BU CS320 Assignment 6: Context Free Grammars

November 6, 2023

1. Given the following grammar where $\langle expr \rangle$ is the starting symbol:

```
::= a | b | c | ... | z
\langle id \rangle
\langle dig \rangle ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots \mid 9
\langle expr \rangle ::= () \mid \langle dig \rangle \mid \langle id \rangle
                      let \langle id \rangle = \langle expr \rangle in \langle expr \rangle
                          \langle expr \rangle; \langle expr \rangle
                          begin \langle expr \rangle end
```

Demonstrate the grammar above is ambiguous.

To demonstrate the ambiguity, we consider the string begin let a = b in c; d end." The string can be parsed to at least two distinct ways.

1. "Let" expression is limited to the first "cexpro" following the "in" keyword.

In this one, 'let a - bin c"

is treated as one expression.

Lexprz

- > begin (expr) end
- > begin < expr>; <expr> end
- and "d" is treated as a separate -> begin let Lid> = Lexpr> in Lexpr>; Lexpr> end. expression.
- > begin let a= b in c; d end.
- 2. The scope of "let" expression emampasses both expressions following the "in" Keyword.

Lexph7

- -> begin cerpt end
- > begin let <id>= <expr> in <expr> end
- -> begin let a=b in <expr7; <expr7 end
- \Rightarrow begin let $\alpha=b$ in c; d end.

In this one, 'let a=b in c; d' is treated as a single expression, with 'd' is executed in the context where 'a' is bound to 'b'.

2. Modify the grammar (reproduced below) to be unambiguous. Hint: There is not just one way.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \langle id \rangle & ::= \ \mathbf{a} \ | \ \mathbf{b} \ | \ \mathbf{c} \ | \ ... \ | \ \mathbf{z} \\ \\ \langle dig \rangle & ::= \ \mathbf{0} \ | \ \mathbf{1} \ | \ \mathbf{2} \ | \ ... \ | \ \mathbf{9} \\ \\ \langle expr \rangle & ::= \ () \ | \ \langle dig \rangle \ | \ \langle id \rangle \\ \\ & | \ \ \mathbf{let} \ \langle id \rangle = \langle expr \rangle \ \mathrm{in} \ \langle expr \rangle \\ \\ & | \ \ \langle expr \rangle \ ; \ \langle expr \rangle \\ \\ & | \ \ \mathbf{begin} \ \langle expr \rangle \ \mathrm{end} \end{array}
```

```
<id7::= a|b|c|...|Z
<dig7::= 0|4|z|...|q

<atom7::= ()|<dig7|cid7

<let_expr7::= let zid7 = <expr7 in <expr7

<seq_expr7::= (expr7; <seq_expr7)| <atom7

<blook_expr7::= begin <expr7 end | <let_expr7|</pr>
<expr7::= cblock_expr7 < <atom7</pr>
```

3. Demonstrate your modified grammar fixes the previously shown ambiguity.

This clearly defines the precedence of 'let' binding within '<let-expr?'. separating them from sequential expressions. It ensures that sequential expressions are evaluated in order from left to right by '<seq-expr?'. And it enforces the expressions within 'begin... end' blocks are treated as single units with '< block-expr?'.