

# NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

**GRADE 12** 

**ENGLISH HOME LANGUAGE P3** 

**FEBRUARY/MARCH 2011** 

**MEMORANDUM** 

**MARKS: 100** 

This memorandum consists of 7 pages.

#### INFORMATION FOR THE MARKER

In assessing a candidate's work, the following aspects, among others, drawn from the assessment rubric, must be borne in mind:

- The overall effect of planning, drafting, proofreading and editing of the work on the final text produced
- Awareness of writing for a specific purpose, audience and context as well as register, style and tone – especially in SECTIONS B and C
- Grammar, spelling and punctuation
- Language structures, including an awareness of critical language
- Choice of words and idiomatic language
- Sentence construction
- Paragraphing
- Interpretation of the topic that will be reflected in the overall content: introduction, development of ideas and conclusion

#### SUGGESTED APPROACH TO MARKING

#### **SECTION A: ESSAY**

Refer to SECTION A: Rubric for Assessing an Essay found on page 5 of this memorandum.

| CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT |       |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| CRITERIA                     | MARKS |  |  |  |  |
| CONTENT AND PLANNING         | 30    |  |  |  |  |
| LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING  | 15    |  |  |  |  |
| STRUCTURE                    | 5     |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                        | 50    |  |  |  |  |

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT AND PLANNING.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
- 3. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for STRUCTURE.

#### SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

Refer to SECTION B: Rubric for Assessing Longer Transactional Texts found on page 6 of this memorandum.

| CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT |       |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| CRITERIA                     | MARKS |  |  |  |
| CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT | 18    |  |  |  |
| LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING  | 12    |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                        | 30    |  |  |  |

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.

#### SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT: TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL

Refer to SECTION C: Rubric for Assessing Shorter Transactional/Referential/ Transactional Texts found on page 7 of this memorandum.

| CRITERIA USED FOR ASSESSMENT |       |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| CRITERIA                     | MARKS |  |  |  |
| CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT | 12    |  |  |  |
| LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING  | 8     |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                        | 20    |  |  |  |

- Read the whole piece and decide on a category for CONTENT, PLANNING AND FORMAT.
- 2. Re-read the piece and select the appropriate category for LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING.
- Various formats of transactional/referential/informational texts have been taught/are in current practice. This has to be considered when assessing the format.
- Give credit for appropriateness of format.
- Look for a logical approach in all writing.

## SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY – HOME LANGUAGE (50)

|   | Code 7:<br>Outstanding<br>80 - 100%<br><u>24 - 30</u>   | Code 6:<br>Meritorious<br>70 – 79%<br><u>21 – 23½</u>  | Code 5:<br>Substantial<br>60 – 69%<br><u>18 – 20½</u>   | Code 4:<br>Adequate<br>50 – 59%<br>15 – 17½  | Code 3:<br>Moderate<br>40 – 49%<br><u>12 – 14½</u>   | Code 2:<br>Elementary<br>30 – 39%<br><u>9 – 11½</u>  | Code 1:<br>Not achieved<br>0 – 29%<br><u>0 – 8½</u>  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| CONTENT & PLANNING (30 MARKS)                 | -Content outstanding, highly originalIdeas thought-provoking, maturePlanning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable essay.   | -Content meritorious, originalIdeas imaginative, interesting Planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable essay.   | -Content sound, reasonably coherentIdeas interesting, convincing Planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and good essay.  | -Content appropriate, adequately coherentIdeas interesting, adequately original Planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactory, presentable essay.   | -Content mediocre, ordinary. Gaps in coherenceIdeas mostly relevant. Limited originality Planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent essay.                                      | -Content not always clear, lacks coherenceFew ideas, often repetitiveInadequate for Home Language level despite planning/drafting. Essay not well presented. | -Content largely irrelevant. No coherenceIdeas tedious, repetitiveInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.  |
|   | <u>12 – 15</u>  | 10½ - 11½  | <u>9 – 10</u>   | $\frac{7\frac{1}{2}-8\frac{1}{2}}{2}$  | <u>6 – 7</u>   | $4\frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{2}$  | <u>0 – 4</u>   |
| LANGUAGE,<br>STYLE &<br>EDITING<br>(15 MARKS) | -Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation effectively usedUses highly appropriate figurative languageChoice of words exceptional, matureStyle, tone, register highly suited to topicVirtually error-free following proofreading and editing. | -Critical awareness of impact of languageLanguage, punctuation correct; able to use figurative languageChoice of words varied and creativeStyle, tone, register appropriately suited to topicLargely error-free following proofreading, editing. | -Critical awareness of language evidentLanguage and punctuation mostly correctChoice of words suited to textStyle, tone, register suited to topicMostly error-free following proofreading, editing. | -Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words adequateStyle, tone, register generally consistent with topic requirementsStill contains a few errors following proofreading, editing. | -Limited critical language awarenessLanguage mediocre, punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basicStyle, tone register lacking in coherenceContains several errors following proofreading, editing. | -Language and punctuation flawedChoice of words limitedStyle, tone, register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.                        | -Language and punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words inappropriateStyle, tone, register flawed in all aspectsError-ridden and confused following proofreading, editing. |
|   | <u>4 – 5</u>  | 3½   | <u>3</u>  | <u>2½</u>  | <u>2</u>   | <u>1½</u>  | <u>0 – 1</u>   |
| STRUCTURE<br>(5 MARKS)                        | -Coherent development of topic. Vivid, exceptional detailSentences, paragraphs brilliantly constructedLength in accordance with requirements of topic.  | -Logical development<br>of details. Coherent.<br>-Sentences,<br>paragraphs logical,<br>varied.<br>-Length correct.   | -Several relevant<br>details developed.<br>-Sentences,<br>paragraphs well<br>constructed.<br>-Length correct.   | -Some points,<br>necessary details<br>developed.<br>-Sentences,<br>paragraphing might be<br>faulty in places but<br>essay still makes<br>sense.<br>-Length almost correct.   | -Most necessary points evidentSentences, paragraphs faulty but essay still makes senseLength – too long/short.   | -Sometimes off topic but general line of thought can be followedSentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary levelLength – too long/short.             | -Off topic.<br>-Sentences,<br>paragraphs muddled,<br>inconsistent.<br>-Length – far too<br>long/short.   |

## SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (30)

|  | Code 7:<br>Outstanding<br>80 – 100%  | Code 6:<br>Meritorious<br>70 – 79%  | Code 5:<br>Substantial<br>60 – 69%  | Code 4:<br>Adequate<br>50 – 59%   | Code 3:<br>Moderate<br>40 – 49%   | Code 2:<br>Elementary<br>30 – 39%   | Code 1:<br>Not achieved<br>0 – 29%  |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CONTENT,<br>PLANNING &<br>FORMAT<br>(18 MARKS) | 14½ – 18  -Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHighly appropriate format. | -Very good knowledge of requirements of textDisciplined writing – maintains focus, no digressionsCoherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well-crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well. | -Fair knowledge of requirements of textWriting – maintains focus, with minor digressionsMostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good textHas applied the necessary rules of format. | 9 – 10½  -Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – digresses but does not impede overall meaningAdequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format. | -Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – digresses, meaning vague in placesModerately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some critical oversights. | -Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights. | O - 5  -No knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in placesNot coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format. |
| LANGUAGE,<br>STYLE &<br>EDITING<br>(12 MARKS)  | -Grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructedVocabulary highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateVirtually error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.  | 8½ – 9½  -Very well constructed and accurateVocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone, register considering demands of taskLargely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.  | 7½ – 8  -Well constructed and easy to readVocabulary appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateMostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.  | -Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateA few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.  | -Basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerSeveral errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.   | 4 - 4½  -Poorly constructed and difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateError-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.  | O - 3½  -Poorly constructed and very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and register do not correspond with topic -Error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.  |

### SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXT – HOME LANGUAGE (20)

| CONTENT,<br>PLANNING &<br>FORMAT<br>(12 MARKS) | Code 7: Outstanding 80 – 100%  10 – 12  -Extensive specialised knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a profound awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing – learner maintains rigorous focus, no digressionsTotal coherence in content and ideas, highly elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a flawlessly presentable textHas produced a highly appropriate format. | Code 6: Meritorious 70 – 79% 8½ – 9½  -Very good knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a broad awareness of wider contexts in writingDisciplined writing – learner maintains focus, no digressionsText is coherent in content and ideas, very well elaborated and all details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a well crafted and presentable textHas applied the necessary rules of format very well. | Code 5: Substantial 60 – 69%  7½ – 8  -Fair knowledge of requirements of textExhibits a general awareness of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner maintains focus, with minor digressionsText is mostly coherent in content and ideas, elaborated and most details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a presentable and very good textHas applied the necessary rules of | Code 4: Adequate 50 – 59% 6 – 7  -Adequate knowledge of requirements of textExhibits some awareness of wider context in writing tasks Writing – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningText adequately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a satisfactorily presented textHas applied an adequate idea of requirements of format. | Code 3: Moderate 40 – 49%  5 – 5½  -Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusExhibits rather limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in placesText moderately coherent in content and ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable and coherent textHas a moderate idea of requirements of | Code 2: Elementary 30 – 39%  4 – 4½  -Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusExhibits a limited knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not always coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate for Home Language level despite planning and/or drafting. Text not well presented. | Code 1: Not achieved 0 - 29% 0 - 3½  -No knowledge of requirements of textExhibits no knowledge of wider contexts in writing tasksWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in placesText not coherent in content and ideas, has few details which support topicInadequate planning/drafting. Poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format. |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 6½ – 8  -Text grammatically accurate and brilliantly constructed.   | <u>6</u> -Text very well constructed and accurate.   | 5 - 5½  -Text well constructed and easy to readVocabulary  | 4 – 4½  -Text adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flow.   | oversights.  3½  -Text is basically constructed. Several errors.   | necessary rules of format.  2½ – 3  -Text is poorly constructed and difficult to follow.   | 0 – 2  -Text is poorly constructed and very difficult to follow.   |
| LANGUAGE,<br>STYLE &<br>EDITING<br>(8 MARKS)   | - Vocabulary is highly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register highly appropriateText virtually error free following proofreadingLength correct.  | -Vocabulary very appropriate to purpose, audience and contextSuitable style, tone and register considering demands of taskText largely error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.  | appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register mostly appropriateText mostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.   | -Vocabulary adequate for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone, register fairly appropriateText still contains few errors following proofreading and editingLength almost correct.  | -Vocabulary limited and not very suitable for purpose, audience and contextLapses in style, tone and registerText contains several errors following proofreading and editingLength – too long/short.   | -Vocabulary requires some remediation and not suitable for purpose, audience and contextStyle, tone and register inappropriateText error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.  | -Vocabulary requires serious remediation and not suitable for purposeStyle, tone and register do not correspond with topicText error-ridden and confused following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.   |