

Ex1

$$\mathcal{H}^+ = \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_n} v_n u_n^*$$

$$\mathcal{H}^+ \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^+ = \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^* \sum_{n=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_n} v_n u_n^* \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^*$$

$$\text{Since } \sum_{k=1}^R v_k^* \sum_{n=1}^R v_k = \delta_{kn}$$

$$\text{Then } = \sum_{k=1}^R u_k u_k^* \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^*$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \sum_{k=1}^R u_k^* \sum_{k=1}^R u_k = \delta_{kk}$$

$$\text{Then, } = \sum_{k=1}^R u_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} v_k^*$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^* = \mathcal{H}^+$$

So $\mathcal{H}^+ \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^+ = \mathcal{H}^+$ is satisfied.

Ex2

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_k} v_k u_k^*; \mathcal{H}^* = \sum_{k=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_k} u_k v_k^*; \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{V}} = \sum_{k=1}^R v_k v_k^*,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} * \mathcal{H}^* + \eta \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{V}} &= \sum_{k=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_k} v_k u_k^* \sum_{n=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_n} u_n v_n^* + \eta \sum_{k=1}^N v_k v_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^R \mu_k v_k v_k^* + \sum_{k=1}^N \eta v_k v_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (\mu_k + \eta) v_k v_k^*, \text{ since } v_k = 0 \text{ if } k \in [R+1, N] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } (\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^* + \eta \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k + \eta} v_k v_k^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Apply } \mathcal{H}^* \text{ to it, } \mathcal{H}^* (\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^* + \eta \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^R \sqrt{\mu_k} u_k v_k^* \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\mu_k + \eta} v_k v_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k}}{\mu_k + \eta} u_k v_k^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^* (\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}^* + \eta \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{V}})^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^R \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k v_k^* = \mathcal{H}^+$$

The previous \mathcal{H}^+ is the left inverse of \mathcal{H} , when the dimension of \mathcal{U} is higher than \mathcal{V} . The later \mathcal{H}^+ is the right inverse of \mathcal{H} , when the dimension of \mathcal{U} is lower than \mathcal{V} . The previous one faces solution problem and the later one faces uniqueness problem.

Ex3

Suppose $g = \sum_n \beta_n v_n$.

Consider $H^+ g = \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} u_k u_k^* \sum_n \beta_n v_n = \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} \beta_k u_k$

$$HH^+ g = \sum_k \sqrt{\mu_k} v_k u_k^* \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_k}} \beta_k u_k = \sum_k \beta_k v_k = g$$

So $P_{cons} = HH^+$.

$$P_{incons} = I_{\mathbb{V}} - P_{cons} = I_{\mathbb{V}} - HH^+$$

$$P_{incons} g = g_{null} \rightarrow H^+ P_{incons} g = 0$$

$$H^+ (I_{\mathbb{V}} - HH^+) g = 0$$

$$(H^+ - H^+ HH^+) g = 0$$

$H^+ = H^+ HH^+$, which satisfies the second Penrose equation.

Ex4

a. Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^R a_n x^n$, so $f(\lambda_k) = \sum_{n=1}^R a_n \lambda_k^n$ and $f(A) = \sum_{n=1}^R a_n A^n$

Plug in $A^n = \sum_k \lambda_k^n P_k$, then

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=1}^R a_n \sum_k \lambda_k^n P_k = \sum_k P_k \sum_{n=1}^R a_n \lambda_k^n = \sum_k f(\lambda_k) P_k$$

b. Since \mathcal{H} is hermitian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^*$, then

$$\mathcal{U}^* = \exp(i\mathcal{H})^* = \exp(-i\mathcal{H}^*) = \exp(-i\mathcal{H}) = \frac{1}{\exp(i\mathcal{H})} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}^{-1}$$

So $\mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{U}^{-1}$, \mathcal{U} is unitary.

c. Since \mathcal{U} is unitary, let $\mathcal{U} = Q U Q^*$, where Q is unitary and $U = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)$ is a diagonal matrix.

$$\log \mathcal{U} = Q \log(U) Q^* = i\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} = Q(-i \log(U)) Q^*$$

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{H} = Q \Lambda Q^* = Q \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) Q$$

$$\text{So } \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) = \text{diag}(-i \log \mu_1, -i \log \mu_2, \dots, -i \log \mu_k)$$

$$\lambda_k = -i \log \mu_k \rightarrow \mu_k = \exp(i \lambda_k)$$

Extra: Assume $A = Q \Lambda Q^*$, where Q is unitary.

$$\text{So } A^n = (Q \Lambda Q^*)(Q \Lambda Q^*) \dots (Q \Lambda Q^*)$$

$$= Q \Lambda (Q^* Q) \Lambda (Q^* \dots Q) \Lambda Q^* = Q \Lambda^n Q^* . \text{ The eigenvalue of } A^n \text{ is } \{\lambda_1^n, \lambda_2^n, \dots, \lambda_k^n\}.$$

$$\text{Suppose } A = \sum_k \lambda_k P_k, \text{ then } A^n = \sum_k \lambda_k^n P_k$$