

Dependent types

Yves Bertot

August 2010

- ▶ Dependent types : you saw them already
 - ▶ Universally quantified theorems
 - ▶ polymorphic functions
- ▶ Families of types, functions to families of types
- ▶ Usage in safe programming
 - ▶ More information in types
 - ▶ “certified” values
 - ▶ Controlling termination with types : well-founded recursion

Polymorphic data types and functions

`list : Type -> Type`

- ▶ `list bool` and `list nat` are two different types
- ▶ `nil` is a function, it returns values in different types
- ▶ Implicit arguments hide the extra type
- ▶ Notation `nil : forall A : Type, list A`

Polymorphic data types and functions

`list : Type -> Type`

- ▶ `list bool` and `list nat` are two different types
- ▶ `nil` is a function, it returns values in different types
- ▶ Implicit arguments hide the extra type
- ▶ Notation `nil : forall A : Type, list A`

Search `list`.

`nil: forall A : Type, list A`

`cons: forall A : Type, A -> list A -> list A`

`tail: forall A : Type, list A -> list A`

`app: forall A : Type, list A -> list A -> list A`

`map: forall A B : Type, (A -> B) -> list A -> list B`

`rev: forall A : Type, list A -> list A`

Universally quantified theorems

Propositions are types : **Curry-Howard isomorphism**

- ▶ The elements of propositions-types are the proof
- ▶ `even : nat -> Prop` defines a family of types
- ▶ `even 0` contains an element, `even 1` does not
- ▶ `A -> B` is a type. its elements map proofs of `A` to proofs of `B`
 - ▶ elements of `A -> B` *prove* `A` imply `B` by showing how
- ▶ `forall x:A, P x` is also a function type, called a *product type*

Two levels : types and proofs

Declaring $P : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ means $P\ x$ is a proposition for every $x:\text{nat}$

- ▶ Not that $P\ x$ always holds

Declaring $t : \text{forall } x, P\ x$ means that P always holds

- ▶ t is not a predicate or a type

Example building proofs

```
even0 : even 0
even2 : forall x : nat, even x -> even (S (S x))
even2 0 : even 0 -> even 2
even2 0 even0 : even 2
even2 2 : even 2 -> even 4
```

Example building proofs

```
even0 : even 0
even2 : forall x : nat, even x -> even (S (S x))
even2 0 : even 0 -> even 2
even2 0 even0 : even 2
even2 2 : even 2 -> even 4
even2 2 (even2 0 even0) : even 4
even2 4 : even 4 -> even 6
even2 4 (even2 2 (even2 0 even0)) : even 6
```


Building elements in a product type

A product type `forall x : A, P x` is a function type

- ▶ Construct elements in this type using `fun .. => ..`
- ▶ The argument has to be in type `A` (similar to `A -> B`)
- ▶ The result has to be in `P x`

Example building an element in a product type

Check

```
(fun (x : nat) =>
  (fun (h : even x) => even2 (S (S x)) (even2 x h))).
forall x : nat, even x -> even (S (S (S (S x))))
```

You usually don't need to do this by hand

- ▶ Use Goal-directed proof instead
- ▶ The tactic `intros` always builds a `fun ...=> ...`
- ▶ The tactic `apply` constructs an application

Defining new type families

Use inductive types

- ▶ Inductive predicates are type families
- ▶ Constructors are dependently typed functions
- ▶ Used a lot in Coq : `and`, `or`, `exists`, `equality`, `le`, etc.
 - ▶ Descriptions of programming languages

Use recursive functions

- ▶ Proofs are other functions, not necessarily recursive,
- ▶ For example : `In` predicate on lists
- ▶ Seldom used in practice

Examples defining type families

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=  
  even0 : even 0  
| even2 : forall x : nat, even x -> even (S (S x)).
```

Examples defining type families

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=  
  even0 : even 0  
| even2 : forall x : nat, even x -> even (S (S x)).
```

```
Fixpoint ev' (n:nat) : Prop :=  
match n with  
| 0 => True | 1 => False | S (S p)) => ev' p  
end.
```

```
Definition ev2 : forall x, ev' x -> ev' (S (S x)) :=  
  fun x h => h.
```

Dependent types and pattern-matching

Pattern matching constructs give different values for different inputs

- ▶ Each value can have a different type
- ▶ You have to say explicitly when there is dependency
- ▶ This can be mixed with recursion
- ▶ Good news : the tactics `case`, `case_eq`, and `destruct` do it for you

Example dependent pattern-matching

Print eq.

```
Inductive eq (A : Type) (x : A) : A -> Prop :=  
  refl_equal : x = x
```

Print eq_rect.

```
eq_rect =  
fun A (x : A) (P : A -> Type)  
  (f : P x) (y : A) (e : x = y) =>  
  (match e in (_ = y0) return (P y0) with  
  | refl_equal => f  
end : P y)
```

Goal directed proof for dependent pattern-matching

```

Lemma eq_rect :
  forall (A:Type) (x:A) (P : A -> Type),
    P x -> x = y -> P y.
intros A x P hp heq.
  hp : P x
  heq : x = y
  =====
  P y
case heq.
...
=====
P x
exact hp.
Qed.

```


Example recursive dependent function

```
Lemma th : forall p, (S (S (2 * p))) = (2 * S p).
```

```
Proof. intros; ring. Qed.
```

```
Fixpoint double_even (n:nat) : even (2 * n) :=
```

```
  match n as x return even (2 * x) with
```

```
    0 => even0
```

```
  | S p => @eq_rect nat (S (S (2 * p)))
```

```
    (fun x => even x)
```

```
    (even2 (2 * p) (double_even p))
```

```
    (2 * (S p)) (th p)
```

```
end.
```

Goal directed proof for recursive dependent function

```
Lemma double_even : forall n, even (2 * n).
```

```
Proof.
```

```
induction n.
```

```
=====
```

```
  even 0
```

```
exact even0.
```

```
  IHn : even (2 * n)
```

```
=====
```

```
  even (2 * (S n))
```

```
rewrite <- th; apply even2; exact IHn.
```

```
Qed.
```

Dependent types for safe programming

Use dependent types to assume properties

- ▶ A function $f: \text{forall } x, P\ x \rightarrow R$ assumes x satisfies P

Use dependent types to guarantee properties

- ▶ A type $\{x : A \mid Q\ x\}$ describes the values that satisfy Q
- ▶ A function $g: \text{forall } x: A, P\ x \rightarrow \{y : B \mid R\ x\ y\}$ guarantees some relation between input and output
- ▶ A function of type $(\text{forall } y : A, P\ y \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C$ guarantees that it calls its argument only on values that satisfies P
- ▶ All guarantees verified using types, at compile time !

Dependent types for termination

A notion of **well-founded** relation

- ▶ No infinite decreasing chains
- ▶ $x_0 \dots x_n \dots$ with $R \ x_{i+1} \ x_i$ stops eventually

```
Fix : forall A R (th : well_founded R) (P : A -> Type)
  (forall x, (forall y, R y x -> P y) -> P x) ->
  forall x, P x
```

- ▶ Blue part is the function used for recursive calls
- ▶ The programmer has to guarantee that recursive calls are only on smaller arguments

Conclusion on well-founded recursion

The function `Fix` is still difficult to use directly

- ▶ Better when used in proofs, but still obfuscated

Other tools support general recursion

- ▶ Program Fixpoint
- ▶ Function

General conclusion

- ▶ Dependent types are everywhere in Coq
- ▶ Describe strong disciplines of programming
- ▶ Most verifications done at compile time
- ▶ Extraction mechanisms remove verifications