## Isolation heuristic evaluation

### Methods

In the lectures the following heuristics were introduced:

1. Own moves vs. opponent moves: Takes the number of own moves and subtracts the number of the opponents moves. This is the AB\_Improved heuristic that all other heuristics should evaluated against.
2. Higher weight of opponent moves: Takes the number of own moves and subtracts twice the number of opponent moves.

Based on those evaluations the following ideas have been evaluated in the tournament setting:

1. The factor of 2 for weighing the opponent moves seems rather arbitrary. So, I tried several other values.
2. Another way of combining the moves of both players is to compute the ratio of both values and possible weighing them with the formula own\_move / ( weight \* (opp\_moves + 1). The +1 avoids division by zero. Again, the weights have been varied.
3. It could make sense to vary the influence of each factor during the game. To accomplish this, I use the number of moves that have already been done and compute a linear equation which is defined by the two values at the start of the game (move\_count == 0) and the end of the game (move\_count == 25).   
   weight = a \* move\_count + b  
   This one factor is used to weigh both values in the opposite direction.  
   result = weight \* own\_moves – (1 – weight) \* opp\_moves

As a further extension (but not implemented), a neural network could be trained to evaluate the positions as in the AlphaGo paper.

### Results

Generally, the different heuristics are not easily compared as the random nature of the start states in the tournament setting generates a lot of variance. The AB\_Improved showed results ranging from 62.9% to 75.7%. The evaluation against the selected opponents in tournament.py using random, minimax or simple alphabeta strategies favors heuristics, that perform very well against those opponents. The influence of more advanced agents is not so significant.

Figure 1

The evaluation shows that the best overall result with 77,1% can be achieved with a value of 1.375 for weighing the opponents moves. However, the results are not really consistent for small variations and setting the weight to 1.3 shows a significant performance drop to only 60%.

Figure

Using a ratio between the agents and the opponents moves showed consistently high results for large values of the weight, i.e. 2 and above and outperformed AB\_Improved significantly for the runs with values 2.5 and 3. Therefore, the overall suggestions would be to use this heuristic.

Figure

Changing the weights during the game did not work well for the values that I initially designed it for, e.g. 0.7 and 0.3 so that the opponents moves become more important at the end of the game. However, with some trial and error also this heuristic could outperform AB\_Improved for the cases where a high weight was used for the agents moves and the variations increased the importance of the opponents move towards the end of the game. This heuristic is slightly more complicated to compute.

The general recommendation would be to use the ratio based heuristic with a weight of 2.5.

### Appendix

 Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.5 1.5 0.7 / 0.3

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random      10  |   0    10  |   0     9  |   1     9  |   1

    2       MM\_Open      7  |   3     8  |   2     8  |   2     8  |   2

    3      MM\_Center    10  |   0     7  |   3    10  |   0     9  |   1

    4     MM\_Improved    8  |   2     5  |   5     8  |   2     7  |   3

    5       AB\_Open      6  |   4     6  |   4     6  |   4     5  |   5

    6      AB\_Center     6  |   4     5  |   5     5  |   5     4  |   6

    7     AB\_Improved    6  |   4     4  |   6     6  |   4     5  |   5

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      75.7%        64.3%        74.3%        67.1%

 Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.25 1.25 0.3 / 0.7

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random       9  |   1    10  |   0    10  |   0    10  |   0

    2       MM\_Open      8  |   2     7  |   3     7  |   3     7  |   3

    3      MM\_Center     7  |   3     9  |   1     9  |   1    10  |   0

    4     MM\_Improved    7  |   3     6  |   4     6  |   4     7  |   3

    5       AB\_Open      8  |   2     7  |   3     7  |   3     4  |   6

    6      AB\_Center     7  |   3     6  |   4     5  |   5     3  |   7

    7     AB\_Improved    4  |   6     7  |   3     6  |   4     5  |   5

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      71.4%        74.3%        71.4%        65.7%

 Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.125 2 0.9 / 1.4

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random      10  |   0     9  |   1    10  |   0    10  |   0

    2       MM\_Open      7  |   3     8  |   2     8  |   2     8  |   2

    3      MM\_Center    10  |   0    10  |   0     9  |   1     7  |   3

    4     MM\_Improved    7  |   3     7  |   3     7  |   3     6  |   4

    5       AB\_Open      4  |   6     3  |   7     5  |   5     6  |   4

    6      AB\_Center     6  |   4     6  |   4     6  |   4     6  |   4

    7     AB\_Improved    6  |   4     5  |   5     6  |   4     4  |   6

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      71.4%        68.6%        72.9%        67.1%

 Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.375 1.75 1.5 / -2.5 (error)

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random      10  |   0    10  |   0     9  |   1     9  |   1

    2       MM\_Open      7  |   3     9  |   1     8  |   2     9  |   1

    3      MM\_Center     8  |   2     9  |   1     7  |   3    10  |   0

    4     MM\_Improved    7  |   3     9  |   1     7  |   3     5  |   5

    5       AB\_Open      6  |   4     4  |   6     6  |   4     8  |   2

    6      AB\_Center     4  |   6     5  |   5     4  |   6     5  |   5

    7     AB\_Improved    6  |   4     8  |   2     6  |   4     4  |   6

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      68.6%        77.1%        67.1%        71.4%

 Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.325 2.5 1.5 / 0.9

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random       8  |   2     9  |   1    10  |   0    10  |   0

    2       MM\_Open      7  |   3     8  |   2     8  |   2     7  |   3

    3      MM\_Center     8  |   2    10  |   0     7  |   3     9  |   1

    4     MM\_Improved    7  |   3     7  |   3     6  |   4     6  |   4

    5       AB\_Open      6  |   4     5  |   5     7  |   3     5  |   5

    6      AB\_Center     4  |   6     5  |   5     8  |   2     9  |   1

    7     AB\_Improved    4  |   6     3  |   7     6  |   4     6  |   4

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      62.9%        67.1%        74.3%        74.3%

Match #   Opponent    AB\_Improved   AB\_Custom   AB\_Custom\_2  AB\_Custom\_3

Factors: 1.3 3 2.0/1.3

                        Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost   Won | Lost

    1       Random       8  |   2     9  |   1    10  |   0    10  |   0

    2       MM\_Open      8  |   2     7  |   3     6  |   4     8  |   2

    3      MM\_Center     8  |   2    10  |   0     9  |   1     9  |   1

    4     MM\_Improved    6  |   4     6  |   4     7  |   3     8  |   2

    5       AB\_Open      4  |   6     4  |   6     9  |   1     6  |   4

    6      AB\_Center     6  |   4     2  |   8     5  |   5     3  |   7

    7     AB\_Improved    4  |   6     4  |   6     5  |   5     3  |   7

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

           Win Rate:      62.9%        60.0%        72.9%        67.1%