Citations

From References: 38 From Reviews: 17

## MR0171275 (30 #1506) 55.20

Murasugi, Kunio

On a certain numerical invariant of link types.

Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 1965 387-422

This paper is concerned with the signature  $\sigma(l)$  of the quadratic form of a link l with  $\mu$  components. Trotter showed [Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 464–498; MR0143201 (26 #761)] that for  $\mu = 1, \sigma$  is an invariant, and this is shown here (Theorem 3.1) to be true also for  $\mu > 1$ .

By the "reduced Alexander polynomial"  $\Delta(t)$  of l is meant a generator of the principal ideal  $\mathcal{E}_1(t,\cdots,t)$ ; thus for a knot it is the usual Alexander polynomial, and for  $\mu>1$  it is  $(t-1)\Delta(t,\cdots,t)$ . It is normalized in this paper by the following conditions:  $\Delta(t)$  has no terms of negative degree,  $\Delta(0)\neq 0$  (unless  $\Delta(t)=0$ , of course), and  $\Delta(-1)\geq 0$ . If l is a non-splittable alternating link, then  $\Delta(0)\Delta(-1)>0$  (Lemma 5.1). If l is a non-splittable special alternating link, then the degree of  $\Delta(t)$  is  $|\sigma(l)|$  (Lemma 5.2). If l is a non-splittable amphicheiral link, then  $\sigma(l)=0$  (Lemma 5.8). Consequently, of the non-splittable special alternating links only the trivial ones are amphicheiral (Theorem 5.5). If l is any knot, l is any knot, l in l in l or l (mod l) [cf. the reviewer, Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Inst., 1961), pp. 168–176, problem 12; Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962; MR0140100 (25 #3523)].

The reviewer has suggested three generalizations of the concept of slice link (Properties (1) = (2), (3) and (4) [ibid., p. 173, following problem 25]), and the author names them as follows: (1) = (2) slice link in the strong sense, (3) slice link, (4) slice link in the weak sense. If l is a slice link in the weak sense, then  $|\sigma(l)| \le \mu - 1$  (Theorem 8.5); if l is a slice link, then  $\sigma(l) = 0$  (Theorem 8.8); if l is a slice link in the strong sense, then not only is  $\sigma(l) = 0$  but  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu-1}(t, \cdots, t) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(t, \cdots, t)$  is a principal ideal generated by an element of the form  $f(t)f(t^{-1})$ , where f(t) is an integral polynomial for which f(1) = 1 (Theorem 8.4) [ibid., problem 26]. Consequently, if k is a slice knot, then  $\sigma(k) = 0$  (Theorem 8.3). In particular, the granny knot is not a slice knot (Theorem 8.9) [this solves problem 23, ibid.]. Whether l is a slice link or not,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(t, \cdots, t) \neq 0$  (Lemma 6.1). Also  $\sigma(l_1 \# l_2) = \sigma(l_1) + \sigma(l_2)$  (Corollary 7.4).

Now let l be oriented and denote its genus by h(l) and the minimum of the genera of the locally flat surfaces in half 4-space that are bounded by l by  $h^*(l)$  [ibid., p. 172, following problem 23]. We have (as a consequence of a somewhat stronger inequality)  $|\sigma(l)| \leq 2h^*(l) + \mu - 1$  (Theorem 9.1). If k is a special alternating knot, then  $h^*(k) = h(k)$  (Theorem 9.3) [ibid., problems 12 and 24].

Finally, consider the unknotting number u(k) of a knot [H. Wendt, Math. Z. **42** (1937), 680–696]. We have  $|\sigma(k)| \leq 2u(k)$  (Theorem 10.1) and  $h^*(k) \leq u(k)$  (Theorem 10.2). Consequently if k is the torus knot of type (2n+1,2), its unknotting number is |n| (Corollary 10.3).

Reviewed by R. H. Fox