Problem 2.1

a)

Exponential form for one parameter exponential densities:

$$f_X(x| heta) = \exp(\eta(heta)T(x) - A(heta) + B(x))$$

Applying this to the given density:

$$f(x; heta) = heta(1+x)^{-(heta+1)} \ = \exp(\ln(heta) - (heta+1)\ln(1+x))$$

This leads to:

$$A(heta) = -\ln(heta) \ \eta(heta) = -(heta+1) \ T(x) = \ln(1+x)$$

b)

Using Bayes rule

$$egin{aligned} f(heta|X) &\propto \mathcal{L}(X| heta)g(heta) \ &= g(heta) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i| heta) \ &= \exp(-p heta) \prod_{i=1}^n heta(1+x_i)^{-(heta+1)} \ &= \exp(-p heta) heta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)^{-(heta+1)} \ &= \exp(-p heta) heta^n \exp\left(-(heta+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)
ight) \ &\propto heta^n \exp\left(- heta\left(p+\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)
ight)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

So it's Gamma with the parameters:

$$heta|X \sim \mathrm{Gamma}\left(n-1, p + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)
ight)$$

Well $g(\theta)$ is a kernel of the exponential distribution and would need some proper scaling:

$$f_g(\theta) = p \exp(-p\theta)$$

So no it's no proper prior because:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}g(heta)d heta
eq 1$$

But because we look at just the kernel for the posterior as well, it does not make a difference, because the scaling drops out anyways.

d)

Because we have quadratic loss we already know that the solution to the Bayes rule is the posterior expected value:

$$egin{aligned} rg\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} B(\delta, g) &= \mathbb{E}(heta|X) \ &= rac{n-1}{p + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)} \end{aligned}$$

e)

For this we need to compute the likelihood:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(X| heta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i| heta) \ &= \prod_{i=1}^n heta(1+x_i)^{-(heta+1)} \ &= heta^n \exp\left(-(heta+1)\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)
ight) \end{aligned}$$

log scale the likelihood:

$$egin{aligned} & \ln \mathcal{L}(X| heta) = n \ln heta - (1+ heta) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i) \ \implies & ext{FOC}: \ln \mathcal{L}'(X| heta) = rac{n}{ heta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i) = 0 \ & \hat{ heta}_{ML} = rac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1+x_i)} \end{aligned}$$

This is different from the Bayes-rule because we are not utilizing the information from the prior. The higher p the more smaller values are more common and therefore the estimation is biased downwards.

Problem 2.2

a)

$$egin{aligned} R(\delta, heta) &= Var(\delta) + ext{Bias}(\delta)^2 \ &= a^2 Var(X) + (\mathbb{E}(aX+b) - heta)^2 \ &= a^2 (n heta(1- heta)) + (an heta+b- heta)^2 \ &= a^2 n heta(1- heta) + ((an-1) heta+b)^2 \ &= a^2 n heta - a^2 n heta^2 + (an-1)^2 heta^2 - 2(an-1) heta b + b^2 \ &= ((an-1)^2 - a^2 n) heta^2 + (a^2 n - 2b(an-1)) heta + b^2 \end{aligned}$$

So this leads to the definitions:

$$lpha=(an-1)^2-a^2n \ eta=a^2n-2b(an-1) \ \gamma=b^2$$

b)

So using the hint we get the condition:

$$R'(\delta_{a,b}, heta)=2lpha heta+eta=0$$

This means that (because $\theta \neq 0$ is possible):

$$lpha = 0$$

 $eta = 0$

So inserting this into the definitions of α and β :

$$egin{aligned} (an-1)^2-a^2n&=0\ a^2n-2b(an-1)&=0\ \implies an-1&=a\sqrt{n}\ a(n-\sqrt{n})&=1\ a_M&=rac{1}{n-\sqrt{n}}\ \implies a^2n&=2b(an-1)\ rac{n}{(n-\sqrt{n})^2}&=2b\left(rac{\sqrt{n}}{n-\sqrt{n}}
ight)\ rac{\sqrt{n}}{2(n-\sqrt{n})}&=b_M \end{aligned}$$

And this means, that the risk is constant at:

$$R(\delta_{lpha_M,eta_M}, heta)=rac{n}{4(n-\sqrt{n})^2}$$

Problem 2.3

a)

To find the cdf we need to find the antiderivative of the pdf:

$$F(x; heta) = egin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \ x^{ heta} & x \in (0,1) \ 1 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

The cdf for the sample maximum is therefore, following the formula:

$$F_{X_{[n]}}(x)=F_X(x)^n$$

And therefore we get:

$$F_{X_{[n]}}(x; heta) = egin{cases} 0 & x \leq 0 \ x^{n heta} & x \in (0,1) \ 1 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

b)

$$egin{aligned} lpha &= P_{H_0}(ec{x} \in C_n) \ &= 1 - P(x_{[n]} < 0.90^{3/n}) \ &= 1 - (0.90^{3/n})^{n/3} \ &= 1 - 0.9 = 0.1 \end{aligned}$$

So the size is 10%.

c)

It holds trivially that:

$$X_{[n]} \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$$

Where:

$$P(Y = 1) = 1$$

And it holds that:

$$0.9^{3/n} \rightarrow 1$$

So asymptotically we get:

$$C_n
ightarrow C = \{ec{x}: x_{[n]} \geq 1\}$$

And the size:

$$lpha = P_{H_0}(Y \in C) \ = 1 - P(Y < 1) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

But it should converge to 0 so no, it's not consistent

d)

Proposition (Without randomization)

Let \vec{X} be a (random) sample from $f(\vec{x};\theta)$. Furthermore, let $\{k(\theta_1)>0\}$ be positive constants depending on $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ and C_r a critical region s.t.

(1')
$$P(\vec{X} \in C_r; \theta_0) = \alpha, \qquad 0 < \alpha < 1;$$

$$(2') \qquad \frac{f(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}};\theta_1)}{f(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}};\theta_0)} \geq k(\theta_1) \qquad \forall \, \vec{\boldsymbol{x}} \in C_r \text{ and } \forall \, \theta_1 \in \Theta_1;$$

$$(3') \qquad \frac{f(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}};\theta_1)}{f(\vec{\boldsymbol{x}};\theta_0)} < k(\theta_1) \qquad \forall \, \vec{\boldsymbol{x}} \notin C_r \text{ and } \forall \, \theta_1 \in \Theta_1.$$

(3')
$$\frac{f(\vec{x}; \theta_1)}{f(\vec{x}; \theta_0)} < k(\theta_1) \qquad \forall \ \vec{x} \notin C_r \ \text{and} \ \forall \ \theta_1 \in \Theta_1.$$

Then C_r is the uniformly most powerful critical region of size α for testing the hypothesis $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$.