**CS 260 Group Evaluation for Assignment 3 – Linked Lists**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Your name: (Person whose work is being evaluated) | **Alexander Meyers** |
| Names of other group members participating in the evaluation: | **Steven Wilson** |
| Date: | **04/24/2019** |

Instructions You should have already completed Assignment 3 and uploaded your source files to Moodle. After you and another student (or students) have evaluated your work, you will submit this evaluation along with any revisions to your lab work to Moodle. You will be graded on your revised lab work and the quality of this evaluation, but this evaluation will not determine your grade.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Evaluation** |
| ***Text class*** |  |
| Is the program properly broken into multiple parts? | **Yes.** |
| Does the program compile without errors or warnings and run without crashing? | **Yes.** |
| Does it complete all the tests properly? | **Yes.** |
| ***List Join*** |  |
| Does the new method properly add the elements of the second list to the first? | **Yes.** |
| Do changes to the combined list properly not affect the second list? | **Yes.** |
| Did it pass the described test for combining and changing “This is a cat” and “That is a dog” to “This is a cat and that is a dog”? | **Yes.** |
| ***StudentList*** |  |
| Does the program compile and run without errors or warnings and run without crashing? | **Yes.** |
| Does it complete all the tests properly? | **Yes.** |

General Comments:

**I honestly don’t have much to say about the content itself, other than the way it’s organized. I personally prefer to group related bits of code together and add white space where necessary, while otherwise trying to keep the line count down. Functionally, the lab appears to be perfect. I didn’t find any errors in logic. Very well done.**