For this exercise we will be using the ExoPlex mass-radius-composition solver to try and determine the compositions of the TRAPPIST-1 planets. The mass and radius of the TRAPPIST-1 planets are some of the precise available (both measured to <10%) due to the nature of the TTV methods used to measure these properties.

Each group will be utilizing the same dataset and varying a different planetary parameter. To start here is the basic data available for the TRAPPIST-1 planets from Grimm et al., 2018 (The Astrophysical Journal):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Planet** | Mass [Earth Masses] (+σ/-σ) | Radius [Earth Radii]  (+σ/-σ) | Density [Earth Density] (+σ/-σ) |
| TRAPPIST-1b | 1.07 (+0.154, -0.143) | 1.121 (+0.031, -0.032) | 0.726 (+0.092, -0.091) |
| TRAPPIST-1c | 1.156 (+0.142, -0.131) | 1.095 (+0.030, -0.031) | 0.883 (+0.083, -0.078) |
| TRAPPIST-1d | 0.297 (+0.039, -0.035) | 0.784 (+0.023, -0.023) | 0.616 (+0.067, -0.062) |
| TRAPPIST-1e | 0.772 (+0.079, -0.075) | 0.910 (+0.026, -0.027) | 1.024 (+0.076, -0.070) |
| TRAPPIST-1f | 0.934 (+0.080, -0.078) | 1.046 (+0.029, -0.030) | 0.816 (+0.038, -0.036) |
| TRAPPIST-1g | 1.148 (+0.098, -0.095) | 1.148 (+0.032, -0.033) | 0.759 (+0.034, -0.033) |
| TRAPPIST-1h | 0.331 (+0.056, -0.049) | 0.773 (+0.026, -0.027) | 0.719 (+0.117, -0.102) |

Some useful values:

1 Earth mass = 5.97 \* 1024 kg

1 Earth radius = 6371 km

Earth Density: 5512 kg m-3 = 5.512 g/cc

ExoPlex Mantle Validity Range (all values by mole):

0.5 ≤ Si/Mg ≤ 2.0 (steps of 0.1)

0.02 ≤ Ca/Mg ≤ 0.1 (steps of 0.01)

0.04 ≤ Al/Mg ≤ 0.12 (steps of 0.01)

0.0 ≤ Mass Fraction FeO ≤ 0.20 (0.0 – 0.1 steps of 0.02, 0.15, 0.2)

1400 ≤ Mantle Potential Temperature ≤ 2000 Kelvin

For these exercises each group will be changing a planetary compositional parameter and calculating what range of these parameters are consistent with the observed densities of the TRAPPIST-1 planets.

For each model, every parameter can be changed in MR\_Trappist.py. There is a duplicate file included for ease in undoing mistakes named duplicate\_MR\_Trappist.py.

All text editing will be done in NANO and a Jupyter notebook. NOTE: if you want to make your data tables in Excel, that’s totally okay!

**Team Water Content**

1. Begin by making sure you are in the ExoPlex/Example folder in the terminal. (cd ExoPlex/Examples)
2. Let’s begin by building a planet. To do this you need only to decide a composition (providing values for CaMg, FeMg, Mantle temperature etc.) and how many depth slices you’d like to appear in the core, mantle and water layers (num\_core\_layers, num\_mantle\_layers and number\_h2o\_layers). Note that if you do not include a water layer, number\_h2o\_layers should be set to zero.
   1. Type **python MR\_Trappist.py** and press Return. A plot should appear that shows you the density, pressure, gravity and temperature profile within your planet. This is for a two-layer planet (mantle + core) with the same mass as TRAPPIST-1b and a Earth composition (note: no water). Write down the calculated radius for this mass and composition. This will be your baseline mass (for a T1b size planet).
      1. If you’d like to plot this again (minus gravity), open the Jupyter notebook Plots.ipynb in a separate terminal. Open a second termainal, ssh into the AWS instance, cd ExoPlex/Examples, type jupyter notebook --no-browser Plots.ipynb. Follow the instructions.
   2. Now try changing things! Add water. Change FeMg and SiMg. Each time you change something, rerun python MR\_Trappist.py and see if you notice any changes. Compare your plots with those from others within your group and see if you can find changes. (Make a note of your changes so you can reset to the Earth composition). Make sure to change your filename to describe what you changed so you can compare. Feel free to use the Plots.ipynb to plot pressure, temperature and density for your new planets.
   3. Write down what you’ve changed, and some of your observations on how the calculated radius is changing and other aspects of the planetary interior (core radius, pressure at middle of core etc.). Once you’ve tried a few different compositions do you notice any trends? Note these down!
      1. If ExoPlex breaks, double check that you haven’t gone outside the compositional bounds and rerun. If it’s still breaking, let me know and we’ll find the fix.
   4. Go ahead and reset your compositions back to where they started. If you missed it, I’ve provided a duplicate file just in case that’s default values (duplicate\_MR\_Trappist.py). Just copy and paste this sheet back into the one you were working in.
   5. If you don’t want to see this plot EVERY time, follow instructions after line that says “#Now let us plot'”, roughly line 171 in MR\_Trappist.py
3. Now that we have a sense of what things can happen, let’s focus on your group’s individual parameter. Begin with TRAPPIST-1 b and assign different team members the other planets.
   1. Water contents can vary by as much as 0 percent of a planet by weight (bone dry) to very wet at 50% by weight. For reference, the Earth has only 0.05% water by mass. Try varying water content (changing wt\_frac\_water and number\_h2o\_layers).
   2. If you’re proficient in python, you can write a loop to do this for you. If not, you can copy things by hand into the Plots.ipynb “individual runs” section of the Jupyter notebook. Regardless, run for at least 15 different water contents including zero. ExoPlex should work all the way up to 75 wt% water. Keep track of the resulting radii and your input water mass fraction; these will be used in 4a and b.
   3. How much water do you estimate a planet needs to change its radius by ~10% for each planet?
   4. Which water content looks like the best fit for each TRAPPIST-1 planet? Write these values down for each T1 planet.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Planet | Best-fit water content (wt%) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |

1. Let’s be more precise with our determination of “best-fit” water content. To do this we will adopt a chi-squared test. We define this test by:
   1. When χ2 ≤ 1, this is considered a good fit. For each of the radii you calculated in 3b, calculate χ2. Either automate this using python, or proceed by hand. Make sure to do this for each T1 planet. For simplicity adopt the larger of the two σ values for each planet (Table 1).
   2. Input these χ2 values into the chi-squared section of plots.ipynb. Note to keep track of your x-axis (water content) and y-axis (χ2).
   3. You may find MANY compositions produce χ2 ≤ 1. What are the minimum and maximum water contents that produce χ2 ≤ 1?
      1. It is also possible to find no amount of variation in your parameter causes χ2 to fall below one. This just means that our model is insensitive to changes in your parameter. Does this mean we can constrain this aspect of a planet’s composition using only mass and radius?
      2. Fill out the table below, and make sure to include it in your talk!
2. Would you say the TRAPPIST-1 planets are consistent with being “Earth-like?”

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planet | Min. Water Content wt% (χ2 ≤ 1) | Max Water Content wt% (χ2 ≤ 1) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |

**Complete 6 and 7 if you have time.**

1. Unfortunately planetary mass has uncertainty that we aren’t accounting for in these above models. In order to account for this we must randomly sample the mass within the observational uncertainty.
   1. Currently Mass\_planet\_sigma (line 40) is set to zero. Change this value to your individual T1 planet’s respective mass uncertainty (available in the comments of MR\_Trappist.py).
   2. Now instead of running a single iteration, let’s run 50 total samplings. Change number\_of\_runs (line 84) to 50.
   3. Currently MR\_Trappist.py is set up to save a file that contains the data produced from all 50 runs. If you’d like to give this file a special name, change Output\_filename (line 82) to whatever you like. Note the code automatically adds “.txt” to the end.
   4. Run MR\_Trappist.py for your planet and maximum and minimum best fit compositions you found above. (100 runs today, 50 for max, 50 for min)
   5. In plots.ipynb, upload this datafile and plot the respective histogram.
      1. How much does radius vary for this “best-fit” composition just from the uncertainty in mass? Is this variation larger or smaller than the uncertainty on radius itself?

1. If you have even more time, calculate and plot χ2 for each of these 100 runs (same as 5a-c). Did your range of “best fit” compositions expand? If so, how much? Fill in the same table as above:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planet | Min. Water Content wt% (χ2 ≤ 1) | Max Water Content wt% (χ2 ≤ 1) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |