

Retrospective - What Went Well

What went well in Sprint 4?

- Completed more user stories than any previous sprint!
- Group members provided different solutions and ideas when someone was stuck
- Team members helped one another out with tasks, often playing to their strengths.
- Tasks were clearly defined and assigned early in the sprint
- Stories were progressed consistently through the sprint instead of saved for the end
- This sprint had the most collaboration as we worked through our interrelated stories



Retrospective - What Did Not Go Well

What did not go well in Sprint 4?

- Meeting attendance was not consistent, and missed meetings were not communicated before hand
- Github was unorganized at times, causing version control issues and merge incompatibilities
- Bugs in previously working code due to inconsistent API versions
- Staying on schedule, there is still procrastination from some group members
- Group engagement was poor
- Communication was lacking as a team
- Missed meetings/poor communication slowed progress



Retrospective - Action Plan

What we need to improve for next Sprint?

- Reiterate group & individual expectations
 - o For example: Showing up to meetings, pushing code to the Github, etc.
- Focus more on developing new features and less time on fixing issues
- Standardize method to pull/push project updates
- Group review penalty for missed meetings without notification (e.g 1%-2% reduction for each one)