# Tipping Point Sensitivity Analyses

Lucy D'Agostino McGowan

**Wake Forest University** 

2021-09-01 (updated: 2021-10-18)

#### Recall: Propensity scores

Rosenbaum and Rubin showed in observational studies, conditioning on propensity scores can lead to unbiased estimates of the exposure effect

- 1 There are no unmeasured confounders
- Every subject has a nonzero probability of receiving either exposure

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- **The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect**
- **3** The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

# What will tip our confidence bound to cross zero?

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

# **Tipping point**

$$eta_{UO}(LB_{obs},\delta)$$

# **Tipping point**

$$eta_{UO}(LB_{obs},\delta)$$

 $\beta_{UO}$ : the unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

# **Tipping point**

$$eta_{UO}(LB_{obs},\delta)$$

 $LB_{obs}$ : limiting bound - the bound closest to the null

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

# **Tipping point**

$$eta_{UO}(LB_{obs},\delta)$$

 $\delta$ : standardized mean difference of the unmeasured confounder between the exposed and unexposed groups

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

# **Tipping Point**

$$eta_{UO}(LB_{obs},\delta) = rac{LB_{obs}}{\delta}$$

# **Tipping Point**

$$\delta(LB_{obs},eta_{UO})=rac{LB_{obs}}{eta_{UO}}$$

# tipr

#### **Main function**

lm\_tip()

# d: a data frame that includes the observed confidence bounds

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

#### **Main function**

lm\_tip()

smd: scaled mean difference between the unmeasured confounder in the exposed and unexposed population

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

#### **Main function**

lm\_tip()

outcome\_association: association between the unmeasured confounder and outcome

- 1 The exposure-outcome effect
- 2 The unmeasured counfounder-exposure effect
- 3 The unmeasured confounder-outcome effect

#### **Main function**

```
lm_tip()
```

d

smd

outcome\_association

#### **Main function**

lm\_tip()

specify one, it will estimate the other

d

smd

outcome\_association

#### **Example**

Our causal effect estimate: 3.5 lbs (95% CI 2.4 lbs, 4.4 lbs)

The observed effect (2.4, 4.4) WOULD be tipped by 1 unmeasured confounder with the following specifications:

estimated standardized mean difference between the unmeasured confounder in the exposed population and unexposed population: 0.3

estimated association between the unmeasured confounder and the outcome: 8

#### Your turn

1 Use the lm\_tip() function to conduct a sensitivity analysis for the estimate from your previous exercises.