# CSE 2046 – Analysis of Algorithms PROJECT #2

Course Instructor: Asisstant Prof. Ömer Korçak

Course T.A.: Muhammed Nur Avcil

150117828 - Cem Güleç

#### 1.) Introduction:

In this project, I am aiming to compare some specific algorithms which are targeting to find median of a given array. After giving a brief introduction to those algorithms, I will be plotting those results, comparing every algorithm with each other, and will be commenting on the result that I obtained. Below are the algorithms that are used:

- 1. Insertion Sort
- 2. Merge Sort
- 3. Max-heap removal
- 4. Quick select using first element as pivot
- 5. Quick select using median-of-three pivot selection.

## Comparing those algoritms according to their complexities:

Case / Algorithm	Insertion Sort	Merge Sort	Max Heap	Quick select 1	Quick Select 2
Best Case	$\Theta(n^2)$	$\Theta(nlog(n))$	$\Theta(log(n))$	$\Theta(n)$	
Average Case	$\Theta(n^2)$	$\Theta(nlog(n))$	$\Theta(log(n))$	$\Theta(n)$	
Worst Case	$\Theta(n)$	$\Theta(nlog(n))$	$\Theta(log(n))$	$\Theta(n^2)$	$\Theta(n^2)$

#### 2.) Code Implementation:

#### 2.1) Insertion Sort:

```
# go until the last element of the array
global counter_is
for i in range(1, len(tmp_list)):
    j = i
    # shifting each element one place to the right until
    # a suitable position is found for the new element
    while j > 0 and tmp_list[j-1] > tmp_list[j]:
        tmp_list[j], tmp_list[j-1] = tmp_list[j-1], tmp_list[j]
        counter_is += 1
        j -= 1
    i += 1
```

Implementation of insertion sort consisting of taking a temporary list which is a copy of the original list and implementing sorting on it. Here, I also used "counter\_is" which will be counting the number of occurrences of the basic operation.

#### 2.2) Merge Sort:

```
# simply do not need to execute rest of the lines
if len(array) <= 1:
    return array

mid = int(len(array)/2)  # mid-point in the array
left = merge_sort(array[:mid])  # left-half of the array
right = merge_sort(array[mid:])  # right-half of the array
# returning the array which is created
# after merging left and right half
return merge(left, right)</pre>
```

Implementation of merge sort consists of taking a list, which is to be sorted. In the main function, I declare our mid, left and right arrays. Then, I am calling another function which is called "merge" to implement sorting on these parts.

```
global counter_mrg
merged = []
left_pos, right_pos = 0, 0

# while there exist elements in both left and right half of the array
while left_pos < len(left) and right_pos < len(right):

# check which index in the array is lower
# here, if element in the left array is smaller
if left[left_pos] < right[right_pos]:

# append it the array to be returned
merged.append(left[left_pos])
left_pos += 1

# if above is not the case append right
else:
merged.append(right[right_pos])
right_pos += 1

counter_mrg += 1

# if the case is that, there are either elements in the left or right
# simply extend the elements in left and right position all the way to the end
merged.extend(left[left_pos:])
merged.extend(right[right_pos:])

# return resulting array
return merged</pre>
```

Additionally, "counter\_mrg" is used in order to count number of occurrences of the basic operation in this algorithm.

#### 2.3) Max heap removal:

In this algorithm, I firstly created a class which is called "max\_heap". Implementation is based on array representation of max heap. Dependent on the class there are several functions which are used occasionally to implement sorting inside the max heap, which are named as "heapify\_up" and "heapify\_down". These functions used in order to fit an element into the correct place in the heap.

On the other hand, 2 more functions named as "pop" and "peek" used here.

Pop function: Allows me to pop an element from the heap, then sort rest of the elements in order to find a correct position to themselves, while not violating max-heap rules.

Peek function: Allows me to see the root of the heap.

```
# constructor

def __init__(self, items = []):
    super()._init__()
    # creating a list called heap
    self.heap = [0]
    # inserting elements in the list passed as argument
    for i in items:
        # append the item to the heap
        self.heap.append(i)
        # as we append them we need no heapify them up in their correct positions
        self.heapify_up(len(self.heap)-1)
```

In the constructor, items that are taken as an input are appended to the heap one by one. Also, used "heapify\_up" function to put them into the correct place.

```
# when we add an element at the end of the heap or list at this representation
# we need to heapify up it to its correct position

def heapify_up(self, index):
    # parent index
parent = index // 2
# in case it is already at the top
# simply return without heapifying it up anything
if index <= 1:
    return

# if end of the value index is greater than its parent
elif self.heap[index] > self.heap[parent]:
    self.swap(index, parent) # swap it with its parent
    self.heapify_up(parent) # then implement heapify it up to parent node

# when a node is inserted at the top of the heap
# heapify_down(self, index):

global counter_heap

left = index * 2 # index of left
right = index * 2 + 1 # index of right
largest = index # index of the largest value

# compare our index value to the left child
# to determine which one is larger
if len(self.heap) > left and self.heap[largest] < self.heap[left]:
    largest = left

# compare our index value to the right child
if len(self.heap) > right and self.heap[largest] < self.heap[right]:
    largest = left

# if we could not find largest in the position we are searching
if largest = right

# if we could not find largest in the position we are searching
if largest = index:
    self.swap(index, largest) # swap places
    self.heapify_down(largest) # and recursively call heapify down on the largest value
counter_heap += 1</pre>
```

As in the image left, functions are defined which takes index as an input. Then, in these functions, this specific index is checked whether it is violating the rules or not.

If it violates anything, it needs to be put in the correct position.

Additionally, "counter\_heap" is used in order to count the number of occurrences of the basic operation in this algorithm.

#### 2.4) Quick select algorithm:

In this algorithm, pivot is chosen to be the first element of the array given.

After having the pivot known, I splitted it into 2 arrays depending on whether an element is less than or greater than the pivot value.

After splitting is done, then depending on the case that are declared as in the image, recurrently calling our quick select function to find the correct value to be returned.

Additionally, here "counter\_qui\_ver1" is used in order to count the number of occurrences of the basic operation in this algorithm.

2.5) Quick select algorithm (using median-of-three pivot selection):

#### quick\_selected\_arr\_ver2 = quick\_select\_ver2(arr, 0, len(arr)-1, math.ceil(len(arr) / 2)-1)

In this algorithm, first, I have declared the mid, left, and right indexes which will be used here as the pivots. Then, differently from algorithm which is used in (2.4), implemented partition according to those 3 values given.

Additionally here, "counter\_qui\_ver2" is used in order to count the number of occurrences of the basic operation in the algorithm.

#### 3) Input Selection:

In phase of choosing which input types to be used, I seeked on some specific features on it. First of all, I implemented different type of scenarios in the inputs, which are as listed in the below:

- 3.1) Input type where all of the number are same.
- 3.2) Input type where the list is consisting of fully random numbers, between some specific value. (which I will specify in below section.)
  - 3.3) Input type where the list of numbers are sorted.
  - 3.4) Input type where the list of numbers in reverse sorted way.
  - 3.5) Input type where first 10% portion of the input list is repeated over and over again.
- 3.6) Input type where from beginning 20% of the list is sorted but rest of the list is consisting of unsorted numbers.

Reason for picking those particular scenarios is that, to see how the algorithm will react on different occasions. In a particular scenario, some of the algorithm might end up having their worst cases, some of them are not.

On the other hand, I created different scenarios where the input sizes different, which are also listed in the below:

For the input sizes: 100, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 5000, 10000, 50000 is used combining them with different input scenario type.

#### 4) Implementation of the inputs:

There are 6 formats to be implement with 9 different input size, which makes a total of 54 inputs.

Example input format: "100\_sorted\_5k" means that the input size is 100, it is a sorted list and the value ranges are between 1000 – 5000.

Other than this example, "same" used for (3.1), "random" used for (3.2), "sorted" used for (3.3), "Rsorted" used for (3.4), "repeat" used for (3.5), "lportion" used for (3.6)

5) Technical details about the computer (which is used as test environment):

For writing the problem itself, I have used Python as a language. Additional to that, an extra problem handles the input array generation, which is also written in Python.

My computer which is used as the test environment has Intel 7200U 2.5 GHz, running in Windows operating system. Since I have worked alone in this project only this computer is used to test algorithms and get the corresponding results.

## 6) Results

## **Insertion Sort**

Size /	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6
Input						
100	0.0000198	0.0002343	0.0000107	0.0001232	0.0002389	0.0002504
500	0.0000839	0.0040529	0.0000504	0.0008725	0.0042006	0.0053015
1000	0.0000871	0.0173663	0.0001076	0.0028417	0.0172836	0.0209538
2000	0.0002410	0.0663209	0.0001869	0.0144340	0.0752209	0.0827621
5000	0.0004901	0.4669822	0.0004820	0.0724326	0.4740214	0.5265168
10000	0.0009163	1.8085526	0.0010286	0.2783200	1.9172699	2.1278930
50000	0.0045832	5.2045566	0.0047236	11.1601156	49.3508224	63.2240390

Complexity comparison: 3.1 > 3.3 > 3.2 > 3.4 > 3.5 > 3.6

## Merge Sort

Size /	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6
Input						
100	0.0004301	0.0003333	0.0002387	0.0002345	0.0005595	0.0004464
500	0.0013002	0.0017910	0.0012542	0.0012911	0.0015854	0.0019273
1000	0.0028398	0.0039606	0.0025932	0.0026667	0.0034520	0.0074305
2000	0.0059219	0.0090495	0.0068848	0.0073441	0.0080277	0.0092157
5000	0.0163011	0.0249020	0.0168525	0.0162953	0.0201169	0.0240648
10000	0.0336373	0.0560159	0.0364436	0.0336587	0.0441034	0.0494537
50000	0.1884555	0.1260609	0.2010002	0.1849502	0.2492394	0.3051851

Complexity comparison: 3.2 > 3.4 > 3.1 > 3.3 > 3.5 > 3.6

## Max Heap Removal

Size /	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6
Input						
100	0.0001268	0.0002926	0.0002672	0.0003179	0.0002837	0.0002857
500	0.0003330	0.0020892	0.0018761	0.0019562	0.0019754	0.0022855
1000	0.0007327	0.0052388	0.0045898	0.0042853	0.0043716	0.0079787
2000	0.0014597	0.0111236	0.0096660	0.0111403	0.0121436	0.0102550
5000	0.0040421	0.0312227	0.0273684	0.0289549	0.0278978	0.0280445
10000	0.0075910	0.0610727	0.0599115	0.0632248	0.0593542	0.0613781
50000	0.0395567	0.1311557	0.3514281	0.3631937	0.3885011	0.3838904

Complexity comparison: 3.1 > 3.2 > 3.3 > 3.4 > 3.6 > 3.5

# Quick select using first element as pivot

Size /	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6
Input						
100	0.0000267	0.0000789	0.0007824	0.0007143	0.0000960	0.0003990
500	0.0001093	0.0003357	0.0194777	0.0161823	0.0018956	0.0086527
1000	0.0001513	0.0005535	0.0714470	0.0675652	0.0072840	0.0455118
2000	0.0002987	0.0012347	0.2666317	0.2491232	0.0255870	0.1226245
5000	0.0007065	0.0036844	1.1485418	1.0407835	0.1236154	0.5166389
10000	0.0014549	0.0071542	3.1153901	2.5818274	0.2991289	1.3216586
50000	0.0072842	0.0171002	18.1145067	15.4541213	1.7367442	8.1465071

Complexity comparison: 3.1 > 3.2 > 3.5 > 3.6 > 3.4 > 3.3

## Quick select using median-of-three pivot selection

Size /	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6
Input						
100	0.0014713	0.0001178	0.0007267	0.0003792	0.0001269	0.0001414
500	0.0182377	0.0005945	0.0212925	0.0034035	0.0021336	0.0009201
1000	0.0761306	0.0007540	0.0817669	0.0102169	0.0083510	0.0017476
2000	0.3456877	0.0027057	0.3075901	0.0324619	0.0483625	0.0034182
5000	1.9401605	0.0066443	1.9497969	0.1013902	0.2894433	0.0077343
10000	7.7364655	0.0219370	8.6385514	0.2556395	0.8254595	0.0240011
50000	40.487596	0.0819370	61.0240111	5.4287402	28.4044447	0.3230944

Complexity comparison: 3.2 > 3.6 > 3.4 > 3.5 > 3.1 > 3.3