

# Spatial filtering

Semester 2, 2022 Kris Ehinger



https://www12.lunapic.com/editor/?action=sketch

#### Outline

- Introduction to convolution
- Commonly-used linear filters
- Filters in practice

## Learning outcomes

- Explain the convolution and cross-correlation operations
- Identify commonly-used filters and their expected outputs
- Explain practical considerations in implementing filters (efficiency, border handling)


# Introduction to convolution

# Pixel operator


 Pixel operator: computes an output value at each pixel location, based on the input pixel value

$$g(i,j) = h(f(i,j))$$
  
Output image  $g$  Input image  $f$ 

• Example: g(i,j) = 0.5(f(i,j))




#### Gamma correction



# Local operator

- Local operator: computes an output value at each pixel location, based on a neighbourhood of pixels around the input pixel
- Example: sharpening filter



 Output pixel's value is a weighted sum of a neighbourhood around the input pixel

$$g(i,j) = h(u,v) \otimes f(i,j)$$
 Output image  $g$  Kernel  $h$  Input image  $f$ 

Cross-correlation convolution

$$g(i,j) = \sum_{u,v} f(i+u,j+v)h(u,v)$$

 Output pixel's value is a weighted sum of a neighbourhood around the input pixel


$$g(i,j) = h(u,v) * f(i,j)$$
Output image  $g$ 

Kernel  $h$ 
Input image  $f$ 

Convolution operator

$$g(i,j) = \sum_{u,v} f(i-u,j-v)h(u,v)$$


Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel



Position 1:

1	.x1	5x0
1	.x0	2x1


Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel



Position 2:

5x1	1x0
2x0	8x1


Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel





Position 3:

1x1	1x0
8x0	2x1

Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel









Output

Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel







3	13	3
1	3	

Output

Consider a 3x4 image and 2x2 kernel







3	13	3
1	3	16

Output

#### Cross-correlation vs. convolution




а	b	С	
d	е	f	
g	h	i	
H[u,v]			




$$F[x,y] \otimes H[u,v]$$

Cross-correlation: overlay filter on image

#### Cross-correlation vs. convolution



a	b	С	
d	е	f	
g	h	i	
H[u,v]			



$$F[x,y] * H[u,v]$$

Convolution: flip filter horizontally and vertically

## Summary

- Pixel operator: transform pixel based on its value
- Local operator: transform pixel based on its neighbours
- Convolution (and cross-correlation): operations that apply a linear filter to an image

# Common filters



Original

0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0





Original

0	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	0



Filtered (no change)



Original


0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0






Original


0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0



Shifted left By 1 pixel



Original




(Note that filter sums to 1)

?



0	0	0
0	2	0
0	0	0






Original


#### **Sharpening filter**

- Accentuates differences with local average

#### Common filters: Gaussian




$$G_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2\sigma^2}}$$




Gaussian kernel Kernel size:  $5 \times 5 px$  $\sigma = 1$ 


## Blur filters



## Common filters: Sobel





# Common filters: Sobel



#### What about colour?

Consider a 3x4x3 image and 2x2x3 kernel






Convolution output?

# Designing filters

How could you detect diagonal edges?



# Designing filters

How could you simulate (linear) motion blur?



#### Common filters

- Average/blur filters: average pixel values, blur the image
- Sharpening filters: subtract pixel from surround, increase fine detail
- Edge filters: compute difference between pixels, detect oriented edges in image

# Filters in practice

# Properties of linear filters

- Commutative: f \* h = h \* f
  - Theoretically, no difference between kernel and image
  - But most implementations do care about order
- Associative: (f \* h1) \* h2 = f \* (h1 \* h2)
  - Usually one option is faster than the other allows for more efficient implementations
- Distributive over addition
  - f \* (h1 + h2) = (f \* h1) + (f \* h2)
- Multiplication cancels out
  - kf \* h = f \* kh = k(f \* h)

# Efficient filtering

• Multiple filters: generally more efficient to combine 2D filters (h1\*h2\*h3...) and filter image just once



\* = Gaussian blur filter



\* 
Horizontal derivative filter





\* = Derivative-of-Gaussian filter



# Efficient filtering

- Separable filters: generally more efficient to filter with two 1D filters than one 2D filter
- For example, the 2D Gaussian can be expressed as a product of two 1D Guassians (in x and y)

$$G_{\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right)$$

# Separable filters

2D convolution (center location only)

 1
 2
 1


 2
 4
 2

 1
 2
 1


 2
 4
 4

 4
 4
 6


The filter factors into a product of 1D filters:



Perform convolution along rows:




Followed by convolution along the remaining column:



# Convolution output size


- Valid convolution: the output image is smaller than the input image
- Why?



• Pad with constant value








#### Wrap image








Clamp / replicate the border value







#### Reflect image







#### Practical considerations

- Think about how to implement filters efficiently
  - Images are big, so efficient filtering can save a lot of time!
- Think about how to handle borders
  - No one-size-fits-all solution
  - Wrap is ideal for tiling textures (but not photos)
  - Clamp/replicate tends to work well for photos

# Summary

- Linear filters: first step of almost all computer vision systems
- Linear filters are just a first step you can't build complex feature detectors from just linear filters