Sheet 3

Problem 1

For each decision problem we need to find the Samplespace Ω , the decision space D, the loss function L and the parameter θ for the distribution.

One Week case

Because the student can either have normal heating or additional heating, the decision space has two elements.

$$D := \{ \text{normal heating}, \text{additional heating} \}$$

The state of the loss is decided by the state of the student:

$$\Omega = \{\text{healthy, sick}\}\$$

And the probability of sickness is given by $heta \in [0,1]$ with the state of the Student being a r.v. X:

$$X:\Omega
ightarrow \{0,1\} \quad X \sim Bernoulli(heta)$$

Then we can look at the costs depending on the action and the state of the student for the first week.

state/action	normal heating	additional heating
healthy	0€	6€
sick	20€	26€

From there we can define the loss function under normal heating:

$$L(heta| ext{normal heating}) = egin{cases} 0 \in ext{if } heta = ext{healthy} \ 20 \in ext{if } heta = ext{sick} \end{cases}$$

...and under additional heating:

$$L(heta| ext{additional heating}) = egin{cases} 6 \mathfrak{\epsilon} & ext{if } heta = ext{healthy} \ 26 \mathfrak{\epsilon} & ext{if } heta = ext{sick} \end{cases}$$

We also know the posterior probabilities:

$$P(X= ext{ healthy}|\delta= ext{ normal heating})=0.7$$
 $P(X= ext{ sick}|\delta= ext{ normal heating})=0.3$ $P(X= ext{ healthy}|\delta= ext{ additional heating})=0.9$ $P(X= ext{ sick}|\delta= ext{ additional heating})=0.1$

The student will choose the action, that yields the lowest risk:

$$\begin{split} R(\theta|\ \text{normal heating}) &= \mathbb{E}(L(\theta|\ \text{normal heating}) \\ &= P(\theta = \text{healthy}|\delta = \text{normal heating}) \cdot L(\text{healthy}|\ \text{normal heating}) \\ &+ P(\theta = \text{sick}|\delta = \text{normal heating}) \cdot L(\text{sick}|\ \text{normal heating}) \\ &= 0.7 \cdot 0 + 0.3 \cdot 20 = 6 \end{split}$$

Applying the same Formula to compute $R(\theta|\text{additional heating})$:

$$R(\theta|\text{additional heating}) = 0.9 \cdot 6 \in +0.1 \cdot 26 \in = 5.4 \in +2.6 \in = 8 \in$$

Therefore the student will decide to use normal heating.

Two week case

In this case we have to distinguish between the states sick in the first week and second week:

$$\Omega = \{\text{healthy}, \text{sick first week}, \text{sick second week}\}$$

Because the student sticks to a decision throughout the cold period, we have still two decisions in the decision space:

$$D := \{\text{normal heating}, \text{additional heating}\}$$

Weekly heating costs for additional heating are $6 \in$. Deciding for additional heating yields costs independent of the state of $12 \in$.

Applying these states yields the new loss function:

$$L(\theta|\text{normal heating}) := \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } \theta = \text{healthy} \\ 20 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick second week} \\ 40 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \end{cases}$$

$$L(\theta|\text{additional heating}) := \begin{cases} 12 \text{ if } \theta = \text{healthy} \\ 32 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick second week} \\ 52 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \end{cases}$$

Given that the student gets sick during the first week, he/ she will stay sick with prob. 100%.:

$$P(X= ext{sick first week}|\delta= ext{normal heating})=0.3\cdot 1=0.3$$
 $P(X= ext{sick second week}|\delta= ext{normal heating})=P(X= ext{healthy}|\delta= ext{normal heating})$
 $\cdot P(X= ext{sick}|\delta= ext{normal heating})$
 $=0.7\cdot 0.3=0,21$
 $P(X= ext{healthy}|\delta= ext{normal heating})=0.7^2=0.49$

Similarly we can compute the probabilities for the states under $\delta = additional heating$:

Sheet 3 2

$$P(X= ext{sick first week}|\delta= ext{additional heating})=0.1$$
 $P(X= ext{sick second week}|\delta= ext{additional heating})=0.1\cdot0.9=0.09$ $P(X= ext{healthy}|\delta= ext{additional heating})=0.9^2=0.81$

Therefore we can compute the risk:

$$R(\theta|\delta= ext{normal heating}) = \mathbb{E}(L(\theta| ext{normal heating}) = P(\theta= ext{sick first week}|\delta= ext{normal heating}) \cdot L(ext{sick first week}|\delta= ext{normal heating}) \cdot \cdot \cdot = 0.3 \cdot 40 \\ ext{\in} + 0.21 \cdot 20 \\ ext{\in} + 0.49 \cdot 0 \\ ext{\in} = 16, 2 \\ ext{\in} R(\theta|\delta= ext{additional heating}) = 0.1 \cdot 52 \\ ext{\in} + 0.09 \cdot 32 \\ ext{\in} + 0.81 \cdot 12 \\ ext{\in} = 17, 8 \\ ext{\in}$$

The right decision riskwise would therefore still be normal heating.

Three week case

We get one more additional case sick in third week:

$$\Omega = \{\text{healthy}, \text{sick first week}, \text{sick second week}, \text{sick third week}\}$$

The loss functions are now (fixed costs for additional heating increase to 18€):

$$L(\theta|\delta=\text{normal heating}) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } \theta = \text{healthy} \\ 20 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \\ 40 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick second week} \\ 60 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \end{cases}$$

$$L(\theta|\delta=\text{additional heating}) = \begin{cases} 18 \text{ if } \theta = \text{healthy} \\ 38 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \\ 58 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick second week} \\ 78 \text{ if } \theta = \text{sick first week} \end{cases}$$

The probabilities for the cases are:

state / decision	normal heating	additional heating
healthy	0.7^3=0.343	0.9^3=0.729
sick first week	0.3	0.1
sick second week	0.7*0.3=0,21	0.9*0.1=0.09
sick third week	0.7^2*0.3=0.147	0.9^2*0.1=0.081

This yields the risk:

$$\begin{split} R(\theta|\delta = \text{normal heating}) &= 0.3 \cdot 60 \\ \text{\leftarrow} + 0.21 \cdot 40 \\ \text{\leftarrow} + 0.147 \cdot 20 \\ \text{\leftarrow} &= 29.34 \\ \text{\leftarrow} \\ R(\theta|\delta = \text{additional heating}) = 0.729 \cdot 18 \\ \text{\leftarrow} + 0.1 \cdot 78 \\ \text{\leftarrow} + 0.09 \cdot 58 \\ \text{\leftarrow} + 0.081 \cdot 38 \\ \text{\leftarrow} \\ &= 29,22 \\ \text{\leftarrow} \end{split}$$

Sheet 3 3

This time, because the cost of sickness increases faster than the cost of preventing sickness, additional heating is the slightly better option in this case.

Exercise 2

1.)

Firstly the decision space of estimating μ is \mathbb{R} . The lossfunction (if the loss is absolute) is given by:

$$L(\mu|\hat{\mu}) = |\hat{\mu} - \mu|$$

Therefore the loss for the 3 estimates are given by:

$$|1-\mu|, |3-\mu|, |5-\mu|$$

Since the true value μ is not given, we can't compute the true values of the loss.

2.)

If we want to compute the Risk of the absolute loss meaning we are trying to solve:

$$R(\hat{\mu}|\mu) = \mathbb{E}(L(\hat{\mu}|\mu)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mu - \hat{\mu}| f_{ar{X}_n}(\hat{\mu}) d\hat{\mu}$$

Using that $f_{ar{X}_n}(x)$ is point symmetrical around μ , it holds that:

$$egin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mu - \hat{\mu}| f_{ar{X}_n}(\hat{\mu}) d\hat{\mu} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} (\mu - \hat{\mu}) f_{ar{X}_n}(\hat{\mu}) d\hat{\mu} + \int_{\mu}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu} - \mu) f_{ar{X}_n}(\hat{\mu}) d\hat{\mu} \\ &= 2 \int_{\mu}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu} - \mu) f_{ar{X}_n}(\hat{\mu}) d\hat{\mu} \\ &= 2 \int_{\mu}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu} - \mu) rac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-rac{(\hat{\mu} - \mu)^2 n}{2}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $z=\hat{\mu}-\mu$ leads to:

$$2\int_{\mu}^{\infty} (\hat{\mu} - \mu) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\hat{\mu} - \mu)^2 n}{2}\right) \underset{\text{substitution}}{=} 2\int_{0}^{\infty} z \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 n}{2}\right) dz$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 n}{2}\right) dz$$
symmetry around $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 n}{2}\right) dz$

But now it holds that:

$$\sqrt{rac{n}{2\pi}}\exp\left(-rac{z^2n}{2}
ight)=f_{\mathcal{N}}(z|0,1/n)$$

Where $f_{\mathcal{N}}(z|0,1/n)$ is the density of a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1/n. $Z\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1/n)$. But then:

$$0=\mathbb{E}(Z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}zf_{\mathcal{N}}(z|0,1/n)dz=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}z\sqrt{rac{n}{2\pi}}\exp\left(-rac{z^{2}n}{2}
ight)dz$$

So:

$$R(L(\hat{\mu}|\mu)) = \mathbb{E}(Z) = 0$$

Exercise 3

Mathematically the problem should be expressed as:

$$d_{\min} := rg\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}(L(heta,d)) = rg\min_{d \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(heta)(heta-d)^2 f(heta|x) d heta$$

Where $f_{\Theta}(\theta)$ is the assumed pdf of θ . So the FOC should yield:

$$rac{\partial}{\partial d}\int_{\mathbb{R}}w(heta)(heta-d)^2f(heta|x)d heta\stackrel{!}{=}0$$

Using the hint we can switch the derivative and integral and get:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{\partial}{\partial d} w(heta)(heta-d)^2 f(heta|x) d heta \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \ \iff -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(heta)(heta-d) f(heta|x) d heta = 0 \ \iff \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(heta)(heta-d) f(heta|x) d heta = 0 \ \iff \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(heta) heta f(heta|x) d heta - d \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(heta) f(heta|x) d heta = 0 \ \iff \mathbb{E}(w(heta) heta|x) - d\mathbb{E}(w(heta)|x) = 0 \ \iff d = rac{\mathbb{E}(w(heta) heta|x)}{\mathbb{E}(w(heta)|x)}$$

Meaning that the optimal decision d_{\min} is the ratio of two expected values:

$$d_{min} := rac{\mathbb{E}(w(heta) heta|x)}{\mathbb{E}(w(heta)|x)}$$