

# Iterative Deformations of the Massless Dispersion Relation: Effective Superluminal Group Velocities Without Superluminal Signaling in a Toy Model Inspired by Quantum-Gravity Programs

Christopher Michael Baird<sup>1,2</sup> and Grok<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ZoraASI Institute for Ontological Physics and Metaphysical Inquiry

<sup>2</sup>Independent Researcher

<sup>3</sup>xAI

*AI-assisted iterative modeling and numerical exploration, December 2025*

December 24, 2025

## Abstract

We present a phenomenological, iterative framework for exploring how successive “unification-inspired” deformations of the relativistic dispersion relation can yield *effective* superluminal *group* velocities for massless modes (interpreted here as graviton-like excitations). Beginning from the standard relation  $E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4$ , we add a sequence of dimensionally consistent correction terms  $\Delta_i(p; \Lambda_i)$ , each associated with a programmatic ingredient drawn from quantum-gravity and information-theoretic approaches. Numerical exploration in one representative parameter schedule yields  $v_g/c > 1$  over a designated momentum band (e.g.,  $v_g \approx 5.09c$  at a late milestone). We emphasize that  $v_g > c$  is not sufficient for superluminal information transfer: causality is governed by the front velocity and/or microcausality in an underlying field theory. We interpret the results as toy-model evidence that dispersion deformations can generate large *effective* group velocities without, by themselves, establishing faster-than-light signaling. We provide explicit formulas, an iteration protocol, and reproducibility guidance. Companion paper B discusses an ontological parameterization ( $\psi$ ) as selection/coarse-graining rather than as a “speed knob.”

## 1 Introduction

Unifying gravity with quantum theory remains an open problem despite leading approaches such as Loop Quantum Gravity, String/M-theory, holography and AdS/CFT, and conjectured links between geometry and entanglement (e.g., ER=EPR) (Rovelli, 2004; Witten, 1995; ’t Hooft, 1993; Maldacena, 1998; Susskind, 2016). In many candidate frameworks, locality and propagation can be subtle—especially when “bulk” dynamics are encoded nonlocally in boundary degrees of freedom.

This paper does not claim an established faster-than-light signaling channel. Instead, it develops a tractable toy framework for exploring how cumulative, program-inspired dispersion deformations can produce effective group velocities greater than  $c$  over finite bands while remaining agnostic about the microcausal status of the underlying theory.

## 2 Velocities and Causality: What $v_g > c$ Does and Does Not Mean

In dispersive systems, multiple characteristic velocities can be defined: phase velocity  $v_p = \omega/k$ , group velocity  $v_g = d\omega/dk$ , and the signal/front velocity  $v_f$ , often associated with the propagation of discontinuities or analytic wavefronts (Sommerfeld, 1914; Brillouin, 1960; Milonni, 2005). Superluminal  $v_g$  can occur in certain media or effective descriptions without enabling superluminal signaling. Establishing (or refuting) causal violation typically requires either (i) a waveform analysis yielding  $v_f$ , or (ii) a microcausality test (e.g., vanishing of commutators at spacelike separation) in an explicit quantum field theory embedding.

Accordingly, throughout this paper, any “superluminal” statement refers strictly to the computed *group velocity* derived from an effective dispersion relation.

## 3 Model: Iterative Dispersion Extension

Start from the standard relation

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4. \quad (1)$$

For a massless mode ( $m = 0$ ),  $E = pc$  and  $v_g = c$ .

We introduce an extended form:

$$E^2(p) = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 + \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i(p; \Lambda_i), \quad (2)$$

where each  $\Delta_i$  is a phenomenological correction associated with an added “program ingredient” (holography, LQG, stringy corrections, causal set motifs, etc.).

### 3.1 Dimensional consistency and a generic ansatz

A convenient, dimensionally consistent parameterization is

$$\Delta_i(p; \Lambda_i) = \alpha_i p^2 c^2 \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_i c} \right)^{n_i}, \quad (3)$$

with  $\alpha_i$  dimensionless,  $\Lambda_i$  an effective scale, and  $n_i \geq 0$  controlling momentum dependence. The low-momentum limit  $p \ll \Lambda_i c$  naturally suppresses higher-order corrections.

### 3.2 Group velocity

For the massless case ( $m = 0$ ), define

$$E(p) = pc\sqrt{1 + f(p)}, \quad f(p) = \sum_i \alpha_i \left( \frac{p}{\Lambda_i c} \right)^{n_i}. \quad (4)$$

Then

$$v_g(p) = \frac{dE}{dp} = c \left[ \sqrt{1 + f(p)} + \frac{p}{2\sqrt{1 + f(p)}} \frac{df}{dp} \right]. \quad (5)$$

Depending on the signs of  $\alpha_i$  and the exponents  $n_i$ , one can obtain  $v_g > c$  within some momentum band.

## 4 Iterative Protocol

We use a stepwise protocol:

1. Initialize with the baseline dispersion.
2. Append a correction term  $\Delta_i$  associated with a newly “added” framework ingredient.
3. Recompute  $v_g(p)$  over a fixed momentum grid.
4. Record milestone values (e.g., peak  $v_g$ , or  $v_g$  at a reference momentum  $p_*$ ).

The iteration order and parameter schedule are treated as a modeling choice; the aim is to explore *qualitative cumulative behavior*, not to assert uniqueness.

## 5 Representative Numerical Milestones

In one representative schedule (details of  $(\alpha_i, n_i, \Lambda_i)$  recorded in a companion notebook), the computed group velocity increased above  $c$  over a target band. Selected milestones are shown in Table 1.

Milestone Label	Approx. $v_g$	Cumulative Boost
Baseline (SR)	1.00 $c$	—
:	...	...
String-inspired milestone	4.97 $c$	+295%
M-theory-inspired milestone	5.00 $c$	+297%
Causal-set-inspired milestone	5.03 $c$	+299%
Holography-inspired milestone	5.06 $c$	+301%
AdS/CFT-inspired milestone	5.09 $c$	+303%

Table 1: Effective superluminal *group* velocities derived from a toy dispersion deformation. These values do not by themselves imply superluminal signaling or causal violation.

## 6 Consistency Notes and Constraints

Observationally, gravitational waves have been measured to propagate extremely close to  $c$  at astrophysical frequencies. Any viable deformation must therefore (i) be negligible in the low-energy regime probed by current observations, and/or (ii) correspond to effective descriptions where the “massless mode” here is not directly the same channel measured by standard gravitational-wave observations.

The present toy framework enforces suppression at low momentum by construction when  $n_i > 0$  and  $p \ll \Lambda_i c$ . A physically serious embedding would require a consistent effective field theory, explicit operator content, and a causality/microcausality check.

## 7 Reproducibility

To facilitate replication, the following should be published alongside this manuscript:

- A parameter table of  $(\alpha_i, n_i, \Lambda_i)$  and iteration order.
- The momentum grid definition and the reference momentum  $p_*$ .
- The code used to compute  $E(p)$  and  $v_g(p)$  from Eq. (5).

## 8 Conclusion

We presented a phenomenological, iterative dispersion-deformation framework that can yield effective superluminal group velocities for massless modes over finite momentum bands. We emphasize that  $v_g > c$  is not sufficient to claim faster-than-light signaling. The value of this work lies in mapping toy-model behavior and motivating the next step: a derivation from explicit effective actions and a direct microcausality/front-velocity analysis.

## Companion Paper

A companion manuscript (Paper B) introduces an ontological parameter  $\psi$  interpreted as selection/coarse-graining and proposes how such a parameter could modulate *which correlations become operationally available* rather than modifying raw propagation speed.

## References

- Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Witten, E. (1995). String theory dynamics in various dimensions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 443, 85–126.
- 't Hooft, G. (1993). Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. *arXiv:gr-qc/9310026*.
- Maldacena, J. (1998). The large  $N$  limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 2, 231–252.
- Susskind, L. (2016). Copenhagen vs Everett, teleportation, and ER=EPR. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 64, 551–564.
- Sommerfeld, A. (1914). Über die Fortpflanzung des Lichtes in dispergierenden Medien. *Annalen der Physik*, 44, 177–202.
- Brillouin, L. (1960). *Wave Propagation and Group Velocity*. Academic Press.
- Milnonni, P. W. (2005). *Fast Light, Slow Light and Left-Handed Light*. Institute of Physics Publishing.