Race Condition Vulnerability Lab

Task 0: Preparation

1. Initial Setup

Disable the symlink protection.

```
[04/13/19]seed@VM:~$ sudo sysctl -w fs.protected_symlinks=0
[sudo] password for seed:
fs.protected_symlinks_= 0
```

2. The Vulnerable Program

Compile the vulnerable program on the guide PDF. Make it a Set-UID program.

Task 1: Choosing Our Target

Manually add the following entry to the end of the /etc/passwd file.

```
passwd x

40 seed:x:1000:1000:seed,,,:/home/seed:/bin/bash
41 vboxadd:x:999:1::/var/run/vboxadd:/bin/false
42 telnetd:x:121:129::/nonexistent:/bin/false
43 sshd:x:122:65534::/var/run/sshd:/usr/sbin/nologin
44 ftp:x:123:130:ftp daemon,,;/srv/ftp:/bin/false
45 bind:x:124:131::/var/cache/bind:/bin/false
46 mysql:x:125:132:MySQL Server.,,:/nonexistent:/bin/false
47 user1:x:1001:1001::/usr/user1
48 user2:x:1002:1002::/usr/user2
49 test:U6aMy0wojraho:0:0:test:/root:/bin/bash
```

Then try logging into the test account.

```
[04/13/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ su test
Password:
root@VM:/home/seed/[000]SSlab/4# exit
exit
[04/13/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$
```

The test account can be logged into without typing a password. As the "root" tag suggests, the root privilege is gained.

Remove this entry from the password file.

Task 2: Launching the Race Condition Attack

Create a file named passwd_input to store the password entry

"test2:U6aMy0wojraho:0:0:test2:/root:/bin/bash", which suggests a new root
account named test2 without a password, preparing for the running of the
loop-attacking script.



Modify the loop-attacking script on the guide PDF into attack.sh as below. Add a line to apply the symbolic link from /tmp/XYZ to /etc/passwd after deleting the old link. To avoid the undesirable situation, delete the file /tmp/XYZ at the start of every loop.

Modify the loop attacking script on the guide PDF into loop.sh as below.

Run the attack script and loop script in two separate terminals.

```
[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ bash attack.sh
^Z
[4]+ Stopped bash attack.sh

[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ bash loop.sh
No permission
```

As is shown on the screen, the passwd file has been changed.

```
No permission

No permission

No permission

No permission

STOP... The passwd file has been changed

[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/ /4$
```

Now try logging into user test2.

```
[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ su test2
Password:
root@VM:/home/seed/[000]SSlab/4#
```

The new root user is created successfully.

Task 3: Countermeasure: Applying the principle of Least Privilege

Use seteuid system call to temporarily disable the root privilege. Compile it as a

Set-UID program again.

```
/* vulp.c */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <unistd.h>

int main()
{
    char * fn = "/tmp/XYZ";
    char buffer[60];
    FILE *fp;

    /* get user input */
    scanf("%50s", buffer );

    if(!access(fn, W_OK))[{
        seteuid(299);
        fp = fopen(fn, "a+");
        fwrite("\n", sizeof(char), 1, fp);
        fwrite(buffer, sizeof(char), strlen(buffer), fp);
    }
    else printf("No permission \n");
}
```

Repeat the attack to create a root account user3 without password.

```
[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ bash loop.sh
No permission
No permission
No permission
No permission
No permission
No permission
```

The attack fails as it will never get permission to modify important and irrelevant system files.

This may be because after the program calls access() and seteuid(), it is no longer a program with root privilege, which means it cannot modify important system file any more. Principle of Least Privilege works.

Task 4: Countermeasure: Using Ubuntu's Built-in Scheme

Turn the symlink protection back on.

```
[04/14/19]seed@VM:~$ sudo sysctl -w fs.protected_symlinks=1
[sudo] password for seed:
fs.protected_symlinks = 1
```

Restore vulp.c which has been modified in task 4. Conduct the attack again.

```
[04/14/19]seed@VM:~/.../4$ bash loop.sh
No permission
No permission
No permission
No permission
```

The attack fails.

1. How does this protection scheme work?

This protection scheme may work mainly by protecting file symbolic links from being modified, no matter alteration or deletion. Thus, the file to be modified cannot be changed into something the operator has no right to touch by altering file symbolic links.

2. What are the limitations of this scheme?

This protection scheme can only prevent the modification of file symbolic links. If the attacking aim is just this read-only file, there is no need to change the file symlink. In addition, there will always be some ways to modify read-only files.