



# Development of a computational workflow to design a circular microRNA sponge in the context of RNA therapy, with a focus on melanoma cancer

---

## Abstract

Under the direction of :

Dr. Shailendra Gupta,

Pr. Olaf Wolkenhauer,

SBI Rostock

Pr. Yannick Andréol,

UPMC

Author,

Etienne Rolland

This document is a presentation of the work accomplished during my master's degree internship at the Rostock SBI department. The project aimed to develop a computational workflow to design a circular RNA, in order to sponge microRNAs and promote the expression of genes of interest. The core material was the triplexRNA database, a database of cooperative microRNAs.

After a quick introduction, we will detail the structure of the first workflow and its results, as well as the structure of the current workflow. Finally, we will see how the design script proceed to build the circular RNA.

## Introduction

1.1	MicroRNAs . . . . .	1
1.2	Inhibition and level of mRNA .	2
1.3	MicroRNAs : a paradigm based - on cooperativity . . . . .	2
1.4	Circular RNAs . . . . .	3
1.5	Context of the work . . . . .	4

## MicroRNAs

MicroRNAs are 20-24 nt RNA molecules, which can form a complex with AGO family proteins members and other ribonucleoproteins , to exert a post-transcriptional repression [1, 2, 3, 4]. This complex is design as microRNA-induced silencing complex (miRISC) [4].

This repression is exert by an inhibition of the translation's initiation, and eventually, by a messenger RNA destabilization [2, 4].

The repression is mediated by the binding of the complex miRISC to the target messenger RNA, the complementarity between the microRNA sequence and the messenger RNA being the vector of recognition of the target and of the specificity of the interaction.

In that sequence, the most important subsequence is the "seed region" (see the Figure 1.1), a complementarity in this region being enough to get the complex bind the messenger RNA, and most of the time, mandatory. [2,3] This seed region is located in the 5' region of the microRNA, and could be a 6, 7 or 8mer [2,3]. By extension, the seed region is also in the 3' region of the microRNA binding site.

Nonetheless, despite this evidences, the microRNAs' network is still poorly understood [1, 4]. The reason includes : the functional redundancy of the microRNAs, the lack of clear phenotype when one microRNA is knock-down, the combinational of the repression, etc [1, 4].



Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of the binding of a microRNA on a messenger RNA, for a 7mer site. Illustration taken from [3]

Their levels of expression, like many non coding RNAs, is altered in disease, including cancer [4].

## Inhibition and level of mRNA

While the description of the mechanism of the translational inhibition above suggest that the levels of microRNA-inhibited messenger RNAs remain unchanged, more recent works has demonstrated that the repression of many microRNA targets is frequently associated with their destabilization [4].

Micro-array studies of transcript levels in cells and tissues in which microRNA levels were experimentally altered, revealed marked changes in the abundance of validated or predicted microRNA targets, consistent with an role for microRNAs in messenger RNAs destabilization [4].

## MicroRNAs : a paradigm based on cooperativity

There is experimental evidences for a microRNA cooperativity in the post-transcriptional repression they exert, when their seeds region on the messenger RNA are in close proximity 13–35 nt [2,5].

Since this could be an effective way to understand how the microRNAs' network exert its repression, a model based on this cooperativity has been tested in silico. The model predicted the expression level of p21 in 9 of the 12 tissues considered for the simulation [6].




Figure 1.2: An example of canonical triplex, from the triplexRNA database

The TriplexRNA database is based on this work. Based on a computational workflow to predict them [7], the database provide putative triplexes, a triplex being composed by two microRNAs and their mutual messenger RNA target (three RNA molecules for the triplex, notwithstanding the ribonucleoproteins).

## Circular RNAs

Mostly formed by backsplicing [8, 9], the circular RNAs are a class of long non coding RNA.

While the exact nature of their function remains elusive [8, 9, 10], it has been proved that one of them is to "sponge" the microRNAs [9, 10].

By binding microRNAs, a circular sponge decoy the microRNAs from their original targets. Since this targets are messenger RNAs, and that the microRNAs exert a repression, the sponge influence the level of expression of the genes regulated by this microRNAs, and promote their expression, through an up-regulation of their transduction [9, 10, 11].




Figure 1.3: Illustration of the "sponging" capability of the circular RNAs. Illustration taken Peng et Al [9].

This ability to promote the expression of genes, coupled with a long half-life due to their circular shape [9], make them a good candidate as a therapy tools.

That could be the case for example in disease involving a deregulation of microRNAs' level of expression, like in cancer as stated before [4], or the phenotypic consequences of such deregulation in various diseases [12], or in the cases in which enhancing the transduction of one gene would be suitable.

Similarly, some natural circular RNAs and their absences have also been report to be directly involved in diseases. For example, a circular RNA which sponge the microRNA miR-9, circMTO1, has been found to be highly correlated with the prognosis of patient suffering from human Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) [11].

Moreover, the circular RNA could suppress HCC progression in vivo [11], leading us to think that circular RNAs could be a good therapy tool, once bypassed the usual problems of addressing and delivering of this molecules, common to every RNA therapy [12].

## Context of the work

The first objective was to develop an executable which design a circular RNA sponge, to promote the expression of a gene(s) of interest, using the information contained inside the triplexRNA database. According to this, this work focus on the cooperativity between microRNAs and consider it as the main factor of repression.

This executable could be then integrated into further releases of the triplexRNA. This part was done with success, and this report will describe how the script which design the circular RNA works in the last sections of the Results chapter.

This script is part of a workflow to promote the expression of a gene or of a list of genes of interest. A second objective was to extend this workflow to a workflow to design a circular sponge in order to up-regulate a pathways of interest, in the context of a RNA therapy.

The triplexRNA integrate such pathways in the form of KEGG pathways, which are a collection of manually drawn pathway maps representing our knowledge on the molecular interactions for various metabolism or disease [7, 30]. And the database actually allow to visualize the mutual target genes of a selected pair of microRNAs, within a KEGG map (the genes being highlighted in yellow on the map).

The KEGG pathways are also encode in the base in the form of a list of genes, and by then, the triplexRNA database allow to retrieve all the triplexes involving the genes of a pathways, just by selecting the KEGG pathways [7].

The problem is : as we want to promote the expression of a pathways in a biological condition of interest, and since the KEGG pathways are only encode as a list of genes inside the triplex RNA, which does not tell us which gene are down-regulated or which are post-transcriptionally repressed by microRNAs in the condition of interest, how to know which genes should have their expressions promoted to up-regulate the pathways ?

The approach retained was to performed a differential expression analysis for the set of genes inside the pathways, between a disease condition and a normal or early stage condition, to find which genes should have their expression promoted, in the context of RNA therapy for the disease. This genes can have their level of expression down-regulated because microRNA-inhibited messenger RNAs can have their level lowered, in the context of a deregulation of the microRNAs networks in disease [4], or their low level of expression can be promoting the disease. In both case, promoting their expression with a microRNA circular sponge make sens in the context of a RNA therapy.

Considering that circular RNA can be potential RNA therapy tools, are already been correlated with the survival of patients and can potentially contain the proliferation of HCC, to test the possibility to up-regulate a pathways of interest a focus on the Melanoma Cancer was done.

The choice of Melanoma cancer has been done considering that a RNA therapy including the circular RNA could be easily test in cell culture. By then, the project also focused on the late and early stage of Melanoma for a demonstration and construction of the workflow, since a change in the phenotype of the cancerous cells would be a feature easily observable in cell culture.

For this reasons, we also aimed to find genes differentially expressed between early and late stages of the melanoma cancer, using the Xena database [13] to obtain the level of expression of the genes composing the KEGG Melanoma pathway, for each stage of the Melanoma.

## Results

2.1	First workflow . . . . .	7
2.1.1	Xena . . . . .	9
2.1.2	Differential expression analysis . . . . .	10
2.1.3	Feature selection using randomized lasso . . . .	11
2.1.4	Genes selections . . . .	12
2.2	Current workflow . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Differential expression analysis, Colorectal Cancer . . . . .	16
2.2.2	An executable to query the triplexRNA . . . . .	16
2.2.3	Cytoscape visualization	17
2.2.4	Circular RNA design script . . . . .	19

### First workflow

As we stated before, our goal was to investigate the design of a workflow to up-regulate a biological pathways of interest with a circular RNA sponge.

Our concern is that KEGG pathways are only implemented as a list of genes involved in disease, inside the triplexRNA database [7], without any further indication on their involvement. We don't know from the base if these genes are down-regulated, up-regulated, or inhibited in the biological conditions of interest.



A solution is to perform a differential expression analysis on this subset of genes provide by the triplexRNA, to find which genes of the pathway are lowly expressed in the disease.

As we stated in the introduction, the repression exert by microRNAs can result in a destabilization of the mRNA target and a change in the level of expression, but microRNA-inhibited messenger RNAs may have their level unchanged, as this point remains illusive in the literature [4].

Here, we do not presume that the change in level of expression of mRNA is caused by the change in the expression of microRNAs, as it occur in cancer [4], even if it's a possibility. We are looking for genes which should be good candidates to have their expression promoted using a circular sponge, in the context of a RNA therapy.

A transversal and complementary approach to the differential expression analysis is a feature selection, operated on the genes.

The feature selection is a field of the machine learning domain [17], dedicated to select features among a set of data, in order to improve the generalization of models, or get a better understanding of the features and their relationship to the response variables [17]. It is this last aspect which interest us, select genes to discriminate between the early and late stage of the melanoma.

To check the accuracy of such selection, a random forest classifier [17] has been trained with this set of genes, and the error of classification has been plot.

This approach have its limits, especially regarding the complexity and heterogeneity of cancers [18, 19], and the uncertainty behind the causes of the differential expression. For this reason, two control will be perform, one per approach, for both the differential expression analysis and the feature selection.



Figure 2.1: The structure of the first workflow. The retrieving of the genes composing the Melanoma KEGG pathways using a SQL query is the first step.

## Xena

The first step is to retrieve the gene's level of expression. The list of genes inside the melanoma pathways is recovered using a script to interrogate the TriplexRNA database.

This list of genes is then used to interrogate the UCSC's Xena database [13], a data server with a web interface, which provide functional genomics data, associated with groups of phenotype.

Here, we collected the level of expression in association with the phenotype [pathologic\_stage], to sort the samples into two groups : early stage of melanoma (Stage 0 to IA-IB), and late stage of melanoma (Stage IIIA and B and IV) [31].

The same procedure has also been done for two subpopulation of the Melanoma cancer [18], by associating the phenotype [pathologic\_stage] with specific mutation in the gene BRAF and NRAS [18]. The goal was to test the influence of the heterogeneity inside the cutaneous melanoma on the gene selection later in the workflow, the classification into four subtypes by the TGCA being also presented as a guide for clinical decision-making for targeted therapies [18].




Figure 2.2: Web visualization of the level of gene expression, associated with the phenotype [pathologic\_stage]. This levels of expression can be then download.

## Differential expression analysis

The differential expression analysis has been performed using limma [20], to find differentially expressed genes between the early and late stage of the melanoma, and then susceptible to be retain as a target for the an RNA therapy with a circular RNA.

As a control, a projection of the sample on a two-dimensional scatterplot, where the distance approximate the expression differences between the samples, has been done.

The plot does not show a perfect separation of tumor from normal samples as it should be expected for a differential expression analysis which performed well (see figure below). Beside the scatterplot for the differential expression analysis performed on the set of genes inside the KEGG Melanoma pathways, is represented the scatterplot for a differential expression analysis perform on curated RNA-seq data for the colorectal cancer [25]. This scatterplot is a witness and show what should looks like a scatterplot for a differential expression analysis which have performed well, with a clear separation of the cancerous cells and normal adjacent cells.



(a) Scatterplot where the distance between sample is a projection of the log2 fold change distance, for Melanoma cancer data, between late melanoma and early melanoma.

(b) Scatterplot where the distance between sample is a projection of the log2 fold change distance, for Colorectal cancer data, between normal adjacent and cancer cells.

Figure 2.3: Comparison of the projection fold change distance between melanoma sample and colorectal cancer after a differential expression analysis. The scatterplot of the colorectal cancer show the expected output for this analysis, with a good separation of the two groups.

## Feature selection using randomized lasso

The feature selection method employed was the Stability Selection [21], a method based on numerous subsampling of the data set - here, different samples - with different subsets of feature - here, the genes -, in combination with a method of feature selection.

This different subsampling are then aggregated, and a ranking is produced using the features selection method retain. This Stability approach perform well in dataset with a lot of correlation in the data [21], assuming that the model is sparse - meaning that only a few genes are responsible for the difference between the two conditions-.

This framework of stability selection has already been used in biology [22], and is implemented for the lasso method inside the scikit learn library [23] under the name of Randomized-Lasso, a method which has already been deployed to identify cancer driver genes [24].

To test this selection of gene, a random forest classifier [17] has been trained using only this selection of genes as variable, and the error of classification has been plot (see below).

The reason for choosing a random forest classifier is its reliability and the fact that they require less tuning in comparison to other classification method [17]. This make them ideal to test a selection of genes in a repetitive manner inside a workflow.

Nonetheless, the plotting of the classification error, for the whole melanoma data, but also for subtypes [18] (NRAS, BRAF), did not allow to think that the genes selection was pertinent (cf figure).




Figure 2.4: Error of classification of the random forest classifier, using a selection of genes inside the KEGG melanoma pathways, selection output by the feature selection approach

## Genes selections

The comparison between the two scatterplot, and the huge error of classification of the random forest, highlight that the first steps of the workflow did not performed well, and was by then removed.

The current workflow keep the same structure for the remaining steps, and will be presented below. It now rely on the user to provide a selection of genes.



## Current workflow




Figure 2.5: Schematic representation of the final workflow

It appears from the previous section that the use of the KEGG pathways encoded inside the triplexRNA database was not pertinent in the context of choosing the set of genes to run the rest of the workflow.

This part of the workflow has been by then edited : the executable which query the script has been edit to retrieve the canonicals triplexes for a list of genes provided as input. For the list of genes, it seems better to rely on the user's expertise to provide it (see conclusion and perspective for more details).

To illustrate the current workflow, we will create a sponge in the context of a RNA therapy for the colorectal cancer, using the curated RNA-seq data [25] used in the previous section to perform the control of the differential expression analysis and of the scatterplot.


In order to do so, we will use some of the top genes differentially expressed between the normal condition and the tumor condition.

Of course, while this reduced set of genes is sufficient to distinguish between the two condition (see scatterplot with the top12 genes below), they are not necessary responsible for the differences in the phenotype between the normal adjacent cell and the tumor cells, and promoting the expression of genes down-regulated in the cancerous cells will not necessary end in a reversion of the phenotype of the cancerous cells into normal cells (see conclusion for further details and discussions).

Such a diagnostic would require more investigation, using ontologies and literature for that cancer [26]. Here we select this genes for illustration purpose. A normal use of the workflow would rely on the expertise of the user and that the user provide a set of genes for which he want to promote the expression.



## Differential expression analysis, Colorectal Cancer



(a) Projection of the fold change between normal cells and tumor cells for colorectal cancer. (b) Projection of the fold change between normal cells and tumor cells for colorectal cancer, using only the top 12 of the differentially expressed genes.

Figure 2.6: Multidimensional scaling plot of distances, using the `plotMDS()` function from `edgeR`. In the context of using a reduced number of genes to distinguish between the two conditions (normal adjacent cells and cancerous cells), we observe a good separation with the top 12 of the differentially expressed genes.

### An executable to query the triplexRNA

The executable which was used to retrieve the list of genes inside a pathway, and the canonical triplexes for this genes, was modified to retrieve the canonical triplexes for a list of genes past as input in a text file.

The script retrieve all the triplexes involving this genes, using a JSON query for each genes from the list of genes (see material and method), with a filtering of the redundant triplexes (see below and the material and method), to avoid any bias in the estimation of the importance of some microRNAs, and keep only the canonical triplexes, which are the functional triplexes ac-

cording to the workflow publish by Schmitz et al. [7].

Redundant triplexes are triplexes in which the two microRNAs bind the messenger RNA at the same positions, with one microRNA in common among all the different triplexes.

This redundancy phenomenon appear when one particular microRNA form nearly identical canonicals triplexes with all the member of a microRNA family, since microRNAs from the same family can arbor nearly identical sequences except for some nucleotides outside the seed region.

While this triplexes are different biochemical entities, they can not cumulate their repression on the same molecule of messenger RNA, since the microRNAs of this redundant triplexes bound the messenger RNA on the same place.

This introduce a bias in the following steps of the workflow when we aim to find which microRNAs have the most partners. A microRNAs involved in several redundant triplexes will be seen as with multiples partners, while its sponging will only remove the repression of one pair of cooperatives microRNAs on the same molecule of messenger RNA.

This executable, which query both the web instance of the triplexRNA and a local instance of it, ouput a sif file format. This sif file contain all the canonical triplexes for the list of genes (see material and methods), and is meant to be used as input for the software Cytoscape [27], the following set of the workflow.

## **Cytoscape visualization**

The outputted file by the script which retrieve all the triplexes for a list of genes is in a sif format, and can be passed as input to the software Cytoscape [27].

Cytoscape is an open source software platform for visualizing networks. While it can seem a curious choice when it comes to microRNAs, this transformation of data in the form of a non oriented network, where the edges are the triplex ID and the nodes the microRNAs, allow to visualize which microRNA form a pair with which microRNA, and to identified easily which microRNA has the most partners.

In other words, this allow to retrieve which microRNA should be sponge to remove the most triplexes and promote the genes expression.

This format specifies only nodes and interactions and it is written as follow :

```
nodeA relationship_type nodeB  
nodeC relationship_type nodeA
```

Also, while the `sif` file format specify only nodes and interactions, the software Cytoscape merge by himself the nodes with the same names. This allow to require only a simple format as output for the previous script, to retrieve all the triplexes.

So, Cytoscape allow the user to visualize the pair of cooperative microRNAs which exert a repression on the genes passed as arguments, under the form of a network (cf figure). This transformation of the data is meant to allow an easy visualization of the relative importances of each microRNAs.

MicroRNAs which have the most partners, and by then those for which the sponging with a circular RNA will remove most of the pairs of collaborative microRNAs can be identified by looking at the nodes with the more edges.

The user can then provide this names as input to the next step of the workflow, the circular design script.




Figure 2.7: The Cytoscape visualization for 3 downregulated gene in colorectal cancer, compared to normal adjacent cells. These genes are BEST4 (4 triplexes), LGI1 (20 triplexes), KRT24 (14 triplexes). Here hsa-miR-590-5p is a potent input for the circular RNA design script.

## Circular RNA design script

The circular RNA design script is written in Python, is executed in command line, and takes as argument, not genes names, but the microRNAs' names that the sponge should decoy from their original target. The procedure to choose which microRNAs to sponge is detailed later.

More precisely, the circular RNA design script have to mandatory input :

- a file contening the names of the microRNAs that the user want the sponge to decoy from their original target. The names have to be the same than the one in the triplexRNA database. This file is a txt file with just the name of the microRNAs, one per line.
- a file containing a priorities for each microRNAs. Basically, the script build the sponge in a recursive way, and add as many binding site as the priority number allocated to each microRNA on each recursion. For example, for a sponge with twice the number of binding site for one microRNAs compare to the other, the file will contain the integer 2 and 1. This file is a txt file with just one integer per line, and have to be in the same order than the microRNAs they refer to.

The script will then query the triplexRNA database, using the provided names to get the mi-mat IDs which identify this microRNA in the database. This ID is then used to query Mirbase, to get the sequence of the microRNA.

There is several reasons to this. First of all, while the sequences of the microRNAs are encode inside the triplexRNA database, the orientation of the strand is not indicate (5' and 3' extremity), and this step is then a security control, assuring the sequence of the microRNA is in the right orientation.

Also, the name of some microRNAs can be different between Mirbase and the triplexRNA, depending on their respective update. For example, most of the microRNAs have the mention 5p or 3p in their name, indicating from which part of the hairpin they come from, a mention sometimes absent from the name inside the triplexRNA database. This step is then a disambiguation phase.




Figure 2.8: Details of the request done by the circular RNA design script.

Once the sequences of the microRNAs are obtained by the circular design script, it will use them to build the most efficient binding site possible for each of the microRNAs. Those building sites, one per microRNA, will be then repeated on the circular sponge, separated by nucleotides according to another parameter, the distance of cooperativity [5].

In order to do so, the design script is implemented with rules extracted from the scientific

literature :

- a A is systematically put in first position (in 5') of the microRNA's binding site. There is an overrepresentation of conserved adenosines flanking the seed complementary sites [14], among other data suggesting that a site with a A in first position outperform the others one, including the one with a complementary nucleotide to the microRNAs [3].
- the seed region (from the 2nd to the 8th nt, cf introduction) is build by inserting complementary nucleotides to the microRNAs' ones, forming a "8-mer" site. See [2, 3] for further details and representation.
- a A is put in the ninth position of the binding site, because there is an overrepresentation of conserved adenosines flanking the seed complementary sites [14], and because the microRNAs binding sites which perform the best are the ones with a local high content of Adenine (A) and Uracile (U), over any other variable or predictor [3].
- the 12th from 10th nucleotides are not complementary to the microRNAs', and U and A if possible. The aim is to avoid any Ago2 mediated cleavage of the circular RNA, cleavage which occur in case of near perfect complementarity between the microRNA sequence and the binding site [4]. This nucleotide are U, or A if not possible.
- the nucleotides from 13 to 16 (included, so four in total) are complementary to the - microRNAs' one. The reason is that Watson-Crick pairing to four contiguous nucleotides produce an additionnal pairing in 3' [2] and that most downregulation is associated to this additionnal pairing if it start at the 13th position [2], additionnal downregulation which can be translate as a stronger binding of the microRNA on the binding site [2].

As we just mentionned, the microRNAs binding sites which perform the best are the ones with a local high content of A and U, over any other variable or predictor [3].

This is probably correlated to binding site accessibility, and a low potential of forming a self-interacting secondary structure [3].

To take advantage of this two features, in order to improve the efficiency of the circular RNA sponge, the script design the sponge's sequence with as many U and A as possible.

That means that, outside the seed region, where a strict complementarity is required, or in the additional 3' pairing region (cf above), the sequence is write with U or A.

A cognate problem is that some proteins target and bind some AU rich sequences, known as AREs [15]. However, since this AREs sequences contain some A [15], the safest option is

to specify a U prior to an A when writing the sponge's sequence, when no other specific nucleotides is required for complementarity between the sponge and the microRNA.

Each binding site is terminated by an additional number of nucleotides, in order to separate its seed from the seed of the following binding site by the distance of cooperativity, as define in [5]. The distance of cooperativity is the distance between the two seed, distance which insure that the two microRNAs will coopere to bind and exert their translational repression on a messenger RNA [5].

The distance of cooperativity is set by default to 17, but can be specified by an additional parameters when running the script.

The binding sites for one microRNA are organized in cluster by the design script, considering the observation that Ago2 shuttles between adjacent target and then that neighboring sites could cooperate to retain the Ago2-miRNA complex. [16].

During the recursive call, the design script build the clusters by adding as many binding site to the cluster as the priority number allocated to this microRNA. The recursive call is interrupt when the limit size (set by default to 300, but can be specified), is about to be exceeded by the addition of a new binding site.






Figure 2.9: Schema of the circular RNA's construction. During the recursive call, the function add on each cluster of microRNA' binding site, as many binding site as the priority number for this microRNA, until the limit size is about to be exceeded. Please take note that the addition of the binding sites is done in a iterative way.

After this, the design script compute the minimum free energy of the circular RNA for every arrangement of clusters of binding sites in the sequence, and select the sequence of the circular RNA with the lowest minimum free energy for the output.

Finally, a pdf report with the sequence of the circular sponge is output by the design script. This pdf report details and justifies every step of the design to the user (organization of the binding site in cluster, the design rich in U, etc).

This pdf report also provide quality controls in the form of miranda alignments. In this section are exposed the 3 best alignments (if they exists) for each cluster against every human microRNAs. The script is provide with the file mature.fa, a fasta format sequences of all mature miRNA sequences, download from miRbase in may 2017.

Each alignments have for title the name of the microRNA performing the alignment, and the name of the microRNA for which the cluster has been design.

### 2.2.5 Mir\_name : hsa-miR-21-5p, cluster for : hsa-miR-21

Score : of the alignement :152.000000

```

miRNA:      3'  aguuguAGUCAGACUAUUCGAu 5'
              |||| : |||||
CircRNA:    5'  auuuuuUCAGAUAAAUAAGCUa 3'

```

Figure 2.10: Example of one of the alignment found in the pdf report.

This allow to check easily if the best alignment is performed by the microRNAs for which the binding site was created, and make sure that the binding site will not be bound by another microRNAs. Or even worse, to ensure that no microRNA is susceptible to trigger a Ago2 mediated cleavage of the sponge.

In such case, an override procedure is implement. Providing a txt file with a sequence, the user can redo the whole design process with a binding sequence specified for one or more microRNAs. The construction of the circular sponge is then redo in the same recursive way, except for the creation of the specified binding site, and then the quality control are reperformed and a pdf report is recreated.

Since all the quality control are performed again, this allow the user to refine the construction of the sponge, especially in the case of one miss design, as describe above.

Most of the case of competition by another microRNA are due to a U:G wobble, an allowance between a G in the microRNA's sequence and a U in the sequence of the circular RNA (there is a good example in the figure 2.3), the probability of such an event beeing incredibly improved by the design rich in U of the sponge.

In that case the pdf output advise to first replace this problematic U by a A, if possible, to keep the low probability of the binding site to form a self secondary structure.

## Conclusion and Perspectives

3.1	Outcomes . . . . .	26
3.1.1	Circular design script . .	26
3.1.2	Workflow structure . . .	27
3.1.3	Workflow . . . . .	28
3.2	Perspectives . . . . .	31
3.2.1	Down-regulation and translational inhibition .	31
3.2.2	KEGGs map . . . . .	31
3.2.3	Visualization of the triplexes . . . . .	32
3.3	cirMOT1 in hepatocellular car- cinoma . . . . .	33

### Outcomes

#### Circular design script

The major outcome are the two executables which can be found at the following url : <https://github.com/Cdk29>.

While one is only a local API to query a local instance of the triplexRNA, the other one can be used by anyone and independently from the workflow.

The circular design script automatize the construction of a circular RNA to decoy particular microRNAs. It takes as mandatory input a file with the names of the microRNAs which should be decoy, which ease any repetition of the process, and a file with priority numbers. This numbers are an intuitive way to create a circular sponge against several microRNAs without allocating to them the same space on the sequence of the circular RNA. Finally the script retain the circular sponge with the minimum free energy.

For the construction of the circular sponge, the user can also specify the maximum size for the circular RNA, a distance of cooperativity between the seed, as define in Saetrom et al [5].

The script finally compile a latex file online using the web tool TEXPILE. The pdf file obtained in this way contain the sequence of the circular RNA, a justification of the design of its characteristics, and the results of alignments perform against all the mature human microRNAs, using miRanda. This construction can be refine and redo by specifying a particular sequence for the binding site of one or several microRNA.

While this script can be used separately from the triplexRNA, it's require that the user use the same names as the ones inside the triplexRNA database [7].

The code of the circular design script is hosted on Github : [https://github.com/Cdk29/circular\\_RNA\\_design](https://github.com/Cdk29/circular_RNA_design) where an example of the pdf report is provided.

## **Workflow structure**

The differential expression analysis and the feature selection performed in the first workflow was a tentative to take advantage of the fact that KEGG pathways are encoded inside the triplexRNA database and to have a list of genes of interest.

Since this approach was not concluding, it has been removed, leaving us with a workflow without any process to select the list of genes.

The form retain for the worflow rely on the user to provide the genes of interest, as it seems more favorable to rely on the user's expertise (see below).

To retake the exemple of Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC), which is develop in the introduction and in this chapter (section below, cirMOT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma), not all the genes differentially expressed in the Hepatocellular Carcinoma cancer are responsible for the invasive phenotype [28], some being responsible for the migration, immune escape, etc [28].

A circular sponge designed against the microRNAs involved in the most triplexes involving the messenger RNA of the top down-regulated genes in the HCC may not have been as effective as a sponge targeting specifically the microRNAs targeting the mRNA CDKN1A or a sponge

designed against mir-9.

For this reasons, and the fact that levels of microRNA-inhibited messenger RNAs may remain unchanged (see subsection "Down-regulation ad translational inhibition below) the structure of the workflow retained rely on the user's expertise, which provide the list of genes to start the workflow.

## **Workflow**

The workflow retrieve all triplexes which are predicted to be functional according to the workflow published by Schmitz et Al [7], for a list of genes passed as input of the query script.

The workflow provide then a output file for a graphical visualization of the cooperation between the microRNAs which exert a post-transcriptionnal repression of the genes inside the list, using Cytoscape [27].

That way the workflow provide a tool to visualize which microRNAs are involved in the most pairs of cooperative microRNAs and by then which microRNA to sponge with the circular RNA to affect the most pairs and relieve the most of the repression exert on the list of genes passed as input.

The workflow finally provide a design script to automatize the construction of a circular RNA to decoy this microRNAs, providing a pdf report justifying the key points of the design and providing quality control in the form of alignments, using miRanda.

The design script allow the user to specify parameters : the list of microRNAs to be decoyed, a list of priority which is an intuitive way to prioritize the sponging of some microRNAs, and a maximum size according to the user synthesis facilities. The size distance between the seed can be specified to adapt to new scientific evidence.

Finally, the script allow to refine the design of the circular RNA sponge, through a repetition of execution of the design script. Since the alignments are perform again, and that the microRNA binding site sequence can be specified manually, the user can adjust the sequence of

the binding sites according to the results of the alignments on every steps.




Figure 3.1: Schematic representation of the final workflow

# Perspectives

## Down-regulation and translational inhibition

A really important point to keep in mind is that a gene repressed by the microRNAs at the post transcriptional level, in a biological condition of interest, will not necessary appeared as differentially expressed between the biological condition and the control condition after a RNA-seq studies. There is still an ambiguity of whether or not the levels of microRNA-inhibited mRNAs remain unchanged or not [4].

In the first case the genes will not appeared as down regulated in a differential, but the transduction of the mRNA will be inhibited and the gene not expressed.

A other pertinent approach could be the use of or the integration of miRNA-seq data with the data of the triplexRNA, to investigate directly the microRNAs level of expression.

More directly, relying on the expertise of the user is another approach and is the form retained for the workflow currently.

The user can have - through western blot experiments, for example -, evidences that some genes, while they are not differentially expressed, have the translation of their messenger RNA inhibited.

## KEGGs map

The differential expression analysis was motivated by the problem of finding which genes are down-regulated or repressed in the biological condition of interest, condition which is the object of the RNA therapy.

Indeed, the KEGG pathways are only encode as a list of genes inside the triplex RNA, which does not tell us which gene are down-regulated or which are post-transcriptionally repressed by microRNAs.



A similar information, however, is on the KEGGs map, where the effect of each genes/proteins are indicated. Considering this, an other approach could be to use the KEGGs map to select genes of interest and look at the microRNAs.

This is a variation of a feature implemented in the triplexRNA database, which allow a visualization of the mutual target genes for selected microRNA pair, within the KEGG disease pathway.

But this feature is for two microRNAs only, and by then ignore the relatives importances of microRNAs among all the pairs, nor it can be done in the direction we would need (a selection of genes downregulated on the map towards all the microRNAs pairs).

Anyway, a collection of manually drawn map is not the best material to carry such a task, especially in the optic of a long maintenance.

## **Visualization of the triplexes**

In the current workflow, Cytoscape [27] is used to visualize the pairs of microRNAs which repress the expression of a set of genes.

While Cytoscape provide a comfortable way to visualize the pairs of microRNAs, as a software it cannot be part of a pipeline and cannot be integrate directly inside the front-end of the triplexRNA database and require a separate utilization.

The choice of Cytoscape was done mainly for convenience purpose, and because the author of this document did not find a Python library which would have allow him to fulfill the function of triplexes' visualization.

The next step for a core installation inside the triplexRNA of the whole workflow, to enable the creation of the sponge from a web interface should be :

- or the development of a visualization tools which can be run in front-end, and which does not require for the user to run a graphical interface by himself separatly
- or more convenient the use of the headless mode of Cytoscape

## **cirMOT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma**

We have seen the example of the circular sponge circMOT1 in the introduction. This circular RNA is correlated with the prognosis of patient of Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) and have been found to promote the expression of p21 by sponging the microRNA mir-9.

One thing we can wonder is : does the workflow retrieve mir-9 as a microRNAs to be targeted with a circular RNA in the case of the HCC cancer ?

Mir-9 is actually no part of the triplexes involving the CDKN1A messenger RNA. While there is nothing to criticize in the experimental demarche detailed in the article (mir-9 and circMOT1 are clearly identified as responsible for the down expression of p21 and for the inhibition of the repressive effect of Mir-9, respectively), there is several reasons which could explain this :

- Among the 99 microRNAs identified using miRanda as susceptible to bind cirMOT1, only 20 of them have been screened for specific enrichment after RNA precipitation in vivo, since they were previously describe as involved in the hepatocellular carcinoma.

While this analytic procedure is entirely relevant in the case of a cancer study, for our own problematics of microRNAs combinatorial we are missing the information about the 79 other ones.

Also, while the case of the sponge ciRS-7 [10] is abundantly cited along the article [11], there is no reason to think that most of the natural sponge decoy only one type of microRNA. The supplementals data of Memczak et al, [10] are rich in predicted circular RNAs with a seed match for more than one microRNA family.

- MiR-9 is over expressed in the hepatocellular carcinoma, and this is why the researcher selected this microRNA for screening after the RNA precipitation. In this situation of over expression, unlike normal conditions, low affinity sites for microRNAs (6-nt sites) become occupied and functional [29].

Nonetheless, the triplexRNA database has been construct based on binding site predictions extracted from microRNA.org, in which only binding sites with good conservation and good prediction scores were retained [7]. This binding sites are assumed to be the ones functional in normal circumstances, with no over-expression of the microRNAs.

That make very likely that the workflow constructing the triplexRNA database does not take into account some microRNAs binding sites which are functional uniquely in some diseases, and by that, it make possible that some triplexes, specifics to particular disease and associated with specific over expression of some microRNAs, are missing in the triplexRNA database.

## Material and Methods

4.1	TriplexRNA database . . . . .	36
4.2	Request of triplexes and gene inside the Melanoma Pathways .	37
4.3	SIF format, output of the JSON query . . . . .	37
4.4	JSON query of a list of genes . .	37
4.5	Filtering of the redondant triplexes . . . . .	38
4.6	Genes level of expression, Melanoma cancer . . . . .	38
4.7	Colorectal cancer data . . . . .	39
4.8	Graphical visualization of the cooperation between microRNAs . . . . .	39
4.9	Differential expression analy- sis for melanoma and colorectal cancer data . . . . .	40
4.10	Randomized Lasso . . . . .	40
4.11	Random Forest . . . . .	40
4.12	Random Forest Classification Error Plotting . . . . .	40
4.13	Minimum Free Energy Calcula- tion and Vizualization . . . . .	40
4.14	MicroRNAs alignments . . . . .	41
4.15	Pdf report . . . . .	41

## TriplexRNA database

The TriplexRNA database provide putatives triplexes according to the workflow published here [7], and is available at the following url : <https://triplexrna.org/>

A triplex is a conformation defined as a messenger RNA and a pair of microRNAs bound on it, in a cooperative manner.

Two microRNAs are a putative pair of cooperating microRNAs if the seed region of their binding site are separated by a distance of 13-35 nt [5]

A triplex is supposed functional - meaning : the pair of cooperative microRNAs exert a corepression - if the triplex adopt a conformation called "canonical triplex", discribing a conformation where the two microRNAs bound the messenger RNA without overlap or mutual exclusion, with a preservation of the seed binding for both of the microRNAs.




Figure 4.1: An example of canonical triplex, from the triplexRNA database

The triplex database can be interrogate through the web instance, using a JSON query. The database is also composed by three SQL table :

- A table listing the triplexes for their cognate genes in the mouse.
- A table listing the triplexes for their cognate genes in the human.
- A table associating genes and their cognate triplexes with a Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways identifier (ID) [30].

Each of them can be query using MySQL. The triplexRNA database which is interrogate with a MySQL request in the script Query Triplex is a local instance, different from the web instance called by the JSON queries (but both contains the same data).

## Request of triplexes and gene inside the Melanoma Pathways

The list of the genes inside the KEGG Melanoma pathway [30] was retrieved by querying the corresponding SQL table for all the genes associated with the KEGG ID of the pathways (hsa05218).

The triplexes for this list of genes was then retrieved using the JSON query for a list of genes, as describe below.

## SIF format, output of the JSON query

The sif format is the input format used for visualization using cytoscape [27].

This format specifies only nodes and interactions and it is written as follow :

```
nodeA relationship_type nodeB
nodeC relationship_type nodeA
nodeD relationship_type nodeE nodeF
```

Which, for the purpose of visualizing the microRNAs involved in the most triplexes, became, as outputted by the executable which retrieve all the triplexes for a list of genes :

```
microRNAname ID_of_the_triplexes microRNA2name
```

## JSON query of a list of genes

The script which retrieve the fonctionnal triplexes take as input the name of a txt file containing the list of genes.

This list is then used to query the triplexRNA database with a JSON query and keep only the fonctionnal triplexes for each gene. The JSON query retrieve data under the form of JSON dictionnary that the script can then parse. Here an example of JSON query to retrieve all the triplexes associated with CDKN1A :

```
import requests
import json
response = requests.get("""http://www.sbi.uni-rostock.de/triplexrna/
JSON/Human/gene/CDKN1A""")
if response.status_code == 200:
    results = json.loads(response.content)
```

# results can be now accessed as a Python dictionary

The script also filter the triplexes considered as redundant. The output is wrote in a sif format, in a separate folder, with a global report.

## Filtering of the redondant triplexes

The redundant triplexes are filtered out considering the following : if a triplex in the list of triplexes associated to a gene has :

- the same position of beginning or ending for the microRNA's binding position of one already retained microRNA, for the first microRNA composing the triplex
- or the same position of beginning or ending for the microRNA's binding for the second microRNA composing the triplex,
- and a name of one microRNA in common with a triplex already retain for the sif output of this gene;

Then this triplex is considered as redundant and ignored for the sif output. The triplexRNA database which is interrogated with the MySQL request for the filtering is a local instance, different from the web instance which is the one queried with the JSON request.

## Genes level of expression, Melanoma cancer

The level of gene expression for the Melanoma cancer used for the differential expression are RNA-seq data, published by the The Cancer Genome Atlas Network (TCGA) [18], from the project TCGA - Melanoma (SKCM).

The gene expression profiles was measured experimentally using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 RNA Sequencing platform by the University of North Carolina TCGA genome characterization center. This data are level 3 data, meaning that the gene-level transcription estimates are in  $\log_2(\text{transformed RSEM normalized count} + 1)$ , and have been produced from a cohort of 331 patient [18].

The level of expression for the genes composing the KEGG melanoma pathways have been download through the Xena browser (<http://xena.ucsc.edu/>) [13]. UCSC's Xena is a data server-based platform that contain functional genomics data and output them in response to request done through a web graphical interface.

The Xena browser was used to retrieve the level of gene expression for each sample, associated with a phenotype [pathologic\_stage], the categories of phenotypes going from the stage 0 to IV. This indices describe the extent of the cancer. See the pdf from the american joint committee on cancer [31]




Figure 4.2: Web visualization of the level of gene expression, associated with the phenotype [pathologic\_stage]. This levels of expression can be then download.

## Colorectal cancer data

The level of genes expression for the colorectal cancer was extracted from the R package curatedCRCData [25], a package providing manually curated data of microarray and RNA-seq data from the TCGA-COAD project.

The level of gene expression are RNA-seq level 3 data, in  $\log_2$  ( transformed RSEM normalized count + 1), the same format than the RNA - seq data of the TGCA - SKCM project.

## Graphical visualization of the cooperation between microRNAs

The visualization of the cooperation between microRNAs in a form of graph has been done using Cytoscape [27], an open source software for integrating biomolecular interaction networks.

The format used as input to construct the network is the .sif format, cf the SIF format section above.



## **Differential expression analysis for melanoma and colorectal cancer data**

The differential genes expression analysis between early and late melanoma stage has been done using gene-level transcription estimates in  $\log_2(x+1)$  transformed RSEM normalized count, provide by the TCGA-SKCM project, using the Limma package in R [20].

After Quantile normalization to equalize the library sizes [20], Limma has been used to fit a linear model to the already  $\log_2$  - transformed data using an empirical Bayes method [20].

The same procedure has been employed for the colorectal cancer data.

## **Randomized Lasso**

The randomized lasso has been used on the gene-level transcription estimates in  $\log_2(x+1)$  transformed RSEM normalized count, provide by the TCGA in the project SKCM, using the python scikit-learn library [23].

## **Random Forest**

The Random Forests has been trained using 2500 tree, 0 random state, directly on the gene-level transcription estimates in  $\log_2(x+1)$  transformed RSEM normalized count provide by the TCGA in the project SKCM, using the python scikit-learn library [23]. The testing set of data has been generate by selecting at random 20 percent of the total data set.

## **Random Forest Classification Error Plotting**

The plotting of the error of the Random Forest has been done using the python library forestci.

## **Minimum Free Energy Calculation and Vizualization**

The Minimum Free Energy Calculation is performed using the tool RNAfold of the package ViennaRNA 2.3.5. [32]

## MicroRNAs alignments

The circular design script is provided with a copy of the mature.fa file from the last release of mirbase, downloaded in may2017, containing all the mature microRNAs referenced in the database.

An alignment using miRanda [33] is performed for each cluster against every human microRNAs, with the options ”-noenergy -strict -sc 150”. This options restrict the alignments to the ones with a strict alignment in the seed region (positions 2-8) region and eliminate results with little to no biological sense.

## Pdf report

The pdf report is created using the web tool TEXPILE, developed by Martin Scharm. TEXPILE is a web service design to be an online compiler for LaTeX projects. The report is first write in the Tex language by the circular RNA design script. This Tex file is then convert into a pdf file by the TEXPILE tool.

TEXPILE’s code is hosted at GitHub: [github.com/binfalse/TEXPILE](https://github.com/binfalse/TEXPILE)

## Bibliography

- [1] D. P. Bartel. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell*, 136(2):215–233, Jan 2009.
- [2] A. Grimson, K. K. Farh, W. K. Johnston, P. Garrett-Engle, L. P. Lim, and D. P. Bartel. MicroRNA targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. *Mol. Cell*, 27(1):91–105, Jul 2007.
- [3] David P Bartel. Micrnas: target recognition and regulatory functions. *cell*, 136(2):215–233, 2009.
- [4] W. Filipowicz, S. N. Bhattacharyya, and N. Sonenberg. Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: are the answers in sight? *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 9(2):102–114, Feb 2008.
- [5] P. Saetrom, B. S. Heale, O. Sn?ve, L. Aagaard, J. Alluin, and J. J. Rossi. Distance constraints between microRNA target sites dictate efficacy and cooperativity. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 35(7):2333–2342, 2007.
- [6] X. Lai, U. Schmitz, S. K. Gupta, A. Bhattacharya, M. Kunz, O. Wolkenhauer, and J. Vera. Computational analysis of target hub gene repression regulated by multiple and cooperative miRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 40(18):8818–8834, Oct 2012.
- [7] U. Schmitz, X. Lai, F. Winter, O. Wolkenhauer, J. Vera, and S. K. Gupta. Cooperative gene regulation by microRNA pairs and their identification using a computational workflow. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 42(12):7539–7552, Jul 2014.
- [8] Erika Lasda and Roy Parker. Circular rnas: diversity of form and function. *Rna*, 20(12):1829–1842, 2014.
- [9] L. Peng, X. Q. Yuan, and G. C. Li. The emerging landscape of circular RNA ciRS-7 in cancer (Review). *Oncol. Rep.*, 33(6):2669–2674, Jun 2015.
- [10] S. Memczak, M. Jens, A. Elefsinioti, F. Torti, J. Krueger, A. Rybak, L. Maier, S. D. Mackowiak, L. H. Gregersen, M. Munschauer, A. Loewer, U. Ziebold, M. Landthaler,

- C. Kocks, F. le Noble, and N. Rajewsky. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. *Nature*, 495(7441):333–338, Mar 2013.
- [11] Dan Han, Jiangxue Li, Huamin Wang, Xiaoping Su, Jin Hou, Yan Gu, Cheng Qian, Yun Lin, Xiang Liu, Mingyan Huang, Nan Li, Weiping Zhou, Yizhi Yu, and Xuetao Cao. Circular rna mto1 acts as the sponge of mir-9 to suppress hepatocellular carcinoma progression. *Hepatology*, pages n/a–n/a.
- [12] J. C. Burnett and J. J. Rossi. RNA-based therapeutics: current progress and future prospects. *Chem. Biol.*, 19(1):60–71, Jan 2012.
- [13] Jingchun Zhu, Brian Craft, Mary Goldman, Melissa Cline, Mark Diekhans, and David Haussler. Abstract b1-07: Using the ucsc xena platform to integrate, visualize, and analyze your own data in the context of large external genomic datasets. *Cancer Research*, 75(22 Supplement 2):B1–07–B1–07, 2015.
- [14] B. P. Lewis, C. B. Burge, and D. P. Bartel. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell*, 120(1):15–20, Jan 2005.
- [15] M. Spasic, C. C. Friedel, J. Schott, J. Kreth, K. Leppek, S. Hofmann, S. Ozgur, and G. Stoecklin. Genome-wide assessment of AU-rich elements by the AREScore algorithm. *PLoS Genet.*, 8(1):e1002433, Jan 2012.
- [16] S. D. Chandradoss, N. T. Schirle, M. Szczepaniak, I. J. MacRae, and C. Joo. A Dynamic Search Process Underlies MicroRNA Targeting. *Cell*, 162(1):96–107, Jul 2015.
- [17] Trevor Hastie, Robert Tibshirani, and Jerome H. Friedman. *The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction, 2nd Edition*. Springer series in statistics. Springer, 2009.
- [18] Akbani et al. Genomic Classification of Cutaneous Melanoma. *Cell*, 161(7):1681–1696, Jun 2015.
- [19] Evangelia Koutsogiannouli, Athanasios G Papavassiliou, and Nikolaos A Papanikolaou. Complexity in cancer biology: is systems biology the answer? *Cancer medicine*, 2(2):164–177, 2013.

- [20] M. E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C. W. Law, W. Shi, and G. K. Smyth. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43(7):e47, Apr 2015.
- [21] Nicolai Meinshausen and Peter Bühlmann. Stability selection. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 72(4):417–473, 2010.
- [22] A. C. Haury, F. Mordelet, P. Vera-Licona, and J. P. Vert. TIGRESS: Trustful Inference of Gene REgulation using Stability Selection. *BMC Syst Biol*, 6:145, Nov 2012.
- [23] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay. Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2825–2830, 2011.
- [24] H. Park, S. Imoto, and S. Miyano. Recursive Random Lasso (RRLasso) for Identifying Anti-Cancer Drug Targets. *PLoS ONE*, 10(11):e0141869, 2015.
- [25] Riester M Parsana P and Waldron L. “curatedcrrcdata: Clinically annotated data for the colorectal cancer transcriptome.”.
- [26] David Chisanga, Shivakumar Keerthikumar, Mohashin Pathan, Dinuka Ariyaratne, Hina Kalra, Stephanie Boukouris, Nidhi Abraham Mathew, Haidar Al Saffar, Lahiru Gangoda, Ching-Seng Ang, et al. Colorectal cancer atlas: An integrative resource for genomic and proteomic annotations from colorectal cancer cell lines and tissues. *Nucleic acids research*, 44(D1):D969–D974, 2015.
- [27] P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N. S. Baliga, J. T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B. Schwikowski, and T. Ideker. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.*, 13(11):2498–2504, Nov 2003.
- [28] Zu-Yau Lin and Wan-Long Chuang. Genes responsible for the characteristics of primary cultured invasive phenotype hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 66(6):454–458, 2012.
- [29] R. Denzler, S. E. McGeary, A. C. Title, V. Agarwal, D. P. Bartel, and M. Stoffel. Impact of MicroRNA Levels, Target-Site Complementarity, and Cooperativity on Competing Endogenous RNA-Regulated Gene Expression. *Mol. Cell*, 64(3):565–579, Nov 2016.

- [30] M. Kanehisa, M. Furumichi, M. Tanabe, Y. Sato, and K. Morishima. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 45(D1):D353–D361, Jan 2017.
- [31] American Joint Committee on Cancer. Melanoma of the skin staging, 7th edition. 2009.
- [32] R. Lorenz, S. H. Bernhart, C. Honer Zu Siederdissen, H. Tafer, C. Flamm, P. F. Stadler, and I. L. Hofacker. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms Mol Biol*, 6:26, Nov 2011.
- [33] A. J. Enright, B. John, U. Gaul, T. Tuschl, C. Sander, and D. S. Marks. MicroRNA targets in *Drosophila*. *Genome Biol.*, 5(1):R1, 2003.