

Predicates and Quantifications

Yves Bertot

August 2010

Predicates

- ▶ Functions from a given type to the type of propositions, `Prop`
- ▶ Can be used to build propositional values
- ▶ A few pre-existing ones : comparison between numbers, etc.
- ▶ important pre-existing predicate : equality.

Equality predicate

- ▶ If a and b are elements of the same type, then $a = b$ is a proposition
- ▶ Proof by reflexivity when the two members are *visibly* equal
 - ▶ not always obvious for beginners

Lemma foureq : $4 = 4 \wedge 1+3 = 4$.

Proof.

split.

reflexivity.

reflexivity.

Qed.

Defining predicates using equality

- ▶ Equality can be used to define other predicates
- ▶ If A is a type and f is a function $f : A \rightarrow \text{bool}$
 - ▶ Definition $\text{Pf} (x : A) := f x = \text{true}.$
 - ▶ Pf is a predicate
 - ▶ When reasoning about this predicate, use `unfold Pf`

Using equalities in proofs

- ▶ When $a = b$, replace a with b
- ▶ Tactic `rewrite`

```
Lemma ex_rewrite : (2 + 1) = 3 -> (2 + 1) + 4 = 3 + 4.
intros H.
```

```
...
```

```
  H : 2 + 1 = 3
```

```
=====
```

```
  2+1 + 4 = 3 + 4
```

```
rewrite H.
```

```
...
```

```
  H : 2 + 1 = 3
```

```
=====
```

```
  3 + 4 = 3 + 4
```

Equality and negation

- ▶ Special notation for not $(a=b)$
- ▶ $a \neq b$
- ▶ Can also be used to define predicates
- ▶ Definition `nonzero (x : nat) : Prop := x ≠ 0.`

Universal quantification

- ▶ Expresses that a formula is satisfied for every member of a type
- ▶ Keyword `forall` followed by a variable and its type, then the formula
- ▶ The formula can also be a predicate applied to the variable
 - ▶ `forall x : A, Pf x`
 - ▶ `forall x: nat, x + 3 = 2 + x + 1`
- ▶ Possible to quantify over several variables and to omit types
 - ▶ `forall x y, x + y = y + x + 1`
- ▶ Universal quantification can help writing false formulas!

Higher-order logic

- ▶ Possible to quantify over functions and predicates
- ▶ functions and predicates can also take other functions as arguments

```
▶ forall P : nat -> Prop,  
  (forall x, P (x+1)) ->  
  forall y, y <> 0 -> P y
```


Proving universal quantifications

- ▶ Prove the formula for an arbitrary fixed constant
- ▶ Keep the constant in the goal's context
 - ▶ with its type information
- ▶ Tactic `intros`

Tactic intros at work

```

Lemma ex_forall1 :
  forall P : nat -> Prop, (forall x, P (x + 1)) ->
    forall y, y <> 0 -> P y.
intros P.
...
P : nat -> Prop
=====
(forall x, P (x + 1)) ->
  forall y : nat, y <> 0 -> P y

```

- Combine several intros together

...

`P : nat -> Prop`

=====

`(forall x, P (x + 1)) ->`

`forall y : nat, y <> 0 -> P y`

`intros Hp y ynz.`

...

`P : nat -> Prop`

`Hp : forall x, P (x + 1)`

`y : nat`

`ynz : y <> 0`

=====

`P y`

Using universally quantified hypotheses

- ▶ Most theorems in Coq's database are universally quantified
- ▶ Special case for universally quantified equalities
- ▶ Uniform treatment of universal quantification and implication
- ▶ Main tactic `apply`
- ▶ Guesses values for quantified variables
 - ▶ match the head of the hypothesis with the goal
 - ▶ Help sometimes required : use a `with` directive

Tactic apply at work

```

1 subgoal
...
  H : forall x y:nat, Q x -> R x (y + 1) -> P x y
=====
    P 3 6
apply H.
2 subgoals
...
=====
    Q 3

subgoal 2 is:
  R 3 (6+1)

```

Tactic apply failure and repair : directive with

...

```
H : forall x y z: nat, P x y -> P y z -> P x z
```

```
=====
```

```
P 1 3
```

apply H.

Error: Unable to find an instance for the variable y

apply H with (y := 2).

...

```
=====
```

```
P 1 2
```

subgoal 2 is:

```
P 2 3
```

Using universally quantified hypotheses as functions

- ▶ Case where $H : \text{forall } x \ y, P \ x \ y \rightarrow Q \ x \ y$
- ▶ `apply H with (x := a)` can be replaced by `apply (H a)`
- ▶ Actually `(H a)` has type `forall y, P a y -> Q a y`
- ▶ Case where $H' : \text{forall } x, P \ x \rightarrow \text{forall } y, Q \ x \ y$
and $H1 : P \ a$
- ▶ `apply H' with (1 := H1)` is possible
- ▶ `apply (H' a H1)`
- ▶ `actually H' a H1` has type `forall y, Q a y`

Universally quantified equalities

- ▶ When a theorem's conclusion is an equality, use `rewrite` directly
- ▶ `rewrite` finds an instance, often the right one
- ▶ Use the `with` directive to choose the instance, when needed
- ▶ Use `pattern` to choose an occurrence and instance

Tactic rewrite at work

...

H : forall x, f x = x + 3

=====

f a * f b = a * b + 3 * f a

rewrite H.

=====

(a + 3) * f b = a * b + 3 * (a + 3)

Tactic rewrite at work (2)

...

H : forall x, f x = x + 3

=====

f a * f b = a * b + 3 * f a

rewrite H with (x := b).

=====

f a * (b + 3) = a * b + 3 * f a

Tactic rewrite at work (3)

...

H : forall x, f x = x + 3

=====

f a * f b = a * b + 3 * f a

pattern (f a) at 2; rewrite H.

=====

f a * f b = a * b + 3 * (a + 3)

Existential quantification

- ▶ Expresses that a predicate is satisfied by at least one element of the type
- ▶ Keyword `exists`, then the variable and its type, then a comma and the formula
 - ▶ the type of the variable may sometimes be omitted
- ▶ `exists x, x*x = 25`
- ▶ `exists f:nat->nat, forall x, f x <= x`
- ▶ Definition `even (x : nat) := exists y, x = 2 * y.`

Proving existential quantifications

- ▶ Find a witness, then prove that it satisfies the formula
- ▶ Prove the formula by showing that a value indeed exists with the good property.
- ▶ Tactic exists

```
Lemma ex_exists : exists x, x * x = 25.  
exists 5.
```

```
...
```

```
=====
```

```
5 * 5 = 25
```

```
reflexivity.
```

```
Qed.
```

Use existentially quantified hypotheses

- ▶ Add in the context a variable and the hypothesis that it satisfies the formula
- ▶ Move to a context where there visibly exists a value with the good property
- ▶ Tactic `destruct` as `[x Hx]`

```
H : exists y : nat, x + 1 = 2 * y
```

```
=====
```

```
exists z : nat, x + 2 = 2 * z + 1
```

```
destruct H as [y Hy].
```

```
y : nat
```

```
Hy : x + 1 = 2 * y
```

```
=====
```

```
exists z : nat, x + 2 = 2 * z + 1
```

Automatic proofs

- ▶ `firstorder` : first order formulas, no domain knowledge
- ▶ `ring` : equalities between polynomial formulas, no use of the hypotheses
 - ▶ `execute Require Import Arith.` to have this tactic.
- ▶ `omega` : inequalities between linear formulas on integers, uses the hypotheses
 - ▶ `execute Require Import Omega. or
Require Import ZArith.`