

Sheet 5

Problem 1

a)

$$\begin{aligned}MSE(T_n) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta((T_n - \tau)^2) \\&= \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n^2 - 2T_n\tau + \tau^2) \\&= \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n^2) - 2\tau\mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n) + \tau^2 \\&= \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n^2) - \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n)^2 + \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n)^2 - 2\tau\mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n) + \tau^2 \\&= Var(T_n) + \mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n)^2 - 2\tau\mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n) + \tau^2 \\&= Var(T_n) + (\mathbb{E}_\theta(T_n) - \tau)^2 \\&= Var(T_n) + Bias(T_n)^2 \quad \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

b)

We need to show that:

$$MSE(T_n) \rightarrow 0 \implies \forall \varepsilon > 0 : P(|T_n - \tau| \leq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$$

So we can state that (using markov's inequality with $g(x) = x^2$):

$$\begin{aligned}P(|T_n - \tau| \geq \varepsilon) &\stackrel{m.i.}{\leq} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|T_n - \tau|^2)}{\varepsilon^2} \\&= \frac{\mathbb{E}((T_n - \tau)^2)}{\varepsilon^2} \\&= \frac{MSE(T_n)}{\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

And because P is a non-negative mapping we can state that:

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|T_n - \tau|) \leq 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|T_n - \tau|) = 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

c)

We are going to define:

$$Y_n := \sqrt{n}(T_n - \tau) \quad B_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Because you could say that $B_n(\omega) = 1/\sqrt{n}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Then it holds that B_n is measurable (because the reverse image contains Ω and \emptyset , which is contained in any sigma algebra) and therefore a r.v.. It also holds that (exercise):

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \quad B_n \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

Slutsky now implies that:

$$B_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} 0Y = 0$$

We have shown on Problem 3 of sheet 1 a) that this implies:

$$B_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} 0 \Leftrightarrow (T_n - \tau) \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

Continuous mapping theorem then implies that (for $h(x) = x + \tau$):

$$(T_n - \tau) \xrightarrow{p} 0 \implies T_n = T_n - \tau + \tau = h(B_n Y_n) \xrightarrow{p} h(0) = \tau$$

So finally we get the result that:

$$T_n \xrightarrow{p} \tau \quad \blacksquare$$

Problem 2

a)

We can say (using dominated convergence theorem):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{X_1}(t)|_{t=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E}(\exp(itX_1))|_{t=0} \\ &\stackrel{d.c.t.}{=} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp(itX_1) \right) |_{t=0} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(iX_1 \exp(itX_1))|_{t=0} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(iX_1) \\ &= i\mathbb{E}(X_1) = i\mu \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We have seen the property of characteristic functions to derive moments:

$$\varphi^{(k)}(0) = i^k \mathbb{E}(X^k)$$

Using $k = 1$ results in:

$$\varphi'_{X_i}(0) = i\mathbb{E}(X_i) \stackrel{iid}{=} i\mu$$

Also:

$$\varphi_{X_i}(0) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(i0X_i)) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(0)) = 1 \quad \blacksquare$$

b)

Using the definition of a characteristic function and the iid property of the sample, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(it \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n it \frac{1}{n} X_i \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left(it \frac{1}{n} X_i \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{independence}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\exp \left(it \frac{1}{n} X_i \right)) \\ &\stackrel{iid}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{X_1} \left(\frac{1}{n} t \right) \\ &= \left(\varphi_{X_1} \left(\frac{1}{n} t \right) \right)^n \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

c)

Using Taylor expansion with $c = 0$ for the characteristic function of X_1 it holds that:

$$\varphi_{X_1}(t) = \varphi_{X_1}(0) + \varphi'_{X_1}(0)t + R_1(\varphi_{X_1}, 0)(t)$$

We can then insert the results of **a)**:

$$\varphi_{X_1}(t) = 1 + i\mu t + R_1(\varphi_{X_1}, 0)(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then to continue, we use the result of the lecture, that:

$$R_n(f, c)(x) = o(|x - c|^n) \text{ as } x \rightarrow c$$

If we look at $\varphi_{X_1}(t/n)$ we have $t/n \rightarrow 0 \forall t$, so in this case we can say that:

$$\varphi_{X_1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = 1 + i\mu \frac{t}{n} + o\left(\left|\frac{t}{n} - 0\right|\right) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then we can insert this result into the result of **b)**:

$$\varphi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = \left(\varphi_{X_1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)\right)^n = \left(1 + i\mu \frac{t}{n} + o\left(\left|\frac{t}{n}\right|\right)\right)^n \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we can use the hint and prove that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + i\mu \frac{t}{n} + o\left(\left|\frac{t}{n}\right|\right)\right)^n = \exp(it\mu) \quad \blacksquare$$

d)

Note, that the characteristic function of a constant $\mu < \infty$ is defined as:

$$\varphi_\mu(t) = \mathbb{E}(\exp(it\mu)) = \exp(it\mu)$$

And so we know that:

$$\varphi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \varphi_\mu(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \iff \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$$

But then we can use the same statement, we have used in problem 1 and directly implicate that:

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu \quad \blacksquare$$

Problem 3

a)

If we assume $\alpha = 2$ and use the formula for the moments of the gamma distribution, the first 4 moments are given by:

$$\mathbb{E}(X^1) = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\Gamma(2+1)}{\Gamma(2)} = \frac{2\Gamma(2)}{\beta\Gamma(2)} = \frac{2}{\beta} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\Gamma(2+2)}{\Gamma(2)} = \frac{3 \cdot 2}{\beta^2} = \frac{6}{\beta^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X^3) = \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{\beta^3} = \frac{24}{\beta^3} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X^4) = \frac{5!}{\beta^4} = \frac{120}{\beta^4} \quad (4)$$

b)

We already have iid for X_i^2 for all $i \in 1, \dots, n$. In order to apply Lindeberg-Levy CLT we need to ensure $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2) < \infty$ and $\text{Var}(X_i^2) < \infty$. The first we have already shown in **a)**:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i^2) \underset{a)}{=} \frac{6}{\beta^2} < \infty \quad \forall i$$

For the Variance we just have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_i^2) &= \mathbb{E}(X_i^2)^2 - \mathbb{E}(X_i^2)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_i^4) - \mathbb{E}(X_i^2)^2 \\ &\underset{a)}{=} \frac{120}{\beta^4} - \frac{6^2}{\beta^4} = \frac{84}{\beta^4} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply the CLT of Lindeberg-Levy and say:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i^2 - \frac{6}{\beta^2}}{\frac{\sqrt{84}}{\beta^2}} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

But this is still not $\overline{X_n^2}$. So we need some transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i^2 - \frac{6}{\beta^2}}{\frac{\sqrt{84}}{\beta^2}} \right) &= \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - \frac{6}{\beta^2})}{\frac{\sqrt{84}}{\beta^2}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \frac{6}{\beta^2}}{\frac{\sqrt{84}}{\beta^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\end{aligned}$$

It now holds that:

$$\frac{\overline{X_n^2} - \frac{6}{\beta^2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{84}}{\beta^2}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Problem 4

We know from iid that (using the formula from the exercise):

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

Also for the Variance for every X_i is:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(X_i) &= \mathbb{E}(X_i^2) - \mathbb{E}(X_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1 + \alpha)}{\beta^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have given $\beta = \sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha = 2$. So:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2} < \infty \quad \forall i \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{2}{2} = 1 < \infty \quad \forall i \quad (6)$$

Now we can apply Lindeberg-Levy CLT and know that:

$$Z_n := \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sqrt{2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Using this we can approximate the cdf of Z_n with the standard normal cdf:

$$F_{Z_n}(x) \approx \Phi(x)$$

We want our estimation to not deviate by 0.01 with prob. of 95%. This is why:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\bar{X}_n - \sqrt{2}| \leq 0.01) &\stackrel{!}{\geq} 0.95 \\ \Leftrightarrow P\left(-\sqrt{n}0.01 \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \sqrt{2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq \sqrt{n}0.01\right) &\geq 0.95 \\ \Leftrightarrow P(-\sqrt{n}0.01 \leq Z_n \leq \sqrt{n}0.01) &\geq 0.95 \end{aligned}$$

Approximating the LHS with $\Phi(x)$ we get:

$$\Phi(\sqrt{n}0.01) - \Phi(-\sqrt{n}0.01) \geq 0.95$$

But now using symmetry of Φ around 0 this inequality is the same as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\sqrt{n}0.01) &\geq 0.975 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{n}0.01 &\geq \Phi^{-1}(0.975) \\ \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{n}0.01 &\geq 1.96 \end{aligned}$$

So we are trying to solve:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}0.01 &\geq 1.96 \\ \Leftrightarrow n &\geq (1.96 \cdot 100)^2 = 38416 \end{aligned}$$

In summary we get that around 38.5 k samples are required for 95% confidence intervals to be smaller than 0.02.