

# A NICE THEOREM IN MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

MASUM BILLAL

**ABSTRACT.** The theorem-to be discussed in this paper is a nice and powerful one involving multiplicative functions.

We define  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  to be a multiplicative function if  $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$  for any  $m \perp n$ . We also support to introduce the notation  $m \perp n$  supposedly meaning that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , in other words,  $m$  and  $n$  have no common factors other than 1. In fact, we call this *weakly multiplicative* in this case and *strongly multiplicative* if  $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$  for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper, we will generally consider weak ones, unless stated. And throughout the note, let  $f$  be a multiplicative function and the prime factorization of  $n$  be,

$$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$$

with  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  distinct primes or, shortly  $n = \prod_{p|n} p^e$  for  $p^e | n, p^{e+1} \nmid n$ .

## 1. MFT

We are talking about this particular theorem. Let's agree to call it the *MFT*, short for *Multiplicative Function Theorem*.

**THEOREM 1 (MFT).** *Let  $f$  be a multiplicative function.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} f(d) &= (1 + f(p_1) + \dots + f(p_1^{e_1})) \cdots (1 + f(p_k) + \dots + f(p_k^{e_k})) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{e_i} f(p_i^j) \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* This theorem can be re-written as: If  $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$  then

$$\sum_{d|n} f(d) = \prod_{p|n} F(p)$$

*Proof.* Let's assume  $T$  is the expansion of the right side of equation (1.1), and

$$S = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

If  $d|n$ , is a divisor of  $n$ , then  $d = p_1^{w_1} \cdots p_k^{w_k}$  where  $0 \leq w_i \leq e_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f(d) &= f(p_1^{w_1}) \cdots f(p_k^{w_k}) \\ &= f(p_1^{w_1}) \cdots f(p_k^{w_k}) \end{aligned}$$

which is a term that is present in  $T$ . Thus, we conclude that each term of  $S$  is a member of  $T$ . Now we easily find that the converse is also true. Because, after multiplying, we see that every term in  $T$  is of the form

$$f(p_1^{w_1}) \cdots f(p_k^{w_k})$$

which can be written as

$$f(p_1^{w_1}) \cdots f(p_k^{w_k})$$

or  $f(d)$ . Therefore, every term in  $T$  is also in  $S$  as well. Combining these two,  $S = T$ . □

*Remark.* Since  $m \perp 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , setting  $m = 1$ ,  $f(m \cdot 1) = f(m)f(1)$  implies  $f(1) = 1$ . Therefore, each term starts with 1, instead of  $f(1)$  or  $f(p_i^0)$ .

This theorem can infer the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$  with  $f$  multiplicative, then so is  $F$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $F(d) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$  is multiplicative, then so is  $\sum_{j=0}^{e_i} f(p_i^j)$ .*

## 2. PROBLEMS

We see some applications of this theorem by solving some problems. Note that, if we can solve the problem for  $F(p)$ , we are done. First we see another proof of number of divisors formula.

**2.1.** Find the number of divisors of  $n$ .

**Solution.** Set  $f(d) = 1$ , which is obviously multiplicative. Then,

$$\sum_{d|n} 1 = \tau(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(p_i) &= f(1) + f(p_i) + \dots + f(p_i^{e_i}) \\ &= 1 + \dots + 1 = e_i + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\tau(n) = (e_1 + 1) \cdots (e_k + 1)$ .

Now we prove the following generalization of a well known fact.

**2.2** (Generalization Of Sum Of Divisors). Let  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of divisors of  $n$ . And let's say  $\sigma_r(n)$  is the sum of  $r^{th}$  power of the divisors of  $n$ , that is, if  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\tau(n)}$  are divisors of  $n$ ,

$$\sigma_r(n) = d_1^r + \dots + d_{\tau(n)}^r$$

Then  $\sigma(n) = \sigma_1(n)$ , the usual sum of divisors of  $n$ .

$$\sigma_r(n) = \frac{p_1^{(e_1+1)r} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \dots \frac{p_k^{(e_k+1)r} - 1}{p_k - 1}$$

**Solution.** Set  $f(n) = n^r$ . This is multiplicative(in fact a strong one) since  $f(mn) = (mn)^r = m^r n^r = f(m)f(n)$ . Then,

$$\sigma_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^r = f(d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(p_i) &= 1 + f(p_i) + \dots + f(p_i^{e_i}) \\ &= 1 + p_i^r + \dots + p_i^{e_i r} \\ &= \frac{p_i^{(e_i+1)r} - 1}{p_i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_r(n) = \frac{p_1^{(e_1+1)r} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \dots \frac{p_k^{(e_k+1)r} - 1}{p_k - 1}$$

*Note.* The formula of usual sum of divisor follows if we set  $r = 1$ .

**2.3.** Prove that,

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$$

where  $\varphi(n)$  is the Euler function.

**Solution.** It is well known that  $\varphi$  is multiplicative<sup>1</sup>, we can invoke MFT here.

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= \sum_{i=0}^e \varphi(p^i) \\ &= 1 + (p-1) + p(p-1) + \dots + p^{e-1}(p-1) \\ &= 1 + (p-1)(1 + p + \dots + p^{e-1}) \\ &= 1 + (p-1) \left( \frac{p^e - 1}{p - 1} \right) \\ &= p^e \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = \prod_{p|n} F(p) = \prod_{p|n} p^e = n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>We don't prove it again here.

**2.4.** *Mobius Function*  $\mu(n)$  is defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=1 \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Prove that,  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$  for  $n > 1$ .

**Solution.** First, note that, for a prime  $p$ ,  $\mu(p^a) = 0$  for  $a > 1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= \mu(1) + \mu(p) + 0 + \dots + 0 \\ &= 1 - 1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mu(p) = (-1)^1 = -1$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \prod_{p|n} \sum_{i=0}^{e_i} \mu(p^i) = 0$ .

**2.5.** Prove that,

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f(d) = \prod_{p|n} (1 - f(p))$$

**2.6.** Prove that,

$$\left| \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)\sigma(d)}{d} \right| \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

*Remark.* This problem is sourced from: <http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Forum/viewtopic.php?f=57&t=487477&p=2754756&hilit=multiplicative+function#p2754756>

MASUM BILLAL  
UNIVERSITY OF DHAKA  
E-MAIL: billalmasum93@gmail.com