

18th January 2023Back to (?) Statistician Jone \rightarrow

The statistician computed the probability of having a bomb B_1 on the plane. Let us say $P(B_1) = p$

Apparently, under the assumption of independence, he computed the probability of having two bombs on the plane.

$$P(B_1 \cap B_2) = P(B_1)P(B_2) = p^2$$

Instead, he must have computed,

$$P(B_2 | B_1) = \frac{P(B_1 \cap B_2)}{P(B_1)} = \frac{p^2}{p} = p$$

As he already has carried a bomb

The former is true if we do not know that there is one bomb.

Erdős (1976) : Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 3$ any sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ ~~with~~ with $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} = \infty$, we have an arithmetic progression of length m in $\{\alpha_n\}$

Ex : 2, 3, 5, 7, 11

$$\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} \text{ diverges}$$

$\log \log N$ growth

$\{3, 5, 7\}$ AP of length 3

\rightarrow Intense digression to Riemann Hypothesis.