Homework 3

Trevor Caughlin

Question 1: What is the effect of cut quality on diamond price?

These data are the prices of 50,000 round cut diamonds (source: http://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/reference/diamonds.html)

Data includes: **Price** in US dollars (\$326-\$18,823), **Carat** (weight) of the diamond (0.2-5.01), and **Cut** (quality of the cut; Fair, Good, Very Good, Premium, Ideal)

```
diamonds<-read.csv("diamond.csv")</pre>
head(diamonds)
##
     price
                 cut carat
## 1
       326
               Ideal 0.23
## 2
       326
             Premium 0.21
                Good 0.23
## 3
       327
## 4
       334
             Premium 0.29
                Good 0.31
## 5
       335
       336 Very Good 0.24
## 6
```

What is the effect of each cut on the price of a typical diamond (in dollars)?

As output, produce a boxplot of original data, parameter estimates, and 95% CI

Question 2: Does education have an impact on contraception use?

These data represent contraception use in a sample of 1607 married women from Fiji.

Source: "Reference: Little, R. J. A. (1978). Generalized Linear Models for Cross-Classified Data from the WFS. World Fertility Survey Technical Bulletins, Number 5."

Data include: **age** (cohort of women), **education** (education level), **notUsing** (count of women in each sample not using contraception), **using** (count of women in each sample using contraception), and **total number of women in sample** (total count)

```
cuse<-read.csv("contraception.csv")</pre>
head(cuse)
##
        age education notUsing using Total
## 1
        <25
                   low
                              53
                                      6
                                            59
                              10
## 2
        <25
                   low
                                      4
                                            14
## 3
        <25
                  high
                             212
                                     52
                                           264
## 4
        <25
                  high
                              50
                                     10
                                            60
```

```
## 5 25-29 low 60 14 74
## 6 25-29 low 19 10 29
```

Use a binomial glm to test the hypothesis that increased education promotes contraception use.

As output, produce a boxplot of data, parameter estimates, and 95% CI (and a short sentence on whether you reject the null hypothesis or not).

Question 3

A controversial recent paper claimed that female-named hurricanes led to more deaths than male-named hurricanes (also known as himmicanes), because people do not take hurricanes as seriously as himmicanes. You can find a link to the paper here: http://www.pnas.org/content/111/24/8782.short

Citation: Jung, Kiju, Sharon Shavitt, Madhu Viswanathan, and Joseph M. Hilbe. 2014. "Female Hurricanes Are Deadlier than Male Hurricanes." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111 (24): 8782-87. doi:10.1073/pnas.1402786111.

Here are the data used for their paper:

```
hurricanes<-read.csv("Hurricane Dataset.csv")</pre>
head(hurricanes)
##
              Name MasFem MinPressure_before Minpressure_Updated.2014
     Year
## 1 1950
               Easy 6.77778
                                            958
                                                                       960
              King 1.38889
## 2 1950
                                            955
                                                                       955
## 3 1952
               Able 3.83333
                                            985
                                                                       985
## 4 1953
          Barbara 9.83333
                                            987
                                                                       987
## 5 1953 Florence 8.33333
                                            985
                                                                       985
                                            960
                                                                       960
## 6 1954
             Carol 8.11111
##
     Gender MF Category alldeaths
                                     NDAM Elapsed.Yrs Source
                                                               ZMasFem
             F
                       3
                                  2
## 1
                                     1590
                                                    63
                                                          MWR -0.00094
## 2
             Μ
                       3
                                  4
                                     5350
                                                    63
                                                          MWR -1.67076
                                  3
                                                    61
## 3
             Μ
                       1
                                      150
                                                          MWR -0.91331
             F
## 4
                       1
                                  1
                                       58
                                                    60
                                                          MWR 0.94587
              F
## 5
                       1
                                  0
                                       15
                                                    60
                                                          MWR
                                                               0.48108
             F
                       3
                                 60 19321
                                                    59
## 6
                                                          MWR
                                                               0.41222
##
     ZMinPressure A
                        ZNDAM
## 1
           -0.35636 -0.43913
## 2
           -0.51125 -0.14843
## 3
            1.03765 -0.55047
## 4
            1.14091 -0.55758
## 5
            1.03765 -0.56090
## 6
           -0.25310 0.93174
```

Re-analyze their data using Poisson glm, with a focus on **alldeaths** as a response variable and **Gender_MF** as a predictor variable. How do your results differ from Jung et al.'s

conclusions? What could Jung et al. have done differently to inspire more confidence in their analyses?

Question 4

Find one dataset from your own research that could be modelled as normal, binomial, or poisson regression (note: http://datadryad.org/ is a great source for existing datasets if you don't have your own data yet). Run a glm on your data and interpret results in terms of effect size, visualization, and statistical significance.