Exam Pattern Recognition Friday, January 31, 2019 17.00-20.00 hours

Question 1: True or False? (20 points)

- 1. T (as opposed to discriminative approaches, that only model the conditional distribution of the class label given the features)
- 2. F (no, just local minimum)
- 3. F (no, it is assumed that the covariance matrix is the same for each class)
- 4. F (points in direction of steepest *increase*)
- 5. T
- 6. F (No, in general they produce different decision boundaries. See lecture 50A).
- 7. T
- 8. F (e.g. there could be two identical feature vectors with different class labels in the training set)
- 9. T (see chapter 9 of the Bishop book).
- 10. T (this predicts the conditional mean of t for each value of x, and should therefore minimize the SSE)

Question 2: Logistic Regression (24 points)

- (a) If the value of ink increases, and the value of horbal stays the same, then the probability that the digit is "1" decreases.
- (b) This makes sense, because the digit "1" tends to consume less ink then the digit "0".
- (c) For an all white image, we have ink=0, and horbal=0, so

$$p(t=1 \mid {\tt ink} = 0, {\tt horbal} = 0) = \frac{e^{13.16}}{1 + e^{13.16}} \approx 1$$

(d) If $13.16 - 0.66 \times \text{ink} - 0.73 \times \text{horbal} \ge 0$ then predict 1, otherwise predict 0.

(e)

$$p(t = 1) = p(t^* \ge 0)$$

$$= p(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + U \ge 0)$$

$$= p(U \ge -\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})$$

$$= p(U \le \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})$$

The last step is justified by the symmetry of the distribution of U around 0. It is crucial that you mention this justification.

(f) For notational convenience, define

$$F(u) = p(U \le u),$$

and suppose that

$$F(u) = (1 + e^{-u})^{-1}$$

To obtain the density function corresponding to this cumulative density function, we take the derivative:

$$\frac{dF(u)}{du} = -(1 + e^{-u})^{-2} \times e^{-u} \times -1$$
$$= \frac{e^{-u}}{(1 + e^{-u})^2}$$

This is indeed the logistic distribution. Hence the logistic response function is the cumulative density function corresponding to the logistic distribution. Therefore

$$p(t=1) = p(U \le \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) = (1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}})^{-1}$$

Question 3: Support Vector Machines (20 points)

(a) Using the given formulas, we compute: b = 1, $w_1 = -2$, and $w_2 = 2$. So the decision boundary is:

$$1 - 2x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$$

(b) It is clear that the horizontal line $x_2 = 2.5$ has maximum margin. So the decision boundary (before scaling) is

$$-2.5 + 0x_1 + x_2 = 0$$

We now scale the coefficients so that $t_i y_i = 1$ for the points \mathbf{x}_i closest to the decision boundary. The points now have $t_i y_i = 1.5$, so we have to divide the bias and weights by 1.5 to scale them correctly. This gives the decision boundary

$$-1\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}x_2 = 0$$

(c) The solution at (a) has $w_1 = -2$, $w_2 = 2$, and all points on the correct side of the margin boundary, so zero slack. This gives

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n = 4 + 0 = 4$$

The solution at (b) has $w_1 = 0$, $w_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, and two points on the wrong side of the margin boundary, with slack values $1\frac{1}{3}$ each. This gives

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n = \frac{2}{9} + 2\frac{2}{3} = 2\frac{8}{9}$$

Hence, the solution at (b) is preferred.

(d) Suppose the closest point has $t_i y_i > 1$. Then we can shrink b and \mathbf{w} until $t_i y_i = 1$, still satisfying the constraints. This will reduce $E = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}$, and thus produce a better solution.