

Prob 1.

$$(A) \quad P(\bar{X} < 70) = P\left(Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{70 - 80}{12/\sqrt{16}}\right) \\ = P(Z < -3.33) = 1 - 0.999566 = 0.000434$$

$$(B) \quad P(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 > 6) = P\left(Z = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right) \\ = P\left(Z > \frac{6 - (80 - 70)}{\sqrt{\frac{12^2}{16} + \frac{10^2}{25}}}\right) \\ = P(Z > -1.11) \\ = 0.8665$$

Prob 2:

$H_0$  = mean level of sodium in the serum is 140ppm.

$H_1$  = mean level of sodium is different from 140ppm.

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{140.55 - 140}{9.445/\sqrt{20}} = 0.26$$

So the two-tail test rejection area is,

$[-\infty, Z_{\alpha/2}], [Z_{\alpha/2}, \infty)$ , where  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$\Rightarrow (-\infty, -1.96), [1.96, \infty)$ .

$Z$  is not in the rejection area, we cannot reject  $H_0$ .

Prob 3:

(A):  ~~$H_0$ : new treatment has same effect with old one.~~  
or identical to.  
 $H_0$ : new treatment is no better than the old one.

$H_1$ : new treatment is better than the old one.

Survived population proportion  
of new method:  $P = \frac{92}{200} = 0.46$ ,  $s = \sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}} = 0.035$ .

$$Z = \frac{P - \bar{p}}{s} = \frac{0.46 - 0.4}{0.035} = 1.71$$

For the single-tail test, reject area is  $[Z_{\alpha}, +\infty)$ ,  
which is  $[1.65, +\infty)$ .

So:  $Z > Z_{\alpha.05}$ , we can reject the null hypothesis.  
which means the new method is more effective.

(B) For two-tail Confidence Interval,

$$\bar{p} - Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}} \leq P \leq \bar{p} + Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}, \text{ where } \alpha = 0.05$$

$$\Rightarrow 0.46 - 1.96 \times 0.035 \leq P \leq 0.46 + 1.96 \times 0.035$$

~~$$P \in [0.4264, 0.5188]$$~~

$$\Rightarrow P \in [0.3914, 0.5286] \text{ for } 95\% \text{ CI}$$



Prob 4.

$$(A): P(x > 5) = \int_5^{\infty} \frac{1}{4} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}x} dx \\ = e^{-\frac{1}{4} \cdot 5} = 0.2865$$

(B). It's a poisson process, with constant  $r = \frac{1}{4}$ .

$$E(N_x) = r \cdot x = \frac{1}{4} \times 12 = 3.$$

(C). It's a memoryless distribution.

$$P(x > 9 | x > 4) = P(x > 9 - 4 = 5) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}} = 0.2865.$$

Prob 5: (A): parallel fashion,

$$\cancel{P_{\text{success}}} = \cancel{(1 - P_{\text{fail}})} \quad P_{\text{fail total}} = (P_{\text{fail single}})^n$$

$\cancel{P_{\text{total}}} = \cancel{1 - (1 - P_{\text{success}})^n}$ , which would increase overall success probability.

(B).

$$P_{\text{fail}} = \cancel{0.2} (0.2)^n < 10^{-6}$$

$$\Rightarrow n \cdot \log_{10} 0.2 < \log_{10} 10^{-6} = -6$$

$$\Rightarrow n > \frac{6}{0.699} = 8.58$$

we need at least 9 components to achieve desired reliability.

Prob 6:

(A): pmf of  $x$  and  $y$  is:

$x \backslash y$	0	1	2	3	margin
0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1
1	0	0.1	0.3	0	0.4
2	0	0.3	0.1	0	0.4
3	0	0	0	0.1	0.1
margin	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	1

$$E(X) = 0 \times 0.1 + 1 \times 0.4 + 2 \times 0.4 + 3 \times 0.1 = 1.5$$

$$E(Y) = 1.5$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - \mu_X \mu_Y$$

$$= 0 \times 0 \times 0.1 + 1 \times 1 \times 0.1 + 1 \times 2 \times 0.3 + 2 \times 1 \times 0.3 + 2 \times 2 \times 0.1 + 3 \times 3 \times 0.1 - 1.5 \times 1.5$$

$$= 2.6 - 2.25$$

$$= 0.35$$

$$(B): \text{Pearson} = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

$$V(X) = \sigma_X^2 = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = 1^2 \times 0.4 + 2^2 \times 0.4 + 3^2 \times 0.1 - 2.25 = 0.85$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_X = 0.91$$

$$V(Y) = \sigma_Y^2 \Rightarrow \sigma_Y = 0.91$$

$$\rho_{XY} = \frac{0.35}{0.91 \times 0.91} = 0.538$$



Prob 7:

(A)  $\therefore$  D = get defective BGMD:

V = from Vampire Inc, A = from Acme,  
~~P(D)~~ T = from Theranos. Company:

$$\begin{aligned} P(D) &= P(D|A) + P(D|V) + P(D|T) \\ &= 0.15 \times 0.06 + 0.8 \times 0.04 + 0.05 \times 0.09 \\ &= 0.0455 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (B) \cdot P(T|D) &= \frac{P(D|T) \cdot P(T)}{P(D)} \\ &= \frac{0.09 \times 0.05}{0.0455} \\ &= 0.099 \end{aligned}$$