

# Aula 11: Algebraic Laws, Congruences and Axiomatizations

Interaction & Concurrency Course Unit: Reactive Systems Module

May 17, 2023

## Some Laws for Strong Bisimulation

### Some laws of the choice operator

For any  $P, Q, R \in Proc$ :

- $P + (Q + R) \sim (P + Q) + R$  (associativity)
- $P + Q \sim Q + P$  (commutativity)
- $P + Nil \sim P$  (identity)
- $P + P \sim P$  (idempotency)

### Some laws of the parallel operator:

For any  $P, Q, R \in Proc$ :

- $P \mid (Q \mid R) \sim (P \mid Q) \mid R$  (associativity)
- $P \mid Q \sim Q \mid P$  (commutativity)
- $P \mid Nil \sim P$  (identity)

### Expansion Law

Let  $P = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i.P_i$  and  $Q = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.Q_k$

Then:

$$P \mid Q \sim \sum_{i=1}^n a_i.(P_i \mid Q) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.(P \mid Q_k) + \sum_{i, k, b_k = \bar{a}_i} \tau.(P_i \mid Q_k)$$

### Some laws of restriction:

For any  $P \in Proc$ ,

- $Nil \setminus \{a\} \sim Nil$
- $(P \setminus \{b\}) \setminus \{a\} \sim (P \setminus \{a\}) \setminus \{b\}$  if  $a \neq b$
- $(P \setminus \{a\}) \setminus \{a\} \sim P \setminus \{a\}$
- $(a.P) \setminus \{b\} \sim a.(P \setminus \{b\})$  if  $a \neq b$  and  $a \neq \bar{b}$
- $(P + Q) \setminus S \sim P \setminus S + Q \setminus S$

### Exercício:

$$A = a \cdot A + b \cdot B \quad A[c/a]$$

$$B = c \cdot d \cdot A + a \cdot B$$

1° Renomear  $c$  em  $B$  (ficamos com  $B'$ )

$$B' = B[c/e] = e \cdot d \cdot A + a \cdot B'$$

$$A' = a \cdot A' + b \cdot B'$$

### Syntactic substitution definitions

- $Nil[b/a] = Nil$
- $(a.P)[b/a] = b.(P[b/a])$
- $(\bar{a}.P)[b/a] = \bar{b}.(P[b/a])$
- $(c.P)[b/a] = c.(P[b/a])$  if  $c \neq b, a$
- $(P + Q)[b/a] = P[b/a] + Q[b/a]$
- $(P \mid Q)[b/a] = P[b/a] \mid Q[b/a]$
- $(P \setminus \{c\})[b/a] = (P[b/a]) \setminus \{c\}$  if  $c \neq b, a$
- $(P \setminus \{a\})[b/a] = P \setminus \{a\}$
- $(P \setminus \{b\})[b/a] = P \setminus \{b\}$  if  $a$  is not a free name of  $P$ , otherwise  $(P \setminus \{b\})[b/a] = ((P[c/b])[b/a]) \setminus \{c\}$  with  $c$  not a free name nor a bound name of  $P$ .

já podemos fazer a substituição  $A'[c/a]$

$$A' = c \cdot A' + b \cdot B'$$

$$B' = e \cdot d \cdot A' + c \cdot B'$$

### Additional laws of restriction:

For any  $P, Q \in Proc$

- $P \setminus \{a\} \sim P$  if  $a$  is not a free name of  $P$
- $(P \setminus \{a\}) \mid Q \sim (P \mid Q) \setminus \{a\}$  if  $a$  is not a free name of  $Q$
- $P \mid (Q \setminus \{a\}) \sim (P \mid Q) \setminus \{a\}$  if  $a$  is not a free name of  $P$
- $P \setminus \{a\} \sim (P[b/a]) \setminus \{b\}$  if  $b$  is not a free name nor a bound name of  $P$

### Congruences

#### Strong Bisimulation Equivalence is a Congruence

If  $P \sim Q$ , then:

- $a.P \sim a.Q$  for all  $a \in Act$
- $P + R \sim Q + R$  for all  $R \in Proc$
- $P \mid R \sim Q \mid R$  for all  $R \in Proc$
- $P \setminus \{a\} \sim Q \setminus \{a\}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$

#### Is Weak Bisimulation Equivalence a Congruence?

If  $P \approx Q$ , then:

- $a.P \approx a.Q$  for all  $a \in Act$
- $P \mid R \approx Q \mid R$  for all  $R \in Proc$
- $P \setminus \{a\} \approx Q \setminus \{a\}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$
- But  $P + R$  is not weakly bisimilar to  $Q + R$ .

$$P \approx Q$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a.P \approx a.Q \\ & P + R \approx Q + R \\ & P \mid R \approx Q \mid R \\ & P \setminus \{a\} \approx Q \setminus \{a\} \end{aligned}$$

justificação:

$$\tau \cdot a \cdot Nil \approx a \cdot Nil$$

$$(\tau \cdot a \cdot Nil + b \cdot Nil) \approx a \cdot Nil + b \cdot Nil$$

$$a \cdot Nil \not\approx a \cdot Nil + b \cdot Nil$$

## Exercises suggested

1. (a) Let  $A = a.A$ . Prove  $A \mid A \sim A$   
 (b) Prove that, in general, idempotency of parallel composition does not hold for strong bisimulation :  $P \mid P \approx P$
2. Prove the following does not hold ( $P, Q, R \in Proc$ )  
 (a)  $a.(P + Q) \sim a.P + a.Q$   
 (b)  $(P + Q) \mid R \sim (P \mid R) + (Q \mid R)$  ✓
3. Show that we may have  $P \approx Q$  but not  $P + R \approx Q + R$

## Axiomatization of Finite Processes

Behavioral equivalences for full CCS are undecidable. However, for the class of finite CCS processes decidability holds. It is possible to give algebraic characterization of bisimilarity, in the form of axiomatizations. Alternative proof method: instead of checking the behavioral equivalence on the associated LTS, we can reason using algebraic laws. A discussion of this topics is outside the scope of this year course. For illustrative purposes only, we briefly present a basic example.

## Rules of equational deduction

1. Reflexivity:

$$\frac{}{t = t}$$

2. Symmetry:

$$\frac{t1 = t2}{t2 = t1}$$

3. Transitivity:

$$\frac{t1 = t2 \quad t2 = t3}{t1 = t3}$$

4. Substitutivity:

$$\frac{t_i = t'_i}{f(t1, \dots, t_i, \dots, tn) = f(t1, \dots, t'_i, \dots, tn)}$$

for any  $f$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$

5. Instantiation:

$$\frac{t1 = t2}{t1[\sigma] = t2[\sigma]}$$

for any substitution  $\sigma$ .

6. Axioms (a sample for illustrative purposes):

**A1**  $P + (Q + R) \sim (P + Q) + R$  (associativity of +)

**A2**  $P + Q \sim Q + P$  (commutativity of +)

**A3**  $P + Nil \sim P$  (identity of +)

**A4**  $P + P \sim P$  (idempotency of +)

### Example

Proof that  $\{A1, A2, A3, A4\} \vdash a.Nil + (p.P + a.Nil) = a.Nil + b.P$

(1)	$x + y = y + x$	<i>Axiom A2</i>
(2)	$b.P + a.Nil = a.Nil + b.P$	<i>Instantiation of (1)</i>
(3)	$a.Nil + (p.P + a.Nil) = a.Nil + (a.Nil + b.P)$	<i>Substitutivity of (2)</i>
(4)	$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$	<i>Axiom A1</i>
(5)	$(a.Nil + a.Nil) + b.P = a.Nil + (a.Nil + b.P)$	<i>Instantiation of (4)</i>
(6)	$x + x = x$	<i>Axiom A4</i>
(7)	$a.Nil + a.Nil = a.Nil$	<i>Instantiation of (6)</i>
(8)	$(a.Nil + a.Nil) + b.P = a.Nil + b.P$	<i>Substitutivity of (7)</i>
(9)	$a.Nil + (p.P + a.Nil) = a.Nil + (a.Nil + b.P)$	<i>Transitivity of (3) and (5)</i>
(10)	$a.Nil + (p.P + a.Nil) = a.Nil + b.P$	<i>Transitivity of (8) and (9)</i>

### Errata of Aceto et al. 2007 (only relevant corrections for this course)

pp. 26 Definition 2.3

- add a third condition on relabelling function:  $f(a) \neq \tau$
- the set  $L$  of labels should be from  $\mathcal{A}$  and not from  $\mathcal{L}$ .

pp. 91  $f : S \rightarrow S$  should be  $f : 2^S \rightarrow 2^S$

pp. 148 b) ...  $q \in [p']_{\sim}$  should be  $q' \in [p']_{\sim}$

### References

Aceto, Luca et al. (2007). *Reactive Systems - Modelling, Specification and Verification*. Cambridge University Press.

$$(P + Q) \mid R \stackrel{?}{=} (P \mid R) + (Q \mid R)$$

É escolhido um dos processos (choice)

são bissimilares?

Suponhamos que:

$$\begin{aligned} P &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a \cdot \text{Nil} \\ Q &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b \cdot \text{Nil} \\ R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c \cdot \text{Nil} \end{aligned}$$

$$(P + Q) \mid R$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (a \cdot \text{Nil} + b \cdot \text{Nil}) \mid c \cdot \text{Nil} & (s) & & & \\ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow a \\ \downarrow b \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow b \\ \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \end{array} & & \\ \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil} & (a \cdot \text{Nil} + b \cdot \text{Nil}) \mid \text{Nil} & & \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \\ \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow a \\ \downarrow b \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow b \end{array} & \\ \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \end{array}$$

$$(P \mid R) + (Q \mid R)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (a \cdot \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil}) + (b \cdot \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil}) & (t) & & \\ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow a \\ \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow b \\ \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \end{array} & \\ \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil} & a \cdot \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid c \cdot \text{Nil} & b \cdot \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \\ \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \\ \downarrow a \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow a \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow c \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow b \end{array} & \\ \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \text{Nil} \mid \text{Nil} & \end{array}$$

$(s, t)$

$s \xrightarrow{a} s_1$   
 $s \xrightarrow{b} s_2$   
 $s \xrightarrow{c} s_3$

$t \xrightarrow{a} t_1$   
 $t \xrightarrow{b} t_3$   
 $t \xrightarrow{c} t_2$

or  $t \xrightarrow{c} t_4$

✓  
✓

$(s_1, t_1)$

$s_1 \xrightarrow{c} s_4$

$t_1 \xrightarrow{c} t_5$

$(s_2, t_3)$

$s_2 \xrightarrow{c} s_5$

$t_3 \xrightarrow{c} t_7$

$(s_3, t_2)$

$s_3 \xrightarrow{a} s_6$   
 $s_3 \xrightarrow{b} s_7$

$t_2 \xrightarrow{a} t_6$   
 $t_2 \xrightarrow{b} \varnothing$

$\therefore s \neq t$