Appendix to "Add-On Regimes and Their Relevance for Quantifying the Effects of Opioid-Sparing Treatments"

Catharina Stoltenberg, Matias Janvin, Leiv Arne Rosseland & Jon Michael Gran.

a.c.stoltenberg@medisin.uio.no

July 2, 2025

Contents

A	Ider	ntification Proofs in the Absence of Censoring and Competing Events	3
	A.1	Definition of Counterfactual Variables	3
	A.2	Connection Between Our Exchangeability Assumption and That of Richardson and Robins (2013)	4
	A.3	G-formula	5
		A.3.1 Alignment with Richardson and Robins (2013)	7
	A.4	Inverse probability weighted identification formula	8
		A.4.1 Alignment with Richardson and Robins (2013)	11
В	Ider	ntification Proofs in the Presence of Censoring and Competing Events	13
	B.1	Definition of the Counterfactual Variables	13
	B.2	Identifiability conditions	15
	В.3	G-formula	16
	B.4	Inverse probability weighted identification formula	21
\mathbf{C}	App	olication: Variables, Target Trial Protocol, and Observational Emu-	
	latio	on	27

A. Identification Proofs in the Absence of Censoring and Competing Events

Throughout this section, we work under the observed data structure, notation, and assumptions presented in Sections 2 and 3 of the main article. In Subsection A.1, we define the counterfactual variables. In Subsection A.2, we argue that the exchangeability condition in Equation (8) of the main article is implied by the corresponding condition used in Theorem 31 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). In Subsection A.3, we prove the g-formula stated in Theorem 7 of the main article and demonstrate why this aligns with the identification formula in Theorem 31 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). Finally, in Subsection A.4, we prove the inverse probability weighted identification formula stated in Corollary 7.1 of the main article and demonstrate why this aligns with the identification formula in Corollary 32 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67).

A.1 Definition of Counterfactual Variables

Consider a set of random variables

$$\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}}, Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}}, A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}}\right)_{k>1, \bar{a}_{\kappa} \in \{0,1\}^{\kappa+1}}, \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}} \in \mathcal{L}$, $Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}} \in \mathcal{Y}$, and $A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}} \in \{0,1\}$ denote the counterfactual covariate vector, opioid dose, and NSAID indicator, respectively, at time k under a static regime \bar{a}_{κ} for all $k \geq 1$ and all $\bar{a}_{\kappa} \in \{0,1\}^{\kappa+1}$. For brevity, we often denote $L_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$, $Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$, and $A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$ simply by $L_{k}^{\bar{a}}$, $Y_{k}^{\bar{a}}$, and $A_{k}^{\bar{a}}$, respectively, for all $k \geq 1$. In these abbreviated notations, the index appearing in the superscript is understood to be implicit. An implicit assumption underlying our definition of the counterfactual variables in (1) is the absence of interference. Specifically, we assume that the counterfactual outcomes under a given static regime for any individual do not depend on the treatment assignments received by other individuals. Based on the variables in (1),

we define

$$\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} \mathbf{L}_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}} \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

$$Y_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}} \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

$$A_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}} \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

$$(2)$$

The variables in (2) represent the counterfactual covariate vector, opioid dose, and NSAID indicator, respectively, at time k under a general regime g specified by \bar{A}_{κ}^{g+} . For brevity, we often denote $\mathbf{L}_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min}^{g+}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$, $Y_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min}^{g+}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$, and $A_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min}^{g+}\{k-1,\kappa\}}$ simply by \mathbf{L}_{k}^{g} , Y_{k}^{g} , and A_{k}^{g} , respectively, for all $k \geq 1$. Finally, for notational consistency, we sometimes write \mathbf{L}_{0}^{g} , Y_{0}^{g} , and A_{0}^{g} instead of \mathbf{L}_{0} , Y_{0} , and A_{0} , respectively.

A.2 Connection Between Our Exchangeability Assumption and That of Richardson and Robins (2013)

In this subsection we argue that the exchangeability condition in Equation (8) of the main article is implied by the corresponding exchangeability condition used in Theorem 31 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). We first define the following sets

$$Z_{t,k}^{g_j} = \left(\operatorname{an}_{\mathcal{G}(g_j)}\left(Y_k^{g_j}\right)\right) \setminus \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_t^{g_j}, \bar{Y}_t^{g_j}, \bar{A}_t^{g_j}, \bar{A}_\kappa^{g^j}\right), \tag{3}$$

and $Z_{j,t,k}^{\bar{a}_{k'}} = \{V^{\bar{a}} \mid V^{g_j} \in Z_{t,k}^{g_j}\}$ for all $j \in \{0,1\}, t \leq k', \bar{a}_{k'} \in \{0,1\}^{k'+1}$, and $k \geq 1$, where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$. The following assumption states the exchangeability condition in Theorem 31 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67), adapted to the notation of this article.

Assumption 1.

$$Z_{i,t,k}^{\bar{a}_{k'}} \perp \!\!\! \perp A_t^{\bar{a}_{t-1}} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_t^{\bar{a}_{t-1}}, \bar{Y}_t^{\bar{a}_{t-1}}, \bar{A}_{t-1}^{\bar{a}_{t-2}},$$
 (4)

for all $j \in \{0,1\}$, $t \le k'$, $\bar{a}_{k'} \in \{0,1\}^{k'+1}$, and $k \ge 1$, where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$.

The following lemma shows that Equation (8) of the main article is implied by Equation 4.

Moreover, the proof of the lemma shows that Equation (8) involves fewer variables than Equation 4.

Lemma 2. Equation 4 implies Equation (8) of the main article.

Proof. Let $j \in \{0,1\}$, $t \le k'$, $\bar{a}_{k'} \in \{0,1\}^{k'+1}$, $k \ge 1$, and $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$. By (3), we have

$$\left(\left(Y_{k}^{g_{j}}, \boldsymbol{L}_{t+1}^{g_{j}}, \dots, \boldsymbol{L}_{k'}^{g_{k}}, Y_{t+1}^{g_{j}}, \dots, Y_{k'}^{g_{j}}, A_{t+1}^{g_{j}}, \dots, A_{k'}^{g_{j}}\right) \cap \operatorname{an}_{\mathcal{G}(g_{j})}\left(Y_{k}^{g_{j}}\right)\right) \subseteq Z_{t,k}^{g_{j}}, \tag{5}$$

which implies that $W^{\bar{a}}_{j,t,k} \subseteq Z^{\bar{a}}_{j,t,k}$, thereby proving the lemma.

A.3 G-FORMULA

First, we prove the g-formula in Theorem 7 of the main article.

Theorem 3. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime and assume that consistency (Equation (6) of the main article), exchangeability (Equation (8) of the main article), and positivity (Equation (9) of the main article) hold. Then

$$E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}}\right] = \int \dots \int E\left[Y_{k} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k'}, \bar{Y}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k'}, A_{0} = g_{j}(y_{0}, a_{0}), \dots, A_{k'} = g_{j}(y_{k'}, a_{k'})\right]$$

$$\prod_{t=1}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{t}, Y_{t}, A_{t} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t-1}, \bar{Y}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{l}_{t}, y_{t}, a_{t} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t-1}, \bar{y}_{t-1}, g_{j}(y_{0}, a_{0}), \dots, g_{j}(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \quad (6)$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{0}, Y_{0}, A_{0}}(\boldsymbol{l}_{0}, y_{0}, a_{0}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{l}_{k'} \, \mathrm{d}y_{k'} \, \mathrm{d}a_{k'} \dots \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{l}_{0} \, \mathrm{d}y_{0} \, \mathrm{d}a_{0},$$

for all $j \in \{0,1\}$ and $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$.

Proof. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime. Let $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ and denote $k' = \min\{k-1, \kappa\}$.

By notation (see Subsection A.1), we have that

$$E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}}\right] = E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(Y_{0},A_{0}),g_{j}(Y_{1}^{g_{j}},A_{1}^{g_{j}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j}},A_{k'}^{g_{j}})}\right].$$

First, let t = 0. It holds that

$$E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(Y_{t},A_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j}},A_{k'}^{g_{j}})}\right]$$

$$=\int ... \int E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(Y_{t},A_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j}},A_{k'}^{g_{j}})} \mid \mathbf{L}_{t} = \mathbf{l}_{t}, Y_{t} = y_{t}, A_{t} = a_{t}\right]$$

$$f_{\mathbf{L}_{t},Y_{t},A_{t}}(\mathbf{l}_{t},y_{t},a_{t}) d\mathbf{l}_{t} dy_{t} da_{t}$$

$$=\int ... \int E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j}},A_{k'}^{g_{j}})} \mid \mathbf{L}_{t} = \mathbf{l}_{t}, Y_{t} = y_{t}, A_{t} = a_{t}\right]$$

$$f_{\mathbf{L}_{t},Y_{t},A_{t}}(\mathbf{l}_{t},y_{t},a_{t}) d\mathbf{l}_{t} dy_{t} da_{t}$$

$$=\int ... \int E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j}},A_{k'}^{g_{j}})} \mid \mathbf{L}_{t} = \mathbf{l}_{t}, Y_{t} = y_{t}, A_{t} = g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t})\right]$$

$$f_{\mathbf{L}_{t},Y_{t},A_{t}}(\mathbf{l}_{t},y_{t},a_{t}) d\mathbf{l}_{t} dy_{t} da_{t},$$

where the first equality is by the Law of Total Expectation, the second by the definition of counterfactual variables (2), and the third by Exchangeability (Equation (8) of the main article). By analog argumentation, it holds that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}, A_{t+1}), g_j(Y^{g_j}, A_2^{g_j}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j}, A_{k'}^{g_j})} \right] \\
= \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t+1}, \bar{Y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = a_{t+1} \right] \\
f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}, A_{t+1} | \boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_{t+1}, y_{t+1}, a_{t+1} | \boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, g_j(y_t, a_t)) \\
f_{\boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{l}_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} y_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} a_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{l}_t \, \mathrm{d} y_t \, \mathrm{d} a_t \\
= \int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(y_{t+1}, a_{t+1}), g_j(Y_2^{g_j}, A_2^{g_j}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j}, A_{k'}^{g_j})} \right] \\
| \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t+1}, \bar{Y}_{t+1} = \bar{y}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = a_{t+1} \right] \\
f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}, A_{t+1} | \boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_{t+1}, y_{t+1}, a_{t+1} | \boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, g_j(y_t, a_t)) \\
f_{\boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{l}_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} y_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} a_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{l}_t \, \mathrm{d} y_t \, \mathrm{d} a_t$$

$$= \int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(y_{t+1}, a_{t+1}), g_j(Y_2^{g_j}, A_2^{g_j}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j}, A_{k'}^{g_j})} \right]$$

$$\mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t+1}, \bar{Y}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = g_j(y_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) \right]$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{t+1}, Y_{t+1}, A_{t+1} \mid \boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_{t+1}, y_{t+1}, a_{t+1} \mid \boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, g_j(y_t, a_t))$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{L}_t, Y_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{l}_t, y_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{l}_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} \, y_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} \, a_{t+1} \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{l}_t \, \mathrm{d} \, y_t \, \mathrm{d} \, a_t,$$

where the first step is by the Law of Total Expectation, the first equality by the definition of counterfactual variables (2), and the second equality by the definition of counterfactual variables (2), exchangeability (Equation (8) of the main article) and consistency (Equation (6) of the main article). By repeating this argument for t = 2 to t = k', we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'})} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k'}, \bar{Y}_{k'} = \bar{y}_{k'}, A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}) \right]$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{L}_j,Y_j,A_j|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j-1},\bar{Y}_{j-1},\bar{A}_{j-1}} \left(\boldsymbol{l}_j,y_j,a_j \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j-1},\bar{y}_{j-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{j-1},a_{j-1}) \right)$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{L}_0,Y_0,A_0} \left(\boldsymbol{l}_0,y_0,a_0 \right) d\boldsymbol{l}_{k'} dy_{k'} da_{k'} \dots d\boldsymbol{l}_0 dy_0 da_0.$$

which is equal to (6) under the definition of counterfactual variables (2) and consistency (Equation (6) of the main article).

A.3.1 ALIGNMENT WITH RICHARDSON AND ROBINS (2013)

Here, we demonstrate that the g-formula in Equation 6 aligns with the g-formula in Equation (67) of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). To this end, assume that Y_k is a discrete variable and that L_k is a vector of discrete variables for every $k \leq K$.

Let g be an add-on-j regime. In Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 65), $q_k^g(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k)$ denote the conditional density of $A_k^{g+} = g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)$ given the input variables Y_k^g and A_k^g for $a_k^+, a_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $y_k \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $k \leq \kappa$. In our paper, this density is denoted by $f_{g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)|Y_k^g, A_k^g}(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k)$ for $a_k^+, a_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $y_k \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $k \leq \kappa$. The add-on

regime is a deterministic regime, so this conditional density simplifies to

$$f_{g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)|Y_k^g, A_k^g}(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k) = 1\{g_k(y_k, a_k) = a_k^+\} \quad \text{for } a_k^+, a_k \in \{0, 1\}, \ y_k \in \mathcal{Y}, \ k \le \kappa. \quad (7)$$

Based on (7), the identification result for the density of the counterfactual outcome presented in Theorem 31 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67), can be formulated as

$$f_{Y_{k}^{g}}(y) = \sum_{\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k'}, \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k'}, \bar{\boldsymbol{a}}_{k'}, \bar{\boldsymbol{a}}_{k'}^{+}} f_{Y_{k}|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k'}, \bar{Y}_{k'}, \bar{\boldsymbol{A}}_{k'}}(y \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k'}, \bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k'}, g(y_{0}, a_{0}), \dots, g(y_{k'}, a_{k'}))$$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{L}_{j}, Y_{j}, A_{j}|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j-1}, \bar{Y}_{j-1}, \bar{A}_{j-1}}(\boldsymbol{l}_{j}, y_{j}, a_{j} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j-1}, \bar{y}_{j-1}, g(y_{0}, a_{0}), \dots, g(y_{j-1}, a_{j-1})) \quad (8)$$

$$\prod_{t=0}^{k'} 1\{g_{t}(y_{t}, a_{t}) = a_{t}^{+}\}.$$

for $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{\kappa, k - 1\}$, which clearly aligns with the g-formula in Equation 6.

A.4 Inverse probability weighted identification formula

Next, we prove the inverse probability weighted identification formula stated in Corollary 7.1 of the main article.

Corollary 3.1. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime and assume that consistency (Equation (6) of the main article), exchangeability (Equation (8) of the main article), and positivity (Equation (9) of the main article) hold. Then

$$E\left[Y_k^{g_j}\right] = E\left[Y_k W_{k'}\right],\tag{9}$$

for all $j \in \{0,1\}$, $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$ and

$$W_{s} = \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{s}=0}^{1} \prod_{t=0}^{s} \frac{1\{A_{t} = g_{j}(Y_{t}, a_{t})\} f_{A_{t} | \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(a_{t} | \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}, \bar{A}_{t-1})}{f_{A_{t} | \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(A_{t} | \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}, \bar{A}_{t-1})} \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$
 (10)

Proof. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime. Let $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ and denote $k' = \min\{k-1, \kappa\}$. For notational convenience, denote $\mathbf{X}_k = (\mathbf{L}_k, Y_k)$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = (\mathbf{l}_k, y_k)$ for every $k \leq K$. By Theorem 3, under consistency (Equation (6) of the main article), exchangeability (Equation (8) of the main article), and positivity (Equation (9) of the main article), we have that

$$E[Y_k^{g_j}] = \int \dots \int E[Y_k \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k'}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'})]$$

$$\prod_{s=1}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{k'} \, \mathrm{d} \, a_{k'} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \, a_0.$$

Let t = k'. By multiplying and dividing by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t},A_{t}|\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t},g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t})|\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(y_{t-1},a_{t-1})),$$

we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))}{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))} \right] \\
| \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t) \right] \\
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \\
\prod_{s=1}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \\
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d} \, a_t \dots \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \, a_0.$$

By standard properties of joint and conditional densities, we have that

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t},A_{t}|\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t},g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}) \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}))$$

$$= f_{A_{t}|\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}) \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}))$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t}|\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(y_{t-1},a_{t-1})),$$

which ensures that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))}{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))} \right] \\
| \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t) \right] \\
f_{A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(g_j(y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \\
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \\
\prod_{s=1}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \\
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d} \, a_t \dots \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \, a_0.$$

By Bayes Law, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) 1 \{ A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t) \} \right] \\
= \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \right] \\
+ \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{t-1} = g_j(y_t, a_{t-1}) \right] \\
= f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \\
= \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \\
= f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d}\, a_t \dots \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d}\, a_0.$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{X}_t, a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) 1 \{ A_t = g_j(Y_t, a_t) \} \right] \\
= \left[Y_k \frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{X}_t, g_j(Y_t, a_t) | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \right] \\
= \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{t-1} = g_j(y_t, a_{t-1}) \right] \\
= \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \\
= f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d} a_t \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \, \mathrm{d} a_{t-1} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} a_0, \\$$

which by standard properties is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k \sum_{a_t=0}^1 \frac{f_{A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_t, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) 1 \{ A_t = g_j(Y_t, a_t) \} \right] \\
= \left[Y_k \sum_{a_t=0}^1 \frac{f_{A_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(a_t | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{X}_t, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})) \right] \\
= \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{t-1} = g_j(y_t, a_{t-1}) \right] \\
= \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \\
= f_{\boldsymbol{X}_0, A_0}(\boldsymbol{x}_0, a_0) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \, \mathrm{d} \, a_{t-1} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \, a_0.$$

By repeating this argument for t = k' - 1 to t = 0, we obtain that the above is equal to

$$E\left[Y_{k}\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1}\frac{f_{A_{0}|\mathbf{X}_{0}}(a_{0}\mid\mathbf{X}_{0})1\{A_{0}=g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0})\}}{f_{A_{0}|\mathbf{X}_{0}}(g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0})\mid\mathbf{X}_{0})}\right]$$

$$\sum_{a_{1}=0}^{1}\frac{f_{A_{1}|\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1},A_{0}}(a_{a}\mid\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1},a_{0})1\{A_{1}=g_{j}(Y_{1},a_{1})\}}{f_{A_{1}|\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1},A_{0}}(g_{j}(Y_{1},a_{1})\mid\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1},a_{0})}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{a_{k'}=0}^{1}\frac{f_{A_{k'}|\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k'},\bar{A}_{k'-1}}(a_{k'}\mid\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k'},g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(Y_{k'-1},a_{k'-1}))1\{A_{k'}=g_{j}(Y_{k'},a_{k'})\}}{f_{A_{k'}|\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k'},\bar{A}_{k'-1}}(g_{j}(Y_{k'},a_{k'})\mid\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k'},g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0}),\ldots,g_{j}(Y_{k'-1},a_{k'-1}))}\right],$$

which, by the indicator functions, is equal to (9).

A.4.1 ALIGNMENT WITH RICHARDSON AND ROBINS (2013)

Here, we demonstrate that the IPW formula in Equation 9 aligns with the IPW formula presented in Corollary 32 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). To this end, we show that the weights in Equation 10 are consistent with those in Corollary 32 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67). We implicitly assume the presence of a typographical error in Corollary 32 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67), interpreting the term on the right-hand side of formula (68) as a functional of the distribution of the factual Y, rather than the counterfactual Y(g). This correction ensures that the formula represents a valid

identification result.

Let g be an add-on regime. In Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 65), $q_k^g(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k)$ denote the conditional density of $A_k^{g+} = g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)$ given the input variables Y_k^g and A_k^g for $a_k^+, a_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $y_k \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $k \leq \kappa$. In our paper, this density is denoted by $f_{g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)|Y_k^g, A_k^g}(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k)$ for $a_k^+, a_k \in \{0,1\}$ and $y_k \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $k \leq \kappa$. The add-on regime is a deterministic regime, as opposed to a random regime, so this conditional density simplifies to

$$f_{g(Y_k^g, A_k^g)|Y_k^g, A_k^g}(a_k^+ \mid y_k, a_k) = 1\{g_k(y_k, a_k) = a_k^+\} \quad \text{for } a_k^+, a_k \in \{0, 1\}, \ y_k \in \mathcal{Y}, \ k \le \kappa.$$

$$(11)$$

Based on the notation presented at the bottom of page 65 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 65), define recursively

$$\hat{q}_{k}^{g,p}(a_{k}^{+} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k}, \bar{y}_{k}, \bar{a}_{k-1}^{+}) \\
= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{q}_{j}^{g,p}(a_{k}^{+} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k}, \bar{y}_{k}, \bar{a}_{k-1}^{+})} \\
\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{k}=0}^{1} \prod_{j=0}^{k} 1\{g_{j}(y_{j}, a_{j}) = a_{j}^{+}\} f_{A_{j}|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j}, \bar{Y}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j-1}}(a_{j} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}, \bar{a}_{j-1}^{+}), \tag{12}$$

for every $\bar{a}_k^+ \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_k \in \mathcal{L}^{k+1}$, $\bar{y}_k \in \mathcal{Y}^{k+1}$, and $k \leq \kappa$. Using (12), we note that

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k} \tilde{q}_{j}^{g,p}(a_{j}^{+} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}, \bar{a}_{j-1}^{+})
= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{q}_{j}^{g,p}(a_{j}^{+} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}, \bar{a}_{j-1}^{+})\right) \tilde{q}_{k}^{g,p}(a_{k}^{+} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k}, \bar{y}_{k}, \bar{a}_{k-1}^{+})
= \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{k}=0}^{1} \prod_{j=0}^{k} 1\{g_{j}(y_{j}, a_{j}) = a_{j}^{+}\} f_{A_{j}|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j}, \bar{Y}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j-1}}(a_{j} | \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{j}, \bar{y}_{j}, \bar{a}_{j-1}^{+}).$$
(13)

The weights in Corollary 32 of Richardson and Robins (2013, p. 67) are formulated as

$$W_{k} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k} \tilde{q}_{j}^{g,p}(A_{j} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j}, \bar{Y}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j-1})}{\prod_{j=0}^{k} f_{A_{j} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j}, \bar{Y}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j-1}}(A_{j} \mid \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{j}, \bar{Y}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j-1})} \quad \forall k \leq \kappa.$$
(14)

Using (12) and (13), these weights can be reformulated as

$$W_{k} = \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{k}=0}^{1} \prod_{s=0}^{k} \frac{1\{g(Y_{s}, a_{s}) = A_{s}\} f_{A_{s}|\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(a_{s} | \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}, \bar{A}_{s-1})}{f_{A_{s}|\bar{A}_{s-1}, \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s}}(A_{s} | \bar{A}_{s-1}, \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{s}, \bar{Y}_{s})} \quad \forall k \leq \kappa. \quad (15)$$

B. Identification Proofs in the Presence of Censoring and Competing Events

Throughout this section, we work under the observed data structure, notation, and assumptions presented in Section 5 of the main article. In Subsection B.1, we define the counterfactual variables. In Subsection B.2, we present sufficient conditions for identifying the add-on effect in the presence of censoring and competing events. In Subsection B.3, we prove a version of the g-formula in the presence of censoring and competing events. Finally, in Subsection B.4, we prove a version of the inverse probability weighted identification formula in the presence of censoring and competing events.

B.1 Definition of the Counterfactual Variables

Consider a set of random variables

$$\begin{pmatrix}
C_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}}, D_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}}, \mathbf{L}_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}}, \\
Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}}, A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}}\end{pmatrix}_{k\geq 1,\bar{a}_{\kappa}\in\{0,1\}^{\kappa+1}},$$
(16)

where $C_k^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}}$, $D_k^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}}$, $A_k^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}}\in\{0,1\}$, denote the counterfactual censoring indicator, competing event indicator, NSAID indicator at time k, and $L_k^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\},\bar{c}_k=\bar{0}}\in\mathcal{L}$, and $Y_k^{\bar{a}_{\min}\{k-1,\kappa\},\bar{c}_k=\bar{0}}\in\mathcal{Y}$ denote the covariate vector, and opioid dose, respectively, at time k under a static regime g specified by $\bar{A}_k^{g+}=\bar{a}_k$ for all $k\geq 1$ and all $\bar{a}_k\in\{0,1\}^{\kappa+1}$ and an additional intervention that eliminates censoring. For

brevity, we often denote the variables in (16) simply by

$$\left(C_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},D_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},\boldsymbol{L}_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},Y_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\right)_{k\geq 1,\bar{a}_\kappa\in\{0,1\}^{\kappa+1}},$$

In these abbreviated notations, the indices appearing in the superscripts are understood to be implicit. An implicit assumption underlying our definition of the counterfactual variables in (16) is the absence of interference. Specifically, we assume that the counterfactual outcomes under a given static regime for any individual do not depend on the treatment assignments received by other individuals. Based on the variables in (16), we define

$$C_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} C_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k-1}=\bar{0}},$$

$$D_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} D_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}},$$

$$L_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} L_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}},$$

$$Y_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} Y_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}},$$

$$A_{k}^{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}} := \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}=0}^{1} 1\{\bar{A}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}^{g+} = \bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}}\} A_{k}^{\bar{a}_{\min\{k-1,\kappa\}},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0}},$$

$$(17)$$

for every $k \geq 1$. The variables in (17) represent the counterfactual censoring indicator, competing event indicator, covariate vector, opioid dose, and NSAID indicator, respectively, at time k under a general regime g specified by \bar{A}_{κ}^{g+} and an additional intervention that eliminates censoring. For brevity, we often denote the variables in (17) simply by $C_k^{g,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}$, $D_k^{g,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}$, $L_k^{g,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}$, and $A_k^{g,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}$, respectively, for all $k \geq 1$.

B.2 Identifiability conditions

Assumption 4 (Consistency).

If
$$\bar{A}_{k'} = \bar{a}_{k'}$$
 and $\bar{C}_k = \bar{0}$ then $D_k = D_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \mathbf{L}_k = \mathbf{L}_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, Y_k = Y_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \text{ and } A_k = A_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},$
If $\bar{A}_{k'} = \bar{a}_{k'}$ and $\bar{C}_{k-1} = \bar{0}$ then $C_k = C_k^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},$

$$(18)$$

for every $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{k-1, \kappa\}$.

We first define the following sets

$$W_{t,k}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} = (Y_{k}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, Y_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \dots, Y_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \dots, A_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}) \cap \operatorname{an}_{\mathcal{G}(g_{j},\bar{c}_{k}=\bar{0})}(Y_{k}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}), \tag{19}$$

and $W_{j,t,k}^{\bar{a}_{k'},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} = \{V^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \mid V^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \in W_{t,k}^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \}$, the subset of vertices in $\mathcal{G}(\bar{a}_{k'},\bar{c}_k=\bar{0})$ that correspond to the vertices in (19) for all $j \in \{0,1\}, \ t \leq k', \ \bar{a}_{k'} \in \{0,1\}^{k'+1}$, and $k \geq 1$, where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$.

Assumption 5 (Exchangeability).

$$W_{i,t,k}^{\bar{a}_{k'},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \perp \!\!\!\! \perp A_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{D}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{Y}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{A}_{t-1}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \tag{20}$$

and

$$W_{i,t,k}^{\bar{a}_{k'},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \perp \!\!\! \perp C_{t+1}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{D}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{L}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{Y}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}, \bar{A}_{t}^{\bar{a},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},$$
(21)

for all $j \in \{0,1\}, t \le k', \bar{a}_{k'} \in \{0,1\}^{k'+1}, \text{ and } k \ge 1, \text{ where } k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}.$

Assumption 6 (Positivity).

$$f_{\bar{C}_{k},\bar{D}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{Y}_{k},\bar{A}_{k}}(\bar{0},\bar{d}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{y}_{k},\bar{a}_{k}) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{A_{k}|\bar{C}_{k},\bar{D}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{Y}_{k},\bar{A}_{k-1}}(g_{j}(y_{k},a_{k}) \mid \bar{0},\bar{d}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{y}_{k},\bar{a}_{k-1}) > 0,$$
(22)

and

$$\begin{split} & f_{\bar{C}_{k},\bar{D}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{Y}_{k},\bar{A}_{k}}(\bar{0},\bar{d}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k},\bar{y}_{k},\bar{a}_{k}) > 0 \\ & \Rightarrow f_{C_{k+1}|\bar{C}_{k},\bar{D}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{L}}_{k},\bar{Y}_{k},\bar{A}_{k}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{d}_{k},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k},\bar{y}_{k},\bar{a}_{k}) > 0, \end{split} \tag{23}$$

for all $j \in \{0,1\}$, $\bar{l}_k \in \mathcal{L}^{k+1}$, $\bar{y}_k \in \mathcal{Y}^{k+1}$, $\bar{a}_k \in \{0,1\}^{k+1}$, and k < K.

B.3 G-FORMULA

Under these assumptions, we prove a g-formula identification formula.

Theorem 7. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime and assume that consistency (18), exchange-ability (20) - (21), and positivity (22) - (23) hold. Then

$$E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\right]$$

$$=\int \dots \int E\left[\tilde{Y}_{k} \mid \bar{C}_{k}=\bar{0}, \bar{\tilde{D}}_{k-1}=\bar{d}_{k-1}, \bar{\tilde{L}}_{k-1}=\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{k-1}, \bar{\tilde{Y}}_{k-1}=\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k-1}, \right.$$

$$\tilde{A}_{0}=g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}), \dots, \tilde{A}_{k'}=g_{j}(y_{k'},a_{k'}), \tilde{A}_{k'+1}=a_{k'+1}, \dots, \tilde{A}_{k-1}=a_{k-1}\right]$$

$$\prod_{t=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\tilde{D}_{t},\tilde{L}_{t},\tilde{Y}_{t},\tilde{A}_{t}|\bar{C}_{t},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(d_{t},\boldsymbol{l}_{t},y_{t},a_{t})$$

$$|\bar{0},\bar{d}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}), \dots, g_{j}(y_{k'},a_{k'}),a_{k'+1},\dots,a_{t-1})$$

$$\prod_{t=0}^{k'} f_{\tilde{D}_{t},\tilde{L}_{t},\tilde{Y}_{t},\tilde{A}_{t}|\bar{C}_{t},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{t-1}}(d_{t},\boldsymbol{l}_{t},y_{t},a_{t})$$

$$|\bar{0},\bar{d}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}))$$

$$\mathrm{d}\,d_{k-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,\boldsymbol{l}_{k-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,y_{k-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,a_{k-1}\dots\,\mathrm{d}\,d_{0}\,\mathrm{d}\,\boldsymbol{l}_{0}\,\mathrm{d}\,y_{0}\,\mathrm{d}\,a_{0},$$

$$(24)$$

for all $j \in \{0,1\}$ and $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{k-1,\kappa\}$.

Proof. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime. Let $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ and denote $k' = \min\{k - 1, \kappa\}$. For notational convenience, denote $\mathbf{X}_k = (D_k, \mathbf{L}_k, Y_k)$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = (d_k, \mathbf{l}_k, y_k)$ for every $k \le 1$ K. By notation (16), we have that

$$E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\right] = E\left[Y_{k}^{g_{j}(Y_{0},A_{0}),g_{j}(Y_{1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\right].$$

First, let t = 0. Since $P(C_t = 0) = 1$, we can condition on the event $(C_t = 0)$ without changing the expectation. Hence, the above is equal to

$$E\left[Y_k^{g_j(Y_t,A_t),g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{t+1}^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),\dots,g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{k'}^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\mid C_0=0\right].$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, it holds that this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(Y_t, A_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid C_t = 0, \boldsymbol{X}_t = \boldsymbol{x}_t, A_t = a_t \right]$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d} \, a_t.$$

By the definition of the counterfactual variables (17), it holds that this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid C_t = 0, \boldsymbol{X}_t = \boldsymbol{x}_t, A_t = a_t \right]$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d} \, a_t,$$

It follows from exchangeability (20), the definition of the counterfactual variables (17), and consistency (18), that

$$Y_{k}^{g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \ \perp\!\!\!\!\perp \ A_{t} \mid \bar{C}_{t},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t}.$$

Using this, the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid C_t = 0, \boldsymbol{X}_t = \boldsymbol{x}_t, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t) \right]$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_t \, \mathrm{d} a_t.$$

We now repeat this argument for t+1. I follows from exchangeability (21), the definition

of the counterfactual variables (17), and consistency (18) that

$$Y_{k}^{g_{j}(y_{t},a_{t}),g_{j}(Y_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{t+1}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),...,g_{j}(Y_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}},A_{k'}^{g_{j},\bar{c}=\bar{0}}),\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \perp \!\!\!\! \perp C_{t+1} \mid \bar{C}_{t},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t},\bar{A}_{t}.$$

Using this, we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \boldsymbol{X}_t = \boldsymbol{x}_t, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t) \right]$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t) d\boldsymbol{x}_t da_t.$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(Y_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+1}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0} \right]
\mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = a_{t+1} \right]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t+1}, A_{t+1} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}, a_{t+1} \mid \bar{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t)) f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t)
d \boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} d a_{t+1} d \boldsymbol{x}_t d a_t.$$

By consistency (18) and the definition of the counterfactual variables (17), this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(y_{t+1}, a_{t+1}), g_j(Y_{t+2}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+2}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0} \right]
\mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = a_{t+1} \right]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t+1}, A_{t+1} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}, a_{t+1} \mid \bar{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t)) f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t} (\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t)
d \boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} d a_{t+1} d \boldsymbol{x}_t d a_t.$$

By exchangeability (20) and consistency (18), this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_t, a_t), g_j(y_{t+1}, a_{t+1}), g_j(Y_{t+2}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{t+2}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \dots, g_j(Y_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}, A_{k'}^{g_j, \bar{c} = \bar{0}}), \bar{c} = \bar{0} \right]
\mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t+1}, A_t = g_j(y_t, a_t), A_{t+1} = g_j(t_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) \right]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t+1}, A_{t+1} | \bar{C}_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}, a_{t+1} | \bar{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_t, g_j(y_t, a_t)) f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t)$$

$$d \boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} d \boldsymbol{a}_{t+1} d \boldsymbol{x}_t d \boldsymbol{a}_t$$
.

By repeating this argument for t = 2 to t = k', we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{k'} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k'}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}) \right]$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1})) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_{k'} \, \mathrm{d} a_{k'} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} a_0.$$

Now, let t = k' + 1. By exchangeability (21) and consistency (18), the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_t = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k'} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k'}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}) \right]$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_{k'} \, \mathrm{d} a_{k'} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} a_0.$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_t = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_t = a_t \right]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t \mid \bar{C}_t, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, A_{s-1}^-}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))
d \boldsymbol{x}_t d a_t \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

We now repeat this argument for t+1. By exchangeability (21) and consistency (18), the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_t = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_t = a_t \right]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t \mid \bar{C}_t, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, A_{s-1}^-}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))
d \boldsymbol{x}_t d a_t \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{l}}_{t+1},
A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_t = a_t, A_{t+1} = a_{t+1} \Big]
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t+1}, A_{t+1} \mid \bar{C}_{t+1}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t}, \bar{A}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1}, a_{t+1} \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_t, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_t)
f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t}, A_t \mid \bar{C}_t, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}))
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))
d \boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} d a_{t+1} \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

By repeating this argument for t = k' + 3 to t = k - 1, we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \bar{c} = \bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_{k-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}, \right.$$

$$A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1}, \dots, A_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \right]$$

$$\prod_{t=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_t, A_t \mid \bar{C}_t, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1}, \bar{A}_{t-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_t, a_t \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots, a_{t-1})$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$d \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} d a_{k-1} \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

By consistency (18) and exchangeability (21), this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_k^{g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}),\bar{c}=\bar{0}} \mid \bar{C}_k = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1}, \right. \\
A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}), A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1},\dots,A_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \right] \\
\prod_{s=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s,a_s|\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}),a_{k'+1},\dots,a_{s-1}) \\
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(d_s,\boldsymbol{l}_s,y_s,a_s|\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1})) \\
\mathrm{d}\,\boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,a_{k-1}\,\dots\,\mathrm{d}\,\boldsymbol{x}_0\,\mathrm{d}\,a_0.$$

By consistency (18), this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[Y_k \mid \bar{C}_k = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1},$$

$$A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1}, \dots, A_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \Big]$$

$$\prod_{s=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots, a_{s-1})$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} \, \mathrm{d} \, a_{k-1} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \, \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \, a_0.$$

The conditional expectation and all the density functions are conditional on a history of no censoring. Hence, by definition of censoring (Definition 9 of the main article), the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[\tilde{Y}_k \mid \bar{C}_k = \bar{0}, \bar{\tilde{X}}_{k-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1},$$

$$\tilde{A}_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, \tilde{A}_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), \tilde{A}_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1}, \dots, \tilde{A}_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \Big]$$

$$\prod_{s=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{A}_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\tilde{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots, a_{s-1})$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\tilde{X}_s, \tilde{A}_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\tilde{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} \, \mathrm{d} a_{k-1} \dots \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}_0 \, \mathrm{d} a_0.$$

B.4 Inverse probability weighted identification formula

Next, we prove an inverse probability weighted identification formula in the presence of censoring and competing events.

Corollary 7.1 (IPW). Let g_j be an add-on-j regime and assume that consistency (18),

exchangeability (20) - (21), and positivity (22) - (23) hold. Then

$$E\left[Y_k^{g_j,\bar{c}=\bar{0}}\right] = E\left[\tilde{Y}_k W_k^C W_{k'}^A\right],\tag{25}$$

for all $k \ge 1$ where $k' = \min\{k-1, \kappa\}$ and

$$W_s^C = \prod_{t=0}^s \frac{1\{C_t = 0\}}{f_{C_t|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\bar{D}}_{t-1},\bar{\bar{L}}_{t-1},\bar{\bar{Y}}_{t-1},\bar{\bar{A}}_{t-1}}} \quad \forall s \leq K,$$
 (26)

$$W_{s}^{A} = \sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1} \dots \sum_{a_{s}=0}^{1} \prod_{t=0}^{s} \frac{f_{\tilde{A}_{t}|\bar{C}_{t},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{t-1}}(a_{t}|\bar{0},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{t-1})}{f_{\tilde{A}_{t}|\bar{C}_{t},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{t-1}}(\tilde{A}_{t}|\bar{0},\bar{\tilde{D}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{L}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{t-1})} \quad \forall s \leq \kappa, \quad (27)$$

Proof. Let g_j be an add-on-j regime. Let $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ and denote $k' = \min\{k-1, \kappa\}$. For notational convenience, denote $\mathbf{X}_k = (D_k, \mathbf{L}_k, Y_k)$ and $\mathbf{x}_k = (d_k, \mathbf{l}_k, y_k)$ for every $k \leq K$. By Theorem 7, under consistency (18), exchangeability (20) - (21), and positivity (22) - (23), we have that

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[Y_k \mid \bar{C}_k = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k-1},
A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1}, \dots, A_{k-1} = a_{k-1} \Big]
\prod_{s=k'+1}^{k-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots, a_{s-1})
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s \mid \bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}} (\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))
d \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1} d a_{k-1} \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

Let t = k. By Bayes' Law, the conditional expectation can be rewritten, so the above equals

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_{t} \frac{1\{C_{t} = 0\}}{f_{C_{t}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(y_{k'},a_{k'}),a_{k'+1},\dots\bar{a}_{t-1})} \right]
| \bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},A_{0} = g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,A_{k'} = g_{j}(y_{k'},a_{k'}),A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1},\dots,A_{t-1} = a_{t-1} \right]
\prod_{s=0}^{t-1} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{s},A_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{s},a_{s} \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_{j}(y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(y_{k'},a_{k'}),a_{k'+1},\dots,a_{s-1})$$

 $d \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} d a_{t-1} \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$

By the Law of Total expectation, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \frac{1\{C_t = 0\}}{f_{C_t | \bar{C}_{t-1}, \bar{X}_{t-1}, A_{t-1}}(0 | \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2}, X_{t-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots a_{t-2}, A_{t-1})} \right] \\
| \bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{X}_{t-2} = \bar{x}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), A_{k'+1} = a_{k'+1}, \dots, A_{t-2} = a_{t-2} \right] \\
\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{X_s, A_s | \bar{C}_s, \bar{X}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(x_s, a_s | \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{k'}, a_{k'}), a_{k'+1}, \dots, a_{s-1}) \\
d x_{t-2} d a_{t-2} \dots d x_0 d a_0.$$

By repeating this argument for t = k - 1 to t = k' + 2, we obtain

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \prod_{s=k'+2}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},A_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}),A_{k'+1},\dots A_{s-1})} \right]
| \bar{C}_{k'+1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{k'+1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k'}, A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{k'} = g_j(y_{k'},a_{k'}) \right]
\prod_{s=0}^{k'} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s,a_s \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1}))
d \boldsymbol{x}_{k'} d a_{k'} \dots d \boldsymbol{x}_0 d a_0.$$

Now, let t = k' + 1. Again, by Bayes Law, the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \prod_{s=t}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{X}_{s-1},A_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}),A_t,\dots A_{s-1})} \right]
| \bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{X}_{t-1} = \bar{x}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{t-1} = g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \right]
\prod_{s=0}^{t-1} f_{X_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{X}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(x_s,a_s \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1}))
d x_{t-1} d a_{t-1} \dots d x_0 d a_0.$$

By multiplying and dividing by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1},g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1})|\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\ldots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2})),$$

we obtain that the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \prod_{s=t}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{X}_{s-1},A_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}),A_t,\dots A_{s-1})} \right. \\
\left. \frac{f_{X_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1},a_{t-1} \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))}{f_{X_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1},g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))} \right] \\
\left. \mid \bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0},\bar{X}_{t-1} = \bar{x}_{t-1},A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{t-1} = g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \right] \\
f_{X_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1},g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2})) \\
\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{X_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{X}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(x_s,a_s \mid \bar{0},\bar{x}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1})) \\
dx_{t-1} da_{t-1} \dots dx_0 da_0.$$

By standard properties of joint and conditional densities, we have that

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[Y_t \prod_{s=t}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{X}_{s-1},A_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}), A_t, \dots A_{s-1})}$$

$$\frac{f_{X_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1},a_{t-1} \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2}, g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))}{f_{X_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1},g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))}$$

$$|\bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{X}_{t-1} = \bar{x}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, A_{t-1} = g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \Big]$$

$$f_{A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-1},g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))$$

$$f_{X_{t-1},|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(x_{t-1} \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{X_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{X}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(x_s,a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1}))$$

$$d x_{t-1} d a_{t-1} \dots d x_0 d a_0.$$

By Bayes Law, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \prod_{s=t}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},A_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}),A_t,\dots A_{s-1})} \right]
1\{A_{t-1} = g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1})\}
\frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1},a_{t-1} \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))}{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1},g_j(y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))} \right]$$

$$|\bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{t-2} = g_j(y_{t-2}, a_{t-2})$$

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1}, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2}, \bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} | \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2}, a_{t-2}))$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s, A_s|\bar{C}_s, \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s, a_s | \bar{0}, \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))$$

$$d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} da_{t-1} \dots d\boldsymbol{x}_0 da_0.$$

By the Law of Total Expectation, the above is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \Big[Y_t \\
\prod_{s=t}^k \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},\dots,\boldsymbol{X}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(Y_{t-1},a_{t-1}),A_t,\dots A_{s-1})} \\
1\{A_{t-1} = g_j(Y_{t-1},a_{t-1})\} \\
\frac{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},a_{t-1} \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))}{f_{\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-2},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{t-1},g_j(Y_{t-1},a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-2},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}))} \\
|\bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{t-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1},A_0 = g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,A_{t-2} = g_j(y_{t-2},a_{t-2}) \Big] \\
\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{\boldsymbol{X}_s,A_s|\bar{C}_s,\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(\boldsymbol{x}_s,a_s \mid \bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{s-1},g_j(y_0,a_0),\dots,g_j(y_{s-1},a_{s-1})) \\
da_{t-1} d\boldsymbol{x}_{t-2} da_{t-2} \dots d\boldsymbol{x}_0 da_0.$$

By standard properties and linearity of conditional expectations, this is equal to

$$\int \dots \int E \left[Y_t \sum_{a_{t-1}=0}^{1} \prod_{s=t}^{k} \frac{1\{C_s = 0\}}{f_{C_s|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{X}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(0 \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2}, X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(Y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}), A_t, \dots A_{s-1})} \frac{f_{A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(a_{t-1} \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2}, a_{t-2})) 1\{A_{t-1} = g_j(Y_{t-1}, a_{t-1})\}}{f_{A_{t-1}|\bar{C}_{t-1},\bar{X}_{t-1},\bar{A}_{t-2}}(g_j(Y_{t-1}, a_{t-1}) \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{t-2}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{t-2}, a_{t-2}))} \right] \\
|\bar{C}_{t-1} = \bar{0}, \bar{X}_{t-2} = \bar{x}_{t-2}, A_0 = g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, A_{t-2} = g_j(y_{t-2}, a_{t-2})\right] \\
\prod_{s=0}^{t-2} f_{X_s, A_s|\bar{C}_s, \bar{X}_{s-1}, \bar{A}_{s-1}}(x_s, a_s \mid \bar{0}, \bar{x}_{s-1}, g_j(y_0, a_0), \dots, g_j(y_{s-1}, a_{s-1}))} \\
d x_{t-2} d a_{t-2} \dots d x_0 d a_0.$$

By repeating this argument for t = k' to t = 0, we obtain

$$E\left[Y_{k}\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1}\dots\sum_{a_{k'}=0}^{1}\right.$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k}\frac{1\{C_{s}=0\}}{f_{C_{s}|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(0\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(Y_{k'},a_{k'}),A_{k'+1},\dots A_{s-1})}$$

$$\prod_{s=0}^{k'}\frac{f_{A_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(a_{s}\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(Y_{s-1},a_{s-1}))1\{A_{s}=g_{j}(Y_{s},a_{s})\}}{f_{A_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(g_{j}(Y_{s},a_{s})\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},g_{j}(Y_{0},a_{0}),\dots,g_{j}(Y_{s-1},a_{s-1}))}\right].$$

By the indicator functions, this is equal to

$$E\left[Y_{k}\prod_{s=0}^{k}\frac{1\{C_{s}=0\}}{f_{C_{s}|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(0\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1})}\right]$$

$$\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1}\cdots\sum_{a_{k'}=0}^{1}\prod_{s=0}^{k'}\frac{f_{A_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(a_{s}\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},\bar{A}_{s-1})1\{A_{s}=g_{j}(Y_{s},a_{s})\}}{f_{A_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s},\bar{A}_{s-1}}(A_{s}\mid\bar{0},\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{s-1},\bar{A}_{s-1})}\right].$$

By the indicator functions $1\{C_0 = 0\}, \dots, 1\{C_k = 0\}$ and the definition of censoring (Definition 9 of the main article), this is equal to

$$E\left[\tilde{Y}_{k}\prod_{s=0}^{k}\frac{1\{C_{s}=0\}}{f_{C_{s}|\bar{C}_{s-1},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s-1},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1}}(0\mid\bar{0},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s-1},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1})}\right]$$

$$\sum_{a_{0}=0}^{1}\dots\sum_{a_{k'}=0}^{1}\prod_{s=0}^{k'}\frac{f_{\tilde{A}_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1}}(a_{s}\mid\bar{0},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1})1\{\tilde{A}_{s}=g_{j}(\tilde{Y}_{s},a_{s})\}}{f_{\tilde{A}_{s}|\bar{C}_{s},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1}}(\tilde{A}_{s}\mid\bar{0},\bar{\tilde{X}}_{s-1},\bar{\tilde{A}}_{s-1})}\right].$$

C. APPLICATION: VARIABLES, TARGET TRIAL PROTOCOL, AND OBSERVATIONAL EMULATION

Table 1: A summary of the target trial protocol and its corresponding observational emulation, as presented in Section 7 of the main article and implemented in the R code provided in the supplementary material.

Target Trial Specification	Target Trial Emulation
Eligibility criteria	
 Registered date of discharge following the first recorded traumatic injury. This time point is hereafter referred to as the time of discharge. Eligible to receive NSAIDs at discharge. At least one opioid dispensation within the first month after discharge. Survival through the first month after the initial opioid dispensation after discharge. 	Same as in the target trial.
Time 0	
Time 0 corresponds to the first month after the initial opioid dispensation, following discharge. This time point is also referred to as month 0.	Same as in the target trial.
Treatment period	
The treatment period is measured in months, begins at month 0, and continues through month 1 for the first analysis, and through month 20 for the second analysis.	Same as in the target trial.
Follow-up	
Follow-up is measured in months, begins at month 0, and continues through month 21.	Same as in the target trial.
Outcome	
 Monthly opioid dose over follow-up. Total opioid dose during follow-up. 	Same as in the target trial.

Target Trial Specification (cont.)	Target Trial Emulation (cont.)
Treatment strategies	
 Add-on-0 regime: Never dispense NSAIDs when opioids are dispensed during the treatment period. When opioids are not dispensed, NSAIDs are dispensed according to usual care, without intervention. Add-on-1 regime: Always dispense NSAIDs when opioids are dispensed during the treatment period. When opioids are not dispensed, NSAIDs are dispensed according to usual care, without intervention. 	Same as in the target trial.
Assignment	
Participants are randomly assigned to a treatment strategy at baseline and are aware of their assignment.	Treatment assignment at each time point during the treatment period is assumed to satisfy sequential exchangeability, conditional on the observed baseline and time-varying covariates listed in Table 2. See Equation (10) of the main article for a formal definition.
Causal contrasts	
 Add-on effect (Equation (4) of the main article). Opioid-sparing effect (Equation (5) of the main article). 	Same as in the target trial.
Data analysis	
Per-protocol analysis.	The g-formula estimator, corresponding to the right-hand side of Equation (10) in the main article, was implemented using the gfoRmula package in R. See the R code provided in the supplementary material.

Table 2: Description of variables used in the analysis presented in Section 7 of the main article and in the R code provided in the supplementary material.

Name	Type	Description					
Participant identifier							
id	Numerical	Unique participant ID					
Time							
time	Integer	Months since start of follow-up					
Intervention							
nsaid_ind	Binary	Indicator of NSAID dispensation during follow-up					
Outcome							
opioid_omeq	Continuous	Monthly opioid dose in oral morphine equivalents					
Baseline covariates							
age sex inntektGroup nsaid_pre_dis opioid_pre_dis RHF trm_cent kommuneindex pt_asa_preinjury acc_transport acc_fall acc_work inj_mechanism hosp_care_level hosp_icu_days hosp_los_days res_gos_dischg ais_group	Continuous Binary Factor Binary Factor Binary Factor Ordinal Binary Binary Binary Continuous Continuous Factor Ordinal	Age at discharge Sex (1: female, 0: male) Income group at start of follow-up NSAID dispensation within 6 months before discharge Opioid dispensation within 6 months before discharge Regional Health Authority Treated at a trauma center Municipality index ASA score before injury Transport-related accident Fall-related accident Work-related accident External cause of injury Highest level of hospital care received Number of ICU bed days Total hospital length of stay (days) Glasgow Outcome Scale score at discharge Abbreviated Injury Scale group					
	Time-varying covariates						
healthcare_use B01A_ind hosp_days opioid_omeq	Continuous Binary Continuous Continuous	Monthly count of KUHR-registered health care visits Indicator of antithrombotic drug dispensation Hospital bed days in a given month Monthly opioid dose in oral morphine equivalents					

REFERENCES

Richardson, T. S. and Robins, J. M. (2013). "Single World Intervention Graphs (SWIGs):

A Unification of the Counterfactual and Graphical Approaches to Causality". Center

for the Statistics and the Social Sciences, University of Washington Series. Working Paper 128.30, p. 2013.