

Coq Summer School, Session 2 :

Basic programming with numbers and lists

Pierre Letouzey, Pierre Castéran
Paris, Beijing

Predefined data structures

- ▶ “Predefined” types are actually declared to Coq at load time ¹:

```
Inductive bool := true | false.
```

```
Inductive nat := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

```
Inductive list A :=  
  | nil : list A  
  | cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```

Nota: `a::b` is a notation for `(cons a b)`.

¹see `Init/Datatypes.v`

Constructors

- ▶ true and false are the *constructors* of type bool
- ▶ 0 and S are the *constructors* of type nat
- ▶ nil and cons are the *constructors* of type list A

```
Check S (S (S 0)).
```

```
3 : nat
```

```
Require Import List.
```

```
Check cons 2 (cons 3 (cons 5 (cons 7 nil))).
```

```
2 :: 3 :: 5 :: 7 :: nil : list nat
```

```
Check plus :: mult :: minus :: nil.
```

```
plus :: mult :: minus :: nil : list (nat -> nat -> nat)
```

Pattern matching

- ▶ Any boolean is either `true` or `false`. Thus, we can analyse an expression and handle all possible cases:

```
Definition negb b :=  
  match b with  
  | true => false  
  | false => true  
end.
```

- ▶ Most common situation: one pattern for each constructor.
- ▶ **Note:** for `bool`, an alternative syntactic sugar is `if b then false else true`.

```
Eval vm_compute in negb true.  
= false : bool
```

```
Eval vm_compute in negb (negb true).  
= true : bool
```

Pattern matching

- Similarly, for natural numbers:

```
Definition pred (n:nat) :=  
  match n with  
  | S p => p  
  | 0 => 0  
end.
```

```
Definition iszero n :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => true  
  | S _ => false  
end.
```

Pattern matching

- Similarly, for natural numbers:

```
Definition pred (n:nat) :=  
  match n with  
  | S p => p  
  | 0 => 0  
end.
```

```
Definition iszero n :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => true  
  | S _ => false  
end.
```

```
eval vm_compute in pred 43.  
= 42 : nat
```

```
Eval vm_compute in is_zero (pred 0).  
= true : bool
```

More complex pattern matching

- We can use deeper patterns, combined matchings, as well as wildcards:

```
Definition istwo n :=  
  match n with  
  | S (S 0) => true  
  | _ => false  
end.
```

```
Definition andb b1 b2 :=  
  match b1, b2 with  
  | true, true => true  
  | _, _ => false  
end.
```


Such complex matchings are not atomic, but rather expanded internally into nested matchings:

Print `andb`.

```
andb =  
fun b1 b2 : bool =>  
  if b1  
  then if b2  
    then true  
    else false  
  else false  
  : bool -> bool -> bool
```

Print istwo.

```
istwo =  
fun x : nat =>  
  match x with  
  | 0 => false  
  | 1 => false  
  | 2 => true  
  | S (S (S _)) => false  
end  
      : nat -> bool
```

Recursion

- When using `Fixpoint` instead of `Definition`, recursive sub-calls are allowed (at least some of them).

```
Fixpoint div2 n :=  
  match n with  
  | S (S n') => S (div2 n')  
  | _       => 0  
end.
```

Recursion

- ▶ When using **Fixpoint** instead of **Definition**, recursive sub-calls are allowed (at least some of them).

```
Fixpoint div2 n :=  
  match n with  
  | S (S n') => S (div2 n')  
  | _ => 0  
end.
```

- ▶ Here, n' represents a *structural sub-term* of the inductive argument n . For instance, if n is bound to 7, *i.e.* the term $S (S (S (S (S (S (S O))))))$, then n' is bound to 5, *i.e.* $S (S (S (S (S O))))$, which is a subterm of the former one. This way, termination of computations is (syntactically) ensured.

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop n := loop (S n).  
(* BAD *)
```

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop n := loop (S n).  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint loop n := loop n.  
(* BAD *)
```

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop n := loop (S n).  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint loop n := loop n.  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint log2 (n : nat) : nat :=  
  match n with 0 => 0  
             | 1 => 0  
             | p => S (log2 (Div2.div2 p))  
end. (* BAD *)
```

Note: There are other ways to define recursive functions like `log2` in coq, but we will need to make interactive proofs.

In general, you may write recursive calls on variables introduced by pattern matchings.

```
Fixpoint foo (n:nat) : nat :=  
  match n with 0 => 0  
              | 1 => 0  
              | S (S p) => 1 + foo (S p)  
end. (* BAD *)
```


In general, you may write recursive calls on variables introduced by pattern matchings.

```
Fixpoint foo (n:nat) : nat :=  
  match n with 0 => 0  
             | 1 => 0  
             | S (S p) => 1 + foo (S p)  
end. (* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint foo (n:nat) : nat :=  
  match n with 0 => 0  
             | 1 => 0  
             | S (S p as q) => 1 + foo q  
end. (* GOOD *)
```

Some other recursive functions over nat

```
Fixpoint plus n m :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => m  
  | S n' => S (plus n' m)  
end.
```

Notation : $n + m$ for `plus n m`

Some other recursive functions over nat

```
Fixpoint plus n m :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => m  
  | S n' => S (plus n' m)  
end.
```

Notation : $n + m$ for plus n m

```
Fixpoint minus n m := match n, m with  
  | S n', S m' => minus n' m'  
  | _, _ => n  
end.
```

Notation : $n - m$ for minus n m

```
Fixpoint mult (n m :nat) : nat :=  
match n with  
| 0 => 0  
| S p => m + mult p m  
end.
```

Notation : $n * m$ for `mult n m`

```
Fixpoint beq_nat n m := match n, m with  
| S n', S m' => beq_nat n' m'  
| 0, 0 => true  
| _, _ => false  
end.
```

Recursion over lists

- ▶ With recursive functions over lists, the main novelty is *polymorphism* :

```
Fixpoint length A (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => 0  
  | _ :: l' => S (length l')  
end.
```

Recursion over lists

- With recursive functions over lists, the main novelty is *polymorphism* :

```
Fixpoint length A (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => 0  
  | _ :: l' => S (length l')  
end.
```

```
Fixpoint app A (l1 l2 : list A) : list A :=  
  match l1 with  
  | nil => l2  
  | a :: l1' => a :: (app l1' l2)  
end.
```

- NB: (app l1 l2) is noted `l1++l2`.

Applying a function to every element of a list

```
Fixpoint map A B (f : A -> B)(l : list A) : list B :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a::l' => f a :: map f l'  
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in map (fun n => n * n) (1::2::3::4::5::nil).  
1::4::9::16::25::nil : list nat
```

Applying a function to every element of a list

```
Fixpoint map A B (f : A -> B)(l : list A) : list B :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a::l' => f a :: map f l'  
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in map (fun n => n * n) (1::2::3::4::5::nil).  
1::4::9::16::25::nil : list nat
```


Reversing a list

First version:

Set Implicit Arguments.

```
Fixpoint naive_reverse (A:Type)(l: list A) : list A :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a::l' => naive_reverse l' ++ (a::nil)  
end.
```

```
Eval compute in naive_reverse (1::2::3::4::5::6::nil).  
= 6 :: 5 :: 4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: nil  
  : list nat
```

Why “naïve_reverse” ?

Problem: a lot of recursive calls to app :

`nil ++ (6::nil)` 1 calls

`(6::nil) ++ (5:: nil)` 2 calls

`(6::5::nil) ++ (4::nil)` 3 calls

...

`(6::5::4::3::2::nil)++(1::nil)` 6 calls

$n(n+1)/2$ recursive calls (n being the list's length) !

A more efficient function

```
Fixpoint rev_app (A:Type)(l l1: list A) : list A :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => l1  
  | a::l' => rev_app l' (a::l1)  
end.
```

Eval compute in rev_app (4::5::6::nil) (3::2::1::nil).
= 6::5::4::3::2::1::nil

Definition rev A (l:list A) := rev_app l nil.

Same approach, with a local recursive function

```
Definition rev' A (l:list A) :=  
  (fix aux (l1 l2: list A) :=  
    (* appends the reverse of l1 to l2 *)  
    match l1 with  
    | nil => l2  
    | a::l'1 => aux l'1 (a::l2)  
  end) l nil.
```

Fold on the right

- The intention:

```
fold_right f init (a::b::...::z::nil)
= (f a (f b (...(f z init))))
```

- The code:

```
Fixpoint fold_right A B (f:B->A->A)(init:A)(l:list B)
  : A :=
  match l with
  | nil => init
  | x :: l' => f x (fold_right f init l')
  end.
```

```
Eval vm_compute in fold_right mult 1 (1::2::3::4::nil).  
= 24 : nat
```

```
Eval vm_compute in  
  map (fold_right mult 1)  
    ((1::2::3)::(4::nil)::nil::(4::2::3::nil)).  
= 6::4::1::24::nil : list nat
```

Yet another example of ill-formed recursive definition

Merging two sorted lists.

```
Fixpoint merge (u v : list nat) : list nat :=  
  match u,v with  
    nil,v => v  
  | u,nil => u  
  | a::u', b::v' => if leb a b  
                     then a::(merge u' v)  
                     else b::(merge u v')  
  end.
```

Yet another example of ill-formed recursive definition

Merging two sorted lists.

```
Fixpoint merge (u v : list nat) : list nat :=  
  match u,v with  
    nil,v => v  
  | u,nil => u  
  | a::u', b::v' => if leb a b  
                     then a::(merge u' v)  
                     else b::(merge u v')  
  end.
```

Error: Cannot guess decreasing argument of fix.

A first solution

```
Fixpoint merge_aux (n:nat) (u v : list nat)
  : list nat :=
match n,u,v with
| 0,_,_ => nil
| S _, nil,v => v
| S _, u,nil => u
| S p, a::u', b::v' =>
  if leb a b then a::(merge_aux p u' v)
                  else b::(merge_aux p u v')
end.
```

A first solution

```
Fixpoint merge_aux (n:nat) (u v : list nat)
  : list nat :=
match n,u,v with
| 0,_,_ => nil
| S _, nil,v => v
| S _, u,nil => u
| S p, a::u', b::v' =>
  if leb a b then a::(merge_aux p u' v)
  else b::(merge_aux p u v')
end.
```

```
Definition merge u v :=
  merge_aux (length u + length v) u v.
```

```
Eval compute in merge (1::3::5::nil) (1::2::2::6::nil).
1::1::2::2::3::5::6::nil : list nat
```

Remarks

- ▶ This solution is not fully satisfactory (because of extra computations).
- ▶ Other solutions exist, relying on interactive proofs. See documentation on **Function**.
- ▶ **Extra exercise:** define a polymorphic version of merge.