

CCA-Security

Cryptography and Protocols
Andrei Bulatov

CCA Security (take 1)

- Let (K, E, D) be a symmetric encryption scheme and (T, ϵ) a superpolynomial pair. Consider the following game:
 - (1) Alice and Bob choose a shared k at random from $\{0,1\}^n$
 - (2) Eve gets access to black boxes $E_k(\cdot)$ and $D_k(\cdot)$
 - (3) Eve chooses P_1 and P_2
 - (4) Alice chooses $i \in \{1,2\}$ at random and gives Eve $C = E_k(P_i)$
 - (5) Eve gets more access to black boxes $E_k(\cdot)$ and $D_k(\cdot)$
 - (6) Eve outputs $j \in \{1,2\}$

Eve wins if $j = i$.

Scheme (K, E, D) is (T, ϵ) -CCA-secure if for any Eve of time complexity at most T

$$\Pr[\text{Eve wins}] < 1/2 + \epsilon$$

CCA Security (fix)

- Change (5) to:

(5') Eve gets access to black boxes $E_k(\cdot)$ and $D'_k(\cdot)$, where

$$D'_k(C') = \begin{cases} D_k(C'), & \text{if } C' \neq C \\ \perp, & \text{if } C' = C \end{cases}$$

Construction of a CCA-Secure Scheme

- Let $(\text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$ be a CMA-secure MAC and (K', E', D') a CPA-secure scheme. Define (K, E, D) as follows
 - K: keys k, k' selected uniformly at random from $\{0,1\}^n$
 - E: compute $C = E'_k(P)$, $t = \text{Sign}_{k'}(C)$, and send (C, t)
 - D: Upon receiving (C, t) , first verify that $\text{Ver}_{k'}(C, t) = 1$
if not, abort (output \perp).
If check passes compute $D'_k(C)$

Security

- A MAC $(\text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$ satisfies the unique signatures property if for any message there at most one tag that certifies it.

More precisely: $\text{Ver}_k(P, t) = 1$ if and only if $t = \text{Sign}_k(P)$

- **Theorem.**

Let (K, E, D) be the encryption scheme constructed as on the previous slide from a CPA-secure SES (K', E', D') and a CMA-secure MAC $(\text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$ that satisfies the unique signatures property. Then (K, E, D) is CCA-secure.

Security: Proof

- Idea of the proof

Eve is allowed to make encryption and decryption queries

Since (K', E', D') is CPA-secure, encryption queries alone don't help

Since $(\text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$ is a CMA-secure scheme it is unlikely that Eve receives something different from \perp to her decryption queries. Thus they are useless

- More details.

Suppose there is Eve of bounded complexity that breaks (K, E, D) .

We construct Eve' that breaks (K', E', D')

Security: Proof (cntd)

- Eve' uses Eve and Alice, and simulates Bob:
 - choose key k'
 - whenever Eve asks for an encryption of P compute $C = E'_{k'}(P)$
 $t = \text{Sign}_{k'}(C)$ and send (C,t) to Eve. Record the query.
 - if Eve asks for decryption of what was previously computed return P
 - if Eve asks for decryption of (C,t) that was not previously computed, check if $\text{Ver}_{k'}(C,t) = 1$. If not return \perp . If yes, abort communication. Eve' fails to simulate Bob.
 - when Eve comes up with a challenge P_1, P_2 pass it on to Alice to obtain $C = E'_{k'}(P_i)$. Give Eve (C,t) , where $t = \text{Sign}_{k'}(C)$
 - when Eve outputs a guess j , output j