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 1954 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 1445 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 509 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 11 | 769 | 1 | 2.9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 12 | 1520 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 0.5 |
| 13 | 1815 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0.6 |
| 14 | 803 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 1.0 |
| 15 | 1866 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 52 | 0.7 |
| 16 | 775 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 46 | 0.7 |
| 17 | 838 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0.1 |

Therefore, the data can be treated as a 2-class classification problem with first class of low to medium price range (0 and 1 price range) and second class of high to very high cost (2 and 3 price range).

```
df = file |> Tables.matrix

mat_0 = df[df[:,21] .<= 1, :]
mat_1 = df[df[:,21] .>= 2, :]
```

I use 70% of the data to train the model and use the rest to do the test.

```
train_data = randsubseq(1:1000, 0.7)
train_df = vcat(mat_0[train_data, :], mat_1[train_data, :])

test_data = [i for i in 1:1000 if isempty(searchsorted(train_data, i))]
test_df = vcat(mat_0[test_data, :], mat_1[test_data, :])
```

3. Neural Network

My model use sigmoidal node functions as the activation function

```
function sigmoid(Z)
   A = 1 . / (1 . + exp.(.-Z))
    return (A = A, Z = Z)
end
function linear_forward(A, W, b)
   Z = (W * A) + b
   cache = (A, W, b)
   @assert size(Z) == (size(W, 1), size(A, 2))
    return (Z = Z, cache = cache)
end
function forward_activation(A_prev, W, b, activation_function="sigmoid")
    Z, linear_cache = linear_forward(A_prev, W, b)
   if activation_function == "sigmoid"
        A, activation_cache = sigmoid(Z)
    cache = (linear_step_cache=linear_cache, activation_step_cache=activation_cache)
   @assert size(A) == (size(W, 1), size(A_prev, 2))
    return A, cache
end
```

Start with randomly initialized weights and bias

```
function initialise_model_weights(layer_dims, seed = 7)
    params = Dict()

for l=2:length(layer_dims)
    params[string("W_", (l-1))] = rand(StableRNG(seed), layer_dims[l], layer_dims[l-1]) * sqrt(2 / layer_dims[l-1])
    params[string("b_", (l-1))] = zeros(layer_dims[l], 1)
    end

    return params
end
```

Using back-propagation to improve the model. sigmoidal node functions is also the activation function for back-propagation.

```
function sigmoid_backwards(∂A, activated_cache)
    s = sigmoid(activated_cache).A
    \partial Z = \partial A \cdot * s \cdot * (1 \cdot - s)
    @assert (size(\delta Z) == size(activated_cache))
end
function linear_backward(∂Z, cache)
    A_prev , W , b = cache
    m = size(A_prev, 2)
    \partial W = \partial Z * (A_prev') / m
    \partial b = sum(\partial Z, dims = 2) / m
    \partial A_prev = (W') * \partial Z
    @assert (size(∂A_prev) == size(A_prev))
    @assert (size(∂W) == size(W))
    @assert (size(ab) == size(b))
    return ∂W , ∂b , ∂A_prev
end
function activation_backward(∂A, cache, activation_function="sigmiod")
    @assert activation_function E ("sigmoid", "relu")
    linear_cache , cache_activation = cache
    if (activation_function == "relu")
        ∂Z = relu_backwards(∂A , cache_activation)
        ∂W , ∂b , ∂A_prev = linear_backward(∂Z , linear_cache)
    elseif (activation_function == "sigmoid")
        ∂Z = sigmoid_backwards(∂A , cache_activation)
        ∂W , ∂b , ∂A_prev = linear_backward(∂Z , linear_cache)
    end
```

4. Training

Train the model by repeating the experiment ten times, each time starting with a different set of randomly initialized weights;

```
function train_network(layer_dims , DMatrix, Y; η=0.001, epochs=10, seed=2020, verbose=true)
# Initiate an empty container for cost, iterations, and accuracy at each iteration
    iters = []
    accuracy = []
    params = initialise_model_weights(layer_dims, seed)
    for i = 1:epochs
        Ŷ , caches = forward_propagate_model_weights(DMatrix, params)
        cost = calculate\_cost(\hat{Y}, Y)
        acc = assess\_accuracy(\hat{Y}, Y)
        \nabla = back_propagate_model_weights(\hat{Y}, Y, caches)
        # each time starting with a different set of randomly initialized weights
        params = initialise_model_weights(layer_dims, seed)
        if verbose
            println("Iteration -> $i, Cost -> $cost, Accuracy -> $acc")
        push!(iters , i)
        push!(costs , cost)
        push!(accuracy , acc)
        return (cost = costs, iterations = iters, accuracy = accuracy, parameters = params)
0.8s
```

5 Outcome

The training outcome is 50%. Seems not right.....