

# Binghamton University

## Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

**Course:** 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)

**Instructor:** Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon

**Response Rate:** 9/10 (90.00 %)

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor is well prepared for class.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	0	0.00%					
Average	(3)	2	22.22%					
Low	(2)	0	0.00%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.56		0.88		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	0	0.00%					
Average	(3)	1	11.11%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.44		1.13		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor communicates his/her subject well.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	1	11.11%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.56		1.01		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor explains complex ideas clearly.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	87.50%					
High	(4)	0	0.00%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	12.50%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/10 (80.00%)				4.63		1.06		5.00

# Binghamton University

## Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

**Course:** 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)  
**Instructor:** Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon  
**Response Rate:** 9/10 (90.00 %)

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor stimulates my interest in the core subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	8	88.89%					
High	(4)	0	0.00%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.67		1.00		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor is receptive to questions.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	0	0.00%					
Average	(3)	1	11.11%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.44		1.13		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor is available to help me outside of class.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	1	11.11%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.56		1.01		5.00

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

The instructor encourages me to think analytically.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	1	11.11%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.56		1.01		5.00

# Binghamton University

## Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

**Course:** 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)

**Instructor:** Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon

**Response Rate:** 9/10 (90.00 %)

### 1 - Case Tatro-Instructor Effectiveness and Teaching Practices -

Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Very High or Always	(5)	7	77.78%					
High	(4)	1	11.11%					
Average	(3)	0	0.00%					
Low	(2)	1	11.11%					
Very Low or Never	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				4.56		1.01		5.00

### 2 - Course Interest and Demands

My interest in subject before course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	3	33.33%					
Medium	(2)	4	44.44%					
Low	(1)	2	22.22%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				2.11		0.78		2.00

### 2 - Course Interest and Demands

My interest in subject after course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	5	62.50%					
Medium	(2)	3	37.50%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/10 (80.00%)				2.63		0.52		3.00

### 2 - Course Interest and Demands

Difficulty (relative to other courses).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	4	44.44%					
Medium	(2)	4	44.44%					
Low	(1)	1	11.11%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				2.33		0.71		2.00




# Binghamton University

## Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

**Course:** 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)  
**Instructor:** Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon  
**Response Rate:** 9/10 (90.00 %)





### 2 - Course Interest and Demands

#### Workload (relative to other courses).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	4	44.44%			2.44		
Medium	(2)	5	55.56%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				2.44		0.53		2.00




### 3 - Effectiveness of Course Materials and Activities

#### Usefulness of texts.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	4	44.44%			2.50		
Medium	(2)	4	44.44%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	1	11.11%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				2.50		0.53		2.50





### 3 - Effectiveness of Course Materials and Activities

#### Usefulness of homework assignments.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	5	55.56%			2.56		
Medium	(2)	4	44.44%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
9/10 (90.00%)				2.56		0.53		3.00

### 3 - Effectiveness of Course Materials and Activities

#### Usefulness of lab assignments.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	3	37.50%			2.75		
Medium	(2)	1	12.50%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	4	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/10 (80.00%)				2.75		0.50		3.00

# Binghamton University

## Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

**Course:** 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)

**Instructor:** Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon

**Response Rate:** 9/10 (90.00 %)

### 3 - Effectiveness of Course Materials and Activities

#### Usefulness of examinations.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	6	75.00%					
Medium	(2)	2	25.00%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/10 (80.00%)				2.75		0.46		3.00

### 3 - Effectiveness of Course Materials and Activities

#### Usefulness of class discussions.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
High	(3)	6	75.00%					
Medium	(2)	2	25.00%					
Low	(1)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
8/10 (80.00%)				2.75		0.46		3.00

### 4 - Year in School.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Freshman	(1)	0	0.00%					
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%					
Junior	(3)	3	37.50%					
Senior	(4)	5	62.50%					
Graduate	(5)	0	0.00%					
Other	(6)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
Response Rate								
8/10 (80.00%)								

### 5 - What requirements does this course fulfill?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Major	(1)	7	87.50%					
Minor	(2)	1	12.50%					
Gen Ed.	(3)	0	0.00%					
None	(4)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
Response Rate								
8/10 (80.00%)								

Binghamton University  
Summer 2025 Course Evaluations

Course: 16295.202560: Summer 2025 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02)  
Instructor: Case Tatro \* ,Sumaya Falak Memon  
Response Rate: 9/10 (90.00 %)

6 - Expected Grade.							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
A	(1)	4	50.00%	<div><div></div></div>			
B	(2)	3	37.50%				
C	(3)	1	12.50%				
D	(4)	0	0.00%				
F	(5)	0	0.00%				
P	(6)	0	0.00%				
NP	(7)	0	0.00%				
Don't Know	(8)	0	0.00%				
				02550100			
Response Rate							
8/10 (80.00%)							

7 - In this course, I expect to obtain a grade							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Lower than my GPA	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>			
Commensurate with my GPA	(2)	5	55.56%				
Higher than my GPA	(3)	4	44.44%				
				02550100			
Response Rate							
9/10 (90.00%)							

**ECON360-02 Microeconomic Theory (ECON-360-02) (SUM24)****1. The instructor is well prepared for class.**

Not Applicable

0/13

Very Low or Never

0/13

Low

0/13

Average

0/13

High

4/13

Very High or Always

9/13

**2. The instructor demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject.**

Not Applicable

0/13

Very Low or Never

0/13

Low

0/13

Average

0/13

High

2/13

Very High or Always

11/13

**3. The instructor communicates his/her subject well.**

Not Applicable

0/13

Very Low or Never

0/13

Low

0%

0/13

Average

7.7%

1/13

High

15.4%

2/13

Very High or Always

76.9%

10/13

#### 4. The instructor explains complex ideas clearly.

Not Applicable

0%

0/13

Very Low or Never

0%

0/13

Low

0%

0/13

Average

7.7%

1/13

High

15.4%

2/13

Very High or Always

76.9%

10/13

#### 5. The instructor stimulates my interest in the core subject.

Not Applicable

0%

0/13

Very Low or Never

0%

0/13

Low

7.7%

1/13

Average

15.4%

2/13

High

7.7%

1/13



Very High or Always

9/13

69.19999999999999%

**6. The instructor is receptive to questions.**

Not Applicable

0/13

0%

Very Low or Never

0/13

0%

Low

0/13

0%

Average

0/13

0%

High

1/13

7.7%

Very High or Always

12/13

92.30000000000001%

**7. The instructor is available to help me outside of class.**

Not Applicable

1/13

7.7%

Very Low or Never

0/13

0%

Low

0/13

0%

Average

1/13

7.7%

High

4/13

30.8%

Very High or Always

7/13

53.80000000000004%

**8. The instructor encourages me to think analytically.**

Not Applicable

0/13

0%

Very Low or Never

0/13

8/26/24, 12:43 PM	SOOT Surveys   myBinghamton
Low	0/13
Average	0/13
High	1/13
Very High or Always	12/13

0%

0%

0%

7.7%

92.30000000000001%

9. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Not Applicable	0/13
Very Low or Never	0/13
Low	0/13
Average	1/13
High	1/13
Very High or Always	11/13

0%

0%

0%

7.7%

7.7%

84.6%

10. My interest in subject before course

Low	4/13
Medium	7/13
High	2/13

30.8%

53.80000000000004%

15.4%

11. My interest in subject after course

Low	1/13
-----	------

7.7%

8/26/24, 12:43 PM	SOOT Surveys   myBinghamton	
Medium	<div><div>38.5%</div></div>	5/13
High	<div><div>53.800000000000004%</div></div>	7/13

12. Difficulty (relative to other courses)

Low	<div><div>0%</div></div>	0/13
Medium	<div><div>76.9%</div></div>	10/13
High	<div><div>23.1%</div></div>	3/13

13. Workload (relative to other courses)

Low	<div><div>23.1%</div></div>	3/13
Medium	<div><div>76.9%</div></div>	10/13
High	<div><div>0%</div></div>	0/13

14. Usefulness of texts

Low	<div><div>30.8%</div></div>	4/13
Medium	<div><div>46.2%</div></div>	6/13
High	<div><div>23.1%</div></div>	3/13

15. Usefulness of homework assignments

Not Applicable	<div><div>0%</div></div>	0/13
Low	<div><div>0%</div></div>	0/13
Medium	<div><div>53.800000000000004%</div></div>	7/13

6/13

High

46.2%

## 16. Usefulness of lab assignments

11/13

Not Applicable

84.6%

Low

7.7%

1/13

Medium

7.7%

1/13

High

0%

0/13

## 17. Usefulness of examinations

Not Applicable

0%

0/13

Low

7.7%

1/13

Medium

46.2%

6/13

High

46.2%

6/13

## 18. Usefulness of class discussions

Not Applicable

0%

0/13

Low

0%

0/13

Medium

15.4%

2/13

High

84.6%

11/13

## 19. Year in School

Freshman

0%

0/13

8/26/24, 12:43 PM	SOOT Surveys   myBinghamton	
Sophomore	0/13	0%
Junior	0/13	0%
Senior	13/13	100%
Graduate	0/13	0%
Other	0/13	0%

20. What requirements does this course fulfill?

Major	13/13	100%
Minor	0/13	0%
Gen Ed.	0/13	0%
None	0/13	0%

21. Expected Grade


A	1/13	7.7%
B	4/13	30.8%
C	6/13	46.2%
D	0/13	0%
F	0/13	0%
P	0/13	0%

NP



0/13


Don't Know



2/13


22. In this course, I expect to obtain a grade

Lower than my GPA




3/13

Commensurate with my GPA



5/13

Higher than my GPA



5/13