Assignment 3

Due: Thursday, February 27

Problem 1. Consider the following linear optimization problem with an expectation constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \quad \boldsymbol{c}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x} \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X} \\ & & \mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}})] \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Here, \mathcal{X} is a polytope and δ is a prescribed constant. How would you recommend solving the following instances of (1) efficiently? Be specific.

- (a) Let $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = \sum_{n \in [N]} \tilde{\xi}_n x_n$. Assume that $\tilde{\xi}_n$, $n \in [N]$, are independent exponential random variables with mean values μ_1, \ldots, μ_N and variances $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_N^2$, respectively.
- (b) Let $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \boldsymbol{x}\|_2^2$. Assume that $\tilde{\xi}_n, n \in [N]$, are independent normal random variables with mean values μ_1, \ldots, μ_N and variances $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_N^2$, respectively.
- (c) Let $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) = \mathbb{I}\left\{\sum_{n \in [N]} \tilde{\xi}_n x_n > t\right\}.$$

Here, t is a fixed target cost we do not want to exceed, and $\mathbb{I}\{\cdot\}$ is an indicator function that takes the value one if its argument is true and zero otherwise. Assume that $\tilde{\xi}_n$, $n \in [N]$, are independent normal random variables with mean values μ_1, \ldots, μ_N and variances $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_N^2$, respectively.

Problem 2. A risk measure \mathcal{R} is said to be coherent if it satisfies the following properties:

- Monotonicity: If for some decisions $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\ell(x, \tilde{\xi}) \leq \ell(y, \tilde{\xi})\right) = 1$ then $\mathcal{R}[\ell(x, \tilde{\xi})] \leq \mathcal{R}[\ell(y, \tilde{\xi})]$.
- Positive homogeneity: For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have $\mathcal{R}[\lambda \ell(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}})] = \lambda \mathcal{R}[\ell(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}})]$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.
- Subadditivity: We have $\mathcal{R}[\ell(x,\tilde{\xi}) + \ell(y,\tilde{\xi})] \leq \mathcal{R}[\ell(x,\tilde{\xi})] + \mathcal{R}[\ell(y,\tilde{\xi})]$ for all $x,y \in \mathcal{X}$.
- Translation invariance: For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathcal{R}[\ell(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) + c] = \mathcal{R}[\ell(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}})] + c$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.

The class of coherent risk measures has become very attractive since the financial crisis of 2008 as it was observed that the value-at-risk (VaR) fails to satisfy the subadditivity property (thus, the use of VaR as a risk measure may discourage a diversified portfolio). Show via a counterexample that VaR is indeed not coherent as it fails to satisfy the subadditivity property above.

An alternative way to quantify risks is by using the conditional value-at-risk (CVaR). Show that CVaR is a coherent risk measure as it satisfies all of the above properties.

Problem 3 (Digital Communication). A signal $s = [0 \ 0]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is transmitted over a noisy communication channel and is perturbed by an additive random noise $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ governed by an unknown probability distribution \mathbb{P} . The noise $\tilde{\xi}$ is known to obey the mean values

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{\xi}_1] = 0, \ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{\xi}_2] = 0$$

and the absolute deviations

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[|\tilde{\xi}_1|] \leq 0.1, \ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[|\tilde{\xi}_2|] \leq 0.1.$$

The signal s can be recovered exactly if its perturbed version $s + \tilde{\xi}$ resides within the 1-norm ball $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|z\|_1 \le 1\}$. Formulate a linear program that computes the worst-case probability of correct detection

$$\inf_{\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|\boldsymbol{s} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\|_1 \le 1\right),\tag{2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^2) : \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{\xi}_1] = 0, \ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{\xi}_2] = 0, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[|\tilde{\xi}_1|] \leq 0.1, \ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[|\tilde{\xi}_2|] \leq 0.1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Implement the linear program in a MATLAB function wcprob.m defined as follows.

[prob] = wcprob()

Here, the function wcprob.m outputs the worst-case probability (2). Submit your implementation of wcprob.m to Canvas.

Problem 4. You are given a data set containing measurements for 40 flowers from two different species. The data set is available in the file data.mat on Canvas. Here, the vectors $\{y_i\}_i$ are the data points. The components of y_i describe the following measurements for the *i*th flower: the sepal length y_{i1} and the petal length y_{i2} . Flowers in the data set have been labeled as belonging to either one of the two species. The components of vector $z \in \{-1,1\}^{40}$ are the labels. Flower *i* belongs to species 1 if $z_i = 1$ and to species 2 if $z_i = -1$.

In this problem, we aim to construct a linear classifier that will be able to classify a new data point accurately into one of the two species. Specifically, we seek for parameters $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ and b so that a data point corresponding to one species is contained in the half-space $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 - b \ge 1\}$, while that corresponding to the other species is contained in the half-space $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 - b \le -1\}$.

(a) (Chance Constrained Programming) We assume that the pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) of data point and its label is random and governed by the empirical distribution

$$\mathbb{P}\Big((\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}, \tilde{z}) = (\boldsymbol{y}_i, z_i)\Big) = \frac{1}{40} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 40.$$

Formulate a chance constrained program whose optimal solution is the parameters $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ and b of the linear classifier that satisfy $\|(\mathbf{w}, b)\|_{\infty} \leq 100$ and that with probability at least $1 - \epsilon$ correctly classifies the random data point $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$. Formulate a mixed-integer linear program for the problem, and implement it in a MATLAB function ccp.m defined as follows.

[w,b]=ccp(epsilon)

Here, the function ccp.m takes as input the tolerance level ϵ , and outputs the coefficients \boldsymbol{w} and b of the linear classifier. Submit your implementation of ccp.m to Canvas. Report and describe the optimal solution for $\epsilon = 0.1$. Provide a plot of the data points and the optimal classifier (hyperplane).

Hint: This is a feasibility problem; there is no objective function.

(b) (CVaR Approximation) The chance constrained program is generically intractable and does not scale well with large input data sizes. Formulate a tractable conservative approximation using the conditional value-at-risk. Implement this formulation in MATLAB (you don't have to submit the code). Report and describe the optimal solution for $\epsilon = 0.1$.