classification wisc breast cancer CH

February 10, 2021

- 0.0.1 Classification of Wisconsin Breast Cancer Database
- 0.0.2 University of California, Santa Barbara
- 0.0.3 PSTAT 135/235: Big Data Analytics
- 0.0.4 Last Updated: May 30, 2020

Instructions

In this project, you will work with the Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset. You will train a logistic regression model to predict the diagnosis. First, you will work through this example. Then you will make modifications and run the code, collecting results at the bottom of the notebook.

The following experiments should be conducted: 1. Three features were used in the model. Build the model using all features. Before training the model, apply scaling to the features using the StandardScaler transformer. Then train the model and compute the accuracy on the test set. Additionally, compute and show the confusion matrix.

- 2. Repeat step (1), including an intercept
- 3. Repeat step (1), using randomSplit([0.7, 0.3])
- 4. Repeat step (2), using randomSplit([0.7, 0.3])
- 5. Compare and discuss the results of (1) vs (2). Compare and discuss the results of (3) vs (4).

Total Possible Points: 10

```
from pyspark.sql import SparkSession
from pyspark.mllib.classification import LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS,
LogisticRegressionModel
from pyspark.mllib.regression import LabeledPoint
from pyspark.ml.feature import VectorAssembler
from pyspark.mllib.linalg import Vectors
from pyspark.sql.functions import col
from pyspark.mllib.evaluation import MulticlassMetrics
import os
```

```
[2]: # param init (you will need to update data_path)
infile = 'wisc_breast_cancer_w_fields.csv'
```

```
spark = SparkSession \
                .builder \
                .appName("Wisc BRCA") \
                .getOrCreate()
[3]: # read in data
        df = spark.read.csv(infile, inferSchema=True, header = True)
[4]: df.show(2)
       id|diagnosis|
                                           f1|
                                                     f2l
                                                                f3l
                                                                            f4l
                                                                                          f5l
                                                                                                       f6l
                                                                                                                    f7|
       f9l
                   f10|
                                           f12| f13| f14|
                                                                               f15|
                                                                                             f16|
                                                                                                           f17|
                                                                                                                         f18|
                               f11|
                                                                                                                                      f19|
                                                  f24|
                                                                          f26|
                                                                                      f27|
       f20| f21| f22| f23|
                                                              f25|
                                                                                                  f281
                                                                                                              f29|
                                                                                                                            f30|
       +----+
       ----+----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
                                  M|17.99|10.38|122.8|1001.0| 0.1184| 0.2776|0.3001|
       0.1471|0.2419|0.07871|1.095|0.9053|8.589|153.4|0.006399|0.04904|0.05373|0.01587
       |0.03003|0.006193|25.38|17.33|184.6|2019.0|0.1622|0.6656|0.7119|0.2654|0.4601|
       0.1189|
       |842517|
                                  M|20.57|17.77|132.9|1326.0|0.08474|0.07864|0.0869|0.07017|0.1812
       [0.05667]0.5435]0.7339]3.398]74.08]0.005225]0.01308] 0.0186]
       0.0134 | 0.01389 | 0.003532 | 24.99 | 23.41 | 158.8 | 1956.0 | 0.1238 | 0.1866 | 0.2416 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.
       0.275 | 0.08902 |
       ____+___
       only showing top 2 rows
[5]: # use some of the fields as features
        assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["f1", "f2", "f3"], outputCol="features")
        transformed = assembler.transform(df)
[6]: # convert to RDD
        dataRdd = transformed.select(col("diagnosis"), col("features")).rdd.map(tuple)
[7]: # look at some data
        dataRdd.take(2)
[7]: [('M', DenseVector([17.99, 10.38, 122.8])),
          ('M', DenseVector([20.57, 17.77, 132.9]))]
```

```
[8]: # map label to binary values, then convert to LabeledPoint
      lp = dataRdd.map(lambda row:(1 if row[0]=='M' else 0, Vectors.dense(row[1])))
       → \
                          .map(lambda row: LabeledPoint(row[0], row[1]))
 [9]: # look at some data
      lp.take(2)
 [9]: [LabeledPoint(1.0, [17.99,10.38,122.8]),
      LabeledPoint(1.0, [20.57,17.77,132.9])]
[10]: # Split data approximately into training (60%) and test (40%)
      training, test = lp.randomSplit([0.6, 0.4], seed=314)
[11]: # count records in datasets
      (training.count(), test.count(), lp.count())
[11]: (356, 213, 569)
[12]: (training.count()/lp.count(), test.count()/lp.count(), lp.count()/lp.count())
[12]: (0.6256590509666081, 0.37434094903339193, 1.0)
[13]: # Build the model
      model = LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS.train(training)
[14]: # Evaluating the model on test data
      labelsAndPreds_te = test.map(lambda p: (p.label, model.predict(p.features)))
      accuracy_te = 1.0 * labelsAndPreds_te.filter(lambda pl: pl[0] == pl[1]).count()_
       →/ test.count()
      print('model accuracy (test): {}'.format(accuracy_te))
     model accuracy (test): 0.8732394366197183
     SOLUTIONS
     For parts 1-4, compute and show for the test set: (1) accuracy (2) confusion matrix.
     Each part is worth 2 POINTS.
[45]: ## Enter solution for Part 1
      assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=[i for i in df.columns if i[0]=='f'], u
      ⇔outputCol="features")
      #assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["f1", "f2", "f3"],
       → outputCol="features")
      transformed = assembler.transform(df)
      #add scaling features
      from pyspark.ml.feature import StandardScaler
```

```
scaler = StandardScaler(inputCol="features", outputCol = "scaledFeatures")
      scalerModel = scaler.fit(transformed)
      scaledData = scalerModel.transform(transformed)
      #convert to RDD
      scaledDataRdd = scaledData.select(col("diagnosis"), col("scaledFeatures")).rdd.
       →map(tuple)
      #map label to binary values, then create LabeledPoints
      lp2 = scaledDataRdd.map(lambda row:(1 if row[0] == 'M' else 0, Vectors.
      \rightarrowdense(row[1])))
                          .map(lambda row: LabeledPoint(row[0], row[1]))
      #Split data approximately into training(60%) and test (40%)
      training2, test2 = lp2.randomSplit([0.6, 0.4], seed=314)
      #Build the model
      model2 = LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS.train(training2)
      # Evaluating the model on test data
      labelsAndPreds_te = test2.map(lambda p: (p.label, float(model2.predict(p.
       →features))))
      accuracy_te = 1.0 * labelsAndPreds_te.filter(lambda pl: pl[0] == pl[1]).count()_u
      →/ test2.count()
      print('model accuracy(test): {}'.format(accuracy_te))
      metrics = MulticlassMetrics(labelsAndPreds_te)
      print("Confusion Matrix: \n {}".format(metrics.confusionMatrix().toArray()))
     model accuracy(test): 0.8732394366197183
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[127. 15.]
      [ 12. 59.]]
[46]: ## Enter solution for Part 2
      #assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["f1", "f2", "f3"],
      → outputCol="features")
      assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=[i for i in df.columns if i[0]=='f'], __
      →outputCol="features")
      transformed = assembler.transform(df)
      #add scaling features
      from pyspark.ml.feature import StandardScaler
      scaler = StandardScaler(inputCol="features", outputCol = "scaledFeatures")
      scalerModel = scaler.fit(transformed)
      scaledData = scalerModel.transform(transformed)
```

```
scaledDataRdd = scaledData.select(col("diagnosis"), col("scaledFeatures")).rdd.
       →map(tuple)
      #map label to binary values, then create LabeledPoints
      lp2 = scaledDataRdd.map(lambda row:(1 if row[0] == 'M' else 0, Vectors.
       \rightarrowdense(row[1])))
                          .map(lambda row: LabeledPoint(row[0], row[1]))
      #Split data approximately into training(60%) and test (40%)
      training2, test2 = lp2.randomSplit([0.6, 0.4], seed=314)
      #Build the model
      model3 = LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS.train(training2, intercept = True)
      # Evaluating the model on test data
      labelsAndPreds_te = test2.map(lambda p: (p.label, float(model3.predict(p.
      →features))))
      accuracy_te = 1.0 * labelsAndPreds_te.filter(lambda pl: pl[0] == pl[1]).count()u
      →/ test2.count()
      print('model accuracy(test): {}'.format(accuracy_te))
      metrics = MulticlassMetrics(labelsAndPreds_te)
      print("Confusion Matrix: \n {}".format(metrics.confusionMatrix().toArray()))
     model accuracy(test): 0.9671361502347418
     Confusion Matrix:
      ΓΓ135.
              3.1
      [ 4. 71.]]
[49]: ## Enter solution for Part 3
      \#assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["f1", "f2", "f3"], 
      →outputCol="features")
      assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=[i for i in df.columns if i[0]=='f'], __
       →outputCol="features")
      transformed = assembler.transform(df)
      #add scaling features
      from pyspark.ml.feature import StandardScaler
      scaler = StandardScaler(inputCol="features", outputCol = "scaledFeatures")
      scalerModel = scaler.fit(transformed)
      scaledData = scalerModel.transform(transformed)
      #convert to RDD
      scaledDataRdd = scaledData.select(col("diagnosis"), col("scaledFeatures")).rdd.
       →map(tuple)
```

#convert to RDD

```
#map label to binary values, then create LabeledPoints
      lp2 = scaledDataRdd.map(lambda row:(1 if row[0] == 'M' else 0, Vectors.
       \rightarrowdense(row[1])))
                           .map(lambda row: LabeledPoint(row[0], row[1]))
      #Split data approximately into training(70%) and test (30%)
      training2, test2 = lp2.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3], seed=314)
      #Build the model
      model2 = LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS.train(training2)
      # Evaluating the model on test data
      labelsAndPreds_te = test2.map(lambda p: (p.label, float(model2.predict(p.
      →features))))
      accuracy_te = 1.0 * labelsAndPreds_te.filter(lambda pl: pl[0] == pl[1]).count()_
      →/ test2.count()
      print('model accuracy(test): {}'.format(accuracy_te))
      metrics = MulticlassMetrics(labelsAndPreds_te)
      print("Confusion Matrix: \n {}".format(metrics.confusionMatrix().toArray()))
     model accuracy(test): 0.9433962264150944
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[98. 6.]
      [ 3. 52.]]
[50]: ## Enter solution for Part 4
      assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["f1", "f2", "f3"], outputCol="features")
      \#assembler = VectorAssembler(inputCols=[i for i in df.columns if i[0]=='f'], 
      → outputCol="features")
      transformed = assembler.transform(df)
      #add scaling features
      from pyspark.ml.feature import StandardScaler
      scaler = StandardScaler(inputCol="features", outputCol = "scaledFeatures")
      scalerModel = scaler.fit(transformed)
      scaledData = scalerModel.transform(transformed)
      #map label to binary values, then create LabeledPoints
      lp2 = scaledDataRdd.map(lambda row:(1 if row[0] == 'M' else 0, Vectors.
      \rightarrowdense(row[1])))
                           .map(lambda row: LabeledPoint(row[0], row[1]))
      #Split data approximately into training(70%) and test (30%)
      training2, test2 = lp2.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3], seed=314)
```

```
#Build the model
      model3 = LogisticRegressionWithLBFGS.train(training2, intercept = True)
      # Evaluating the model on test data
      labelsAndPreds_te = test2.map(lambda p: (p.label, float(model3.predict(p.
       →features))))
      accuracy_te = 1.0 * labelsAndPreds_te.filter(lambda pl: pl[0] == pl[1]).count()
      →/ test2.count()
      print('model accuracy(test): {}'.format(accuracy_te))
      metrics = MulticlassMetrics(labelsAndPreds te)
      print("Confusion Matrix: \n {}".format(metrics.confusionMatrix().toArray()))
     model accuracy(test): 0.9371069182389937
     Confusion Matrix:
      [[98. 7.]
      [ 3. 51.]]
[56]: ## Enter solution for Part 5
      #Comparing the results of (1) vs (2) we can see the accuracy from the first \Box
       \rightarrow model is 0.8732 and the second model's
      \#(including\ the\ intercept) accuracy is 0.9671. We can see that the accuracy had
       \rightarrow improved compared to the prior model after
      #adding the intercept. Comparing the confusion matrix we can see that the True
       →Positive and the True Negative is higher in the second
      #qiven model which goes along with the accuracy being higher as well as we are
       → trying to avoid type 1 and 2 errors. The False Positive and
      #the False Negative is lower in the second model which means we are minimizing_
       \rightarrow the type 1 and 2 error.
      #Comparing the results of (3) vs (4) we split the data approximately into
      \rightarrowtraining(70%) and test (30%), we can see in part (4) the intercept
      #was added, the accuracy improves just like comparing part one and two. It_{\sqcup}
       →shows the exact pattern when we add an intercept where the true positive
      #and the true negatice is higher with the false positive and the false negative
      →being lower in the model with the intercept in (4). In conclusion,
      # it is okay to say the intercept will improve the models accuracy along with
       \rightarrow lowering type 1 and 2 errors.
[57]: # Save notebook as PDF document
```

[NbConvertApp] Converting notebook /home/jovyan/assignments/M4_8/classification_wisc_breast_cancer_CH.ipynb to pdf

!jupyter nbconvert --to pdf `pwd`/*.ipynb

```
[NbConvertApp] Writing 54102 bytes to ./notebook.tex
[NbConvertApp] Building PDF
[NbConvertApp] Running xelatex 3 times: ['xelatex', './notebook.tex', '-quiet']
[NbConvertApp] Running bibtex 1 time: ['bibtex', './notebook']
[NbConvertApp] WARNING | bibtex had problems, most likely because there were no citations
[NbConvertApp] PDF successfully created
[NbConvertApp] Writing 61554 bytes to
/home/jovyan/assignments/M4_8/classification_wisc_breast_cancer_CH.pdf
```

[]: