

23 Connected Spaces

Exercise 23.1. Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be two topologies on X . If $\mathcal{T}' \supseteq \mathcal{T}$, what does connectedness in one topology imply about connectedness in the other?

Solution. The statement of X being connected is a universal quantifier over open sets, which means that if X is connected in \mathcal{T}' and $\mathcal{T}' \supseteq \mathcal{T}$, then X is also connected in \mathcal{T} .

This applies for any statement which is a universal quantifier like this. If it were an existential quantifier, then the converse would hold instead. If it were a mix, then we can't use this method. \square

Exercise 23.2. Let A_n be a sequence of connected subspaces of X such that $A_n \cap A_{n+1}$ is nonempty for each n . Show that $\bigcup A_n$ is connected.

Solution. Let $B_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$. Each individual B_n is connected by an inductive argument, so we have

$$\bigcup A_n = \bigcup B_n$$

is connected since all of the B_n have some $a \in A_1$ in common. □

Exercise 23.3. Let $\{A_\alpha\}$ be a collection of connected subspaces of X ; let A be a connected subspace of X . Show that if $A \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all α , then $A \cup \bigcup A_\alpha$ is connected.

Solution. Suppose $A \cup \bigcup A_\alpha$ is separated as $U \cup V$. Assume WLOG that $A \subseteq U$. Then each A_α intersects U , so each A_α is contained in U . Therefore, $A \cup \bigcup A_\alpha \subseteq U$, contradicting the assumption that V is empty. \square

Exercise 23.4. Show that if X is an infinite set, it is connected in the finite complement topology.

Solution. Suppose that $X = U \cup V$ is a separation of X . Then

$$U \cap V = X - (X - U) \cup (X - V)$$

is infinite, so clearly U and V aren't disjoint. □

Exercise 23.5. A space is **totally disconnected** if its only connected subspaces are one-point sets. Show that if X has the discrete topology, then X is totally disconnected. Does the converse hold?

Solution. Suppose X has the discrete topology; let $A \subseteq X$ have two points $a, b \in A$. Then the partition $A = \{a\} \cup (A - \{a\})$ is a separation of A . Therefore, X is totally disconnected.

Example 4 shows that \mathbb{Q} is totally disconnected, so the converse doesn't hold. \square

Exercise 23.6. Let $A \subseteq X$. Show that if C is a connected subspace of X that intersects both A and $X - A$, then C intersects $\text{Bd}(A)$.

Solution. If C didn't intersect $\text{Bd}(A)$, we could write

$$C = (C \cap \text{Int}(A)) \cup (C \cap \text{Int}(X - A)),$$

which is a separation of C , contradicting that C is connected. □

Exercise 23.7. Is the space \mathbb{R}_ℓ connected?

Solution. No, it is totally disconnected in fact. We have $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, x) \cup [x, \infty)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Exercise 23.8. Determine whether or not \mathbb{R}^ω is connected in the uniform topology.

Solution. We can actually just use the same proof as with the box topology, separating \mathbb{R}^ω into bounded and unbounded sequences. \square

Exercise 23.9. Let A be a proper subset of X and let B be a proper subset of Y . If $X \times Y$ is connected, show that

$$(X \times Y) - (A \times B)$$

is connected.

Solution. It helps to visualize this. Let $C(x \times y) = (X \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$ be the "plus shape" centered at $x \times y$. Each of these individually is connected. Furthermore, we have

$$(X \times Y) - (A \times B) = \bigcup_{x \in X - A} \bigcup_{y \in Y - B} C(x \times y),$$

and each union has at least one common point, so the entire thing is connected. \square

Exercise 23.10. Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be an indexed family of connected spaces; let $X = \prod X_\alpha$. Let $a = (a_\alpha)$ be a fixed point.

- (a) Given any finite subset $K \subseteq J$, let X_K denote the subspace of X consisting of all points $x = (x_\alpha)$ such that $x_\alpha = a_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \notin K$. Show that X_K is connected.
- (b) Show that the union Y of all X_K is connected.
- (c) Show that $X = \overline{Y}$, so that X is connected.

Solution. (a) X_K is homeomorphic to a finite product, thus it is connected.

(b) All X_K have the common point a , so $Y = \bigcup X_K$ is connected.

(c) Let $b = (b_\alpha)$ be any point, and let $\prod U_\alpha$ be a neighborhood of (b_α) , where $U_\alpha = X_\alpha$ for all but finitely many α . Let K denote the finitely many indices where $U_\alpha \neq X_\alpha$. Then the sequence

$$c_\alpha = \begin{cases} b_\alpha & \alpha \in K \\ a_\alpha & \alpha \notin K \end{cases}$$

is in both U and X_K , thus b is in the closure of Y . Since b was arbitrary, we have $\overline{Y} = X$, which is connected because Y is connected. \square

Exercise 23.11. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient map. Show that if each set $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ is connected, and if Y is connected, then X is connected.

Solution. Suppose $X = U \cup V$ is a separation. Then each $p^{-1}(\{y\})$ must lie within either U or V , thus U and V are saturated. But this implies $p(U)$ and $p(V)$ form a separation of Y , which contradicts that Y is connected. \square

Exercise 23.12. Let $Y \subseteq X$; let X and Y be connected. Show that if A and B form a separation of $X - Y$, then $Y \cup A$ and $Y \cup B$ are connected.

Solution. It REALLY helps to draw this.

By the symmetry of the problem, we only need to show that $Y \cup A$ is connected.

Suppose that $Y \cup A$ was separated as $U \cup V$, where WLOG we assume that $Y \subseteq U$. Note that this implies $V \subseteq A$. We will show that V is open and closed in X .

Since $V \subseteq A$, we have

$$V \text{ open in } Y \cup A \implies V \text{ open in } A \implies V \text{ open in } X.$$

A similar argument applies to show that V is closed in X , thus V and $X - V$ form a separation of X . \square