

# Predicting the limits of the ELT

## Defensio

Alarich Herzner

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. João Alves, PhD  
Co-Supervisor: Dr. Kieran Leschinski, MSc

University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics

April 22, 2022

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Simulation
- 3 Observation
- 4 Analysis

## Primary objective


Estimate reliability limit for future IMF studies in the galactic centre using the ELT!

# ELT




<https://cdn.eso.org/images/banner1920/telescope-dome-landing.jpg>

# IMF



# Reliability Limit




## Motivation

- Universal IMF?
- estimate number of lower-mass stars
- understand star formation process
- N-body simulation with  $N \gg 1$
- Clustering of time-dependent data

# Action Plan

1. Simulate stars
2. Observe stars
3. Analyze
4. Measure performance



# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Parameters

McLuster by Andreas Kuepper with Kroupa, P. & Baumgardt, H.

- Plummer density profile
- virial equilibrium
- Kroupa IMF  $0.08 M_{\odot}$  to  $100 M_{\odot}$
- N 1.3k - 40.4k

# Time integration


- Direct summation  $O(N^2)$
- Barnes-Hut Algorithm  $O(N \log(N))$ 
  - approximate with macro particles
  - $\frac{\text{width}}{\text{distance}} < \theta_{\max}$
- Softening

# Time integration

- Direct summation  $O(N^2)$
- Barnes-Hut Algorithm  $O(N \log(N))$ 
  - approximate with macro particles
  - $\frac{\text{width}}{\text{distance}} < \theta_{\max}$
- Softening


# Time integration

- Direct summation  $O(N^2)$
- Barnes-Hut Algorithm  $O(N \log(N))$ 
  - approximate with macro particles
  - $\frac{\text{width}}{\text{distance}} < \theta_{\max}$
- Softening



## Multi-component axis-symmetric potential $\Phi(R, z)$

- components
  - Black hole: Keplerian potential  $\Phi_{bh}(r)$
  - Disk: Miyamoto Nagai potential  $\Phi_{disk}(R, z)$
  - Bulge: Hernquist potential  $\Phi_{bulge}(r)$
  - Dark matter halo: Navarro–Frenk–White potential  $\Phi_{halo}(r)$
- needed for
  - Force from analytic derivatives
  - Initial conditions for field stars



# Initialize mass (1)

Total mass inside code

$$M = \int_{-R}^R \int_{-\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}}^{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}} \int_{\frac{h}{R}r}^h \rho \left( \mathbf{T} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right) dz dy dx$$

$R$  cone base radius

$T$  transformation matrix

$h$  cone height


Integration

- GSL: GNU Scientific Library
- Gauss-Kronrod quadrature

## Initialize mass (2)



### Sample mass functions

- rejection sampling
- inverse transformation sampling



# Positions

1. uniform distribution
2. transformation
3. rejection sampling




# Velocities

## Solve Jeans equations

- Disk
  - Epicyclic Approximation
  - average & dispersion
  - Sampled from Gaussian distributions
- Bulge
  - $\sigma_r^2 = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_r^\infty \rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} dr$
  - Lookup table
  - isotropic
  - limited by escape speed

## velocity dispersion bulge




- 1. Integrate equations of motion**
2. Write to Database every x timesteps
3. Test total Energy
4. Boundary conditions?

1. Integrate equations of motion
2. Write to Database every x timesteps
3. Test total Energy
4. Boundary conditions?

1. Integrate equations of motion
2. Write to Database every x timesteps
3. Test total Energy
4. Boundary conditions?

1. Integrate equations of motion
2. Write to Database every x timesteps
3. Test total Energy
4. Boundary conditions?



# Coordinate Systems

GalPot by Paul McMillan

GCA Galactocentric Cartesian

LSR Local Standard of Rest

HCA Heliocentric Cartesian


HTP Heliocentric Telescope Polar

## ScopeSim by Kieran Leschinski

### Spectra

- Spectral type
- Pickles catalogue
- Apparent magnitude
- Extinction
- Weight of spectrum

### Output FITS files





## Photutils by Larry Bradley et al.


- DAOStarFinder
  - Threshold
  - Roundness
  - Mask
- Image Segmentation
  - Connected pixels
  - Threshold
  - Source Deblending

## Photutils by Larry Bradley et al.

- DAOStarFinder
  - Threshold
  - Roundness
  - Mask
- Image Segmentation
  - Connected pixels
  - Threshold
  - Source Deblending







## mlpack by Ryan Curtin et al.

- Map observed stars
  - Range search
- Velocity approximation
  - Nearest-neighbors search
  - max magnitude difference
  - compare with mapping

## DBSCAN Algorithm

Density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise

Pros:

- noise
- amount of clusters

# F1 Score

$$F_1 = 2 \frac{P \cdot R}{P + R} = \frac{TP}{TP + 0.5(FP + UP + FN)}$$


TP correctly classified as cluster star

FP wrongly classified as cluster star


UP not mapped star classified as cluster star

FN wrongly classified as field star

# Parameter optimization





# Results: F1




Star M <sub>☉</sub>	Cluster kM <sub>☉</sub>	% Found	F1 Score
<0.5	0.64	41	0.39
<0.5	1.60	40	0.52
<0.5	4.00	40	0.62
<0.5	10.00	35	0.71
<0.5	25.00	28	0.76
0.5 - 2	0.64	81	0.79
0.5 - 2	1.60	80	0.83
0.5 - 2	4.00	76	0.88
0.5 - 2	10.00	63	0.90
0.5 - 2	25.00	54	0.92


*Thank you for your kind  
attention!*





# Precision





## general remarks on performance

- Pass and loop by reference
- Multithreading (OpenMP)
- Choice of language
- Define (mathematical) constants
- C++ : `emplace_back` VS `push_back`