

Simple proofs about recursive functions

Yves Bertot

August 2009

At this point, we have seen how to program algorithms in Coq and how to perform basic proofs. We shall now concentrate on proving properties about the algorithms we were able to program. We will concentrate on :

- ▶ Reasoning by cases on function inputs
- ▶ Getting rid of inconsistent assumptions
- ▶ Using the injectivity of datatype constructors
- ▶ Using induction hypotheses

Proofs by induction

- ▶ Goal of the form $C\ x$, where x is an integer
- ▶ Tactic : `induction n as [| p IHp]`
- ▶ The system creates two goals corresponding to cases
 - ▶ $C\ 0$
 - ▶ $C\ (S\ p)$
- ▶ In the second goal, a fact IHp is added to the context with statement $C\ p$

Main guideline

Reason on executions of functions

- ▶ Reason by induction when working on a recursive function
- ▶ Induction on the argument where recursion occurs

```
Fixpoint fact (n:nat) : nat :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => 1  
  | S p => S p * fact p  
  end.
```

Lemma factp : forall n, 0 < fact n.

Proof by induction on fact

Two cases for the input of fact : 0 or S p

- In second case, recursive call on p

Proof by induction makes the cases appear

- in step case where $n = S\ p$, induction hypothesis on p

Induction n as [| p IHp].

=====

0 < fact 0

Subgoal 2 is:

0 < fact (S p)

Force computation of recursive functions

Tactic `simpl` : compute the function but respect the recursive structure

```
=====
0 < fact 0
simpl.
```

```
=====
0 < 1
omega.
```

```
1 subgoal
  IHp : 0 < fact p
  =====
    0 < fact (S p)
simpl.
...
    0 < fact p + p * fact p
```

Completing the example

apply lt_le_trans with (fact p).

...

IHp : $0 < \text{fact } p$

=====

$0 < \text{fact } p$

Subgoal 2 is:

$\text{fact } p \leq \text{fact } p + p * \text{fact } p$

SearchPattern (?x <= ?x + _).

le_plus_1 : forall n m, $n \leq n + m$

apply le_plus_1.

Qed.

Induction on lists

Induction on lists is like induction on natural numbers

- ▶ base case : the empty list
- ▶ step case : the list with an element at the head and another list at the tail
- ▶ the tail can be handled by recursive calls and induction hypotheses

An example on lists

```
Require Import List.
```

```
Fixpoint rev1 (A : Type) (l1 l2 : list A) :=  
  match l1 with  
  | nil => l2  
  | a::t1 => rev1 A t1 (a::l2)  
end.
```

```
Fixpoint rev (A : Type) (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a::t1 => rev A t1 ++ a::nil  
end.
```

Proof on rev

```

Lemma rev1_rev : forall1 A (l1 l2 : list A),
  rev1 A l1 l2 = rev A l1 ++ l2.
intros A; induction l1 as [ | a t1 IHt1].
=====
  forall l2, rev1 A nil l2 = rev A nil ++ l2
intros l2; reflexivity.
  IHt1 : forall l2 : list A,
    rev1 A t1 l2 = rev A t1 ++ l2
=====
  forall l2 : list A,
    rev1 A (a :: t1) l2 = rev A (a :: t1) ++ l2

```

Finishing the proof on rev

```
intros l2; simpl.  
  IHt1 : forall l2, rev1 A t1 l2 = rev A t1 ++ l2  
  =====  
  rev1 A t1 (a::l2) = (rev A t1 ++ a::nil) ++ l2  
rewrite IHt1, app_ass; simpl; reflexivity.  
Qed.
```

Reasoning by cases

- ▶ Reasoning by cases is already provided by the tactic `induction`
- ▶ But induction adds induction hypotheses
- ▶ The tactics `case`, `case_eq`, `destruct` are more lightweight
 - ▶ `case e` replaces all instances of `e` in the conclusion with possible cases
 - ▶ `case_eq e` the same and adds an equality to remember the case
 - ▶ `destruct e` replaces all instances in conclusion and hypotheses of the goal

Example of case, case_eq, and destruct

Definition max m n := if leb m n then n else m.

Lemma maxge1 : forall m n, m <= max m n.

intros m n; unfold max.

assert (t1 := leb_complete m n).

assert (t2 := leb_complete_conv n m).

t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n

t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m

=====

m <= if leb m n then n else m

Example of case_eq

```

t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n
t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m
=====
m <= if leb m n then n else m
case_eq (leb m n).
t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n
t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m
=====
leb m n = true -> m <= n
intros t; apply t1; exact t.

```

Example of destruct

```

t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n
t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m
=====
m <= if leb m n then n else m
destruct (leb m n)).
t1 : true = true -> m <= n
t2 : true = false -> n < m
=====
m <= n
apply t1; reflexivity.

```


Example of case

```
t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n
t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m
=====
m <= if leb m n then n else m
case (leb m n).
t1 : leb m n = true -> m <= n
t2 : leb m n = false -> n < m
=====
m <= n
```

Abort.

Controlling execution

The tactic `simpl` performs computation, but sometimes it goes too far

- ▶ When you know what value to aim for use `change e1 with e2`
- ▶ The values e_1 and e_2 have to be obviously the same (for Coq)
- ▶ Use `replace e1 and e2` : it gives you more work, but is more supple
- ▶ Use `change C'` to change the whole goal conclusion

Getting rid of inconsistent cases

An equality between two different constructors is an inconsistency

- ▶ to be handled with `discriminate` or `discriminate H`

```
H : a::l = nil
```

```
=====
```

```
C
```

```
discriminate.
```

```
Proof completed.
```

Decomposing equalities of constructors

An equality between two terms with the same constructor

- Components must be equal : constructors are injective
- The tactic is `injection`

```
H : a :: l = b :: l'
```

```
=====
```

```
C
```

```
injection H.
```

```
H : a :: l = b :: l'
```

```
=====
```

```
l = l' -> a = b -> C
```

Specialized induction principles

- ▶ General approach is to follow the structure of functions
- ▶ This can be expressed in a theorem
- ▶ Theorem generated by Functional Scheme
- ▶ Theorem then used by functional induction

A last example

```
Fixpoint even (n:nat) : bool :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => true  
  | 1 => false  
  | S (S p) => even p  
  end.
```

```
Functional Scheme even_ind :=  
  Induction for even Sort Prop.
```

Proof by specialized induction

```
Lemma even_double : forall n, even n = true ->  
  exists p, n = 2 * p.
```

```
intros n; functional induction even n.
```

```
3 subgoals
```

```
=====
```

```
  true = true -> exists p : nat, 0 = 2 * p
```

```
subgoal 2 is:
```

```
  false = true -> exists p : nat, 1 = 2 * p
```

```
subgoal 3 is:
```

```
  even p = true -> exists p0 : nat, S (S p) = 2 * p0
```

Finishing the proof for even_double

```
exists 0; reflexivity.  
intros; discriminate.  
IHb : even p = true -> exists p0 : nat, p = 2 * p0  
=====  
even p = true -> exists p0 : nat, S (S p) = 2 * p0  
intros t; destruct (IHb t) as [p' qp']; rewrite qp'.  
exists (S p'); ring.
```