

Using
Large Language Models
in

Auditing

with a

Risk Perspective.

Author: Dr. Cem Onus
Vice President, DEKRA Audit

Preface

Auditing is at a pivotal moment in its history. The profession faces rapidly increasing volumes of complex data, heightened regulatory scrutiny, and an expectation for deeper, more timely insights. Simultaneously, advances in artificial intelligence—particularly Large Language Models (LLMs) and generative AI—are reshaping how information is analyzed, summarized, and communicated. These technologies promise unprecedented opportunities for efficiency, risk detection, and value creation, but they also introduce novel risks, ethical challenges, and governance demands.

This textbook, Using Large Language Models in Auditing with a Risk Perspective, is designed for graduate-level students, audit professionals, risk managers, and organizational leaders. Its purpose is threefold:

- 1. Foundational Knowledge: To explain the mechanics of LLMs and their capabilities in a manner accessible to auditing professionals.
- 2. Practical Integration: To provide step-by-step guidance on embedding LLMs into audit workflows for planning, fieldwork, and reporting.
- 3. Risk and Governance Framework: To critically evaluate the risks—operational, regulatory, ethical—associated with AI-assisted auditing and to equip readers with control strategies and governance tools.

This is not a mere introduction to technology. Rather, it is a practitioner-oriented, risk-focused guide to transforming audit practice responsibly. Real-world scenarios, sample cases, and forward-looking insights are included to help you translate concepts into action. Whether you are designing an AI-augmented audit methodology, evaluating compliance implications, or leading a risk management function, this book will prepare you for the future of auditing.

Part I – Foundations of LLMs and Generative AI

Chapter 1: Introduction to Large Language Models and Their Role in Auditing

1.1 Overview and Purpose

Auditing has always relied on structured evaluation, professional skepticism, and effective communication. Large Language Models (LLMs)—like ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini—extend these abilities by rapidly synthesizing information, drafting documentation, and even identifying risks. This chapter introduces the fundamentals of LLMs, their potential in auditing, and the guiding principles for their responsible use

Course Outline Using Large Lang...

1.2 What Are Large Language Models?

Definition: Large Language Models are advanced machine learning systems trained on vast datasets of text to understand and generate human-like language. They are built on **transformer architectures**, using billions of parameters to predict text sequences.

Core Features:

- **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** Ability to understand and process human language.
- Generative Capabilities: Produce coherent text, summaries, or recommendations.
- Context Awareness: Maintain conversational context to support complex tasks.
- Adaptability: Can be fine-tuned for specific domains like auditing or compliance.

1.3 Basics of Generative AI for Auditors

Generative AI refers to systems that create new content—text, images, or code—rather than merely classifying or retrieving data. For auditors, generative AI offers:

- Automated Document Drafting: Audit plans, checklists, and reports.
- Real-Time Research: Summarizing regulatory standards or corporate policies.
- **Evidence Synthesis:** Converting raw notes or interview transcripts into structured findings.

1.4 Mapping LLM Capabilities to the Audit Cycle

Using ISO 19011:2018 Clause 6 as the backbone, LLMs can be mapped to each audit phase:

Audit Stage	LLM Contribution
Initiating the Audit (6.2)	Drafting audit scope/objectives, identifying relevant standards, brainstorming risks.
Preparing Activities (6.3)	Generating document request lists, creating interview guides, and summarizing key requirements.
Conducting Activities (6.4)	Assisting with evidence review, drafting findings, and suggesting probing questions.
Reporting (6.5–6.7)	Structuring nonconformity statements, drafting corrective action communications, and creating follow-up plans.

1.5 Why Auditors Should Care

- Efficiency Gains: LLMs can reduce time spent on repetitive tasks, allowing auditors to focus on judgment-based activities.
- Enhanced Risk Awareness: By suggesting overlooked risks, LLMs support ISO/IEC 42001-style impact assessments

Course Outline Using Large Lang...

.

• **Improved Communication:** Clearer, more consistent reporting through AI-assisted drafting.

1.6 Emerging Risks of Using LLMs in Auditing

- Bias: Outputs may reflect biases present in training data.
- Confidentiality: Sensitive data input to external LLMs could create privacy risks.
- Reliability: AI-generated content may include factual errors ("hallucinations").
- Accountability: Auditors remain responsible for all decisions, even when AI assists.

Key Takeaways

- LLMs are transformer-based AI systems capable of understanding and generating language.
- Their strengths—summarization, drafting, risk brainstorming—map directly to ISO 19011 audit phases.
- Benefits include efficiency, enhanced risk insights, and improved communication.
- Risks—bias, confidentiality, reliability—must be managed carefully.

Review Questions

- 1. Define a Large Language Model and list two of its key features.
- 2. How can LLMs be applied during the "Initiating the Audit" phase under ISO 19011 Clause 6.2?
- 3. Identify three risks associated with using LLMs in auditing.
- 4. Explain why accountability remains with the auditor when using AI tools.
- 5. Provide one example of how generative AI could improve audit reporting.

Discussion Prompts / Exercises

- Exercise: Draft a prompt you might use with an LLM to generate an audit scope for an ISO 9001 procurement process audit. Discuss how you would verify the AI's output.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether LLMs should ever be used to draft final audit opinions. What controls would you implement?
- Case Reflection: Consider a scenario where an AI-generated finding is factually incorrect. How should the audit team respond to maintain professional integrity?

Chapter 2: Fundamentals of AI Risk Management for Auditing

2.1 Introduction

While LLMs can accelerate and enhance audit work, they introduce **new categories of risk** that auditors must understand. ISO/IEC 42001:2023 establishes principles for **AI risk assessment and treatment**, and these concepts align naturally with the auditor's risk mindset. This chapter provides a structured approach for integrating AI risk management into the audit cycle.

2.2 AI Risk in the Auditing Context

AI risks in auditing may manifest as:

- Accuracy Risk: Hallucinated outputs or incomplete information leading to incorrect findings.
- Bias Risk: Systemic biases embedded in AI training data influencing conclusions.
- Confidentiality Risk: Leakage of sensitive information through prompts or outputs.
- Reliability Risk: Model behavior can change due to updates or external factors.
- Accountability Risk: Ambiguity about responsibility for AI-supported conclusions.

2.3 Overview of ISO/IEC 42001 Risk Principles

Key Clauses Relevant to Auditors:

- Clause 6.1.2 Identifying AI Risks: Auditors should assess how AI systems might impact evidence evaluation and stakeholder trust.
- Clause 6.1.3 Risk Treatment: Strategies include validation, human oversight, and controlled prompt libraries.
- Clause 6.1.4 Impact Assessment: Similar to audit impact analysis under ISO 19011, this step evaluates potential harm to stakeholders.
- Clause 8 Operational Controls: Establishing procedures for monitoring, incident response, and continual improvement

2.4 The AI Risk Management Cycle for Auditors

Step	Auditor Actions
Identify	List scenarios where LLM outputs could mislead or expose data.
Analyze	Evaluate likelihood and impact (e.g., using a 5×5 risk matrix).
Treat	Implement controls—e.g., human review, secure deployment, restricted prompts.
Monitor	Periodically review AI performance and incidents during audits.

Communicate Share risk insights with stakeholders and integrate into audit reports.

2.5 Practical Strategies for Risk-Aware LLM Use

- **Prompt Testing:** Before using an LLM in an audit, test prompts on non-sensitive data to observe behavior.
- **Segregated Environments:** Use enterprise versions or on-premises deployments to minimize confidentiality risks.
- Cross-Verification: Validate AI-generated content against primary evidence or standards.
- **Bias Checks:** Compare outputs from different prompts or models to detect inconsistencies.
- Audit Trails: Maintain logs of AI interactions for transparency and post-audit reviews.

2.6 Linking AI Risk to Traditional Audit Risk

Traditional audit risk components—inherent, control, and detection risk—can be mapped to AI usage:

- **Inherent Risk:** The nature of AI may introduce errors (e.g., hallucinations) even before controls.
- Control Risk: Weak oversight of AI prompts or outputs increases residual risk.
- **Detection Risk:** Overreliance on AI could lead to missed anomalies if outputs are not critically evaluated.

2.7 Real-World Example

Consider an internal ISO 9001 audit of supplier quality. An auditor uses an LLM to draft nonconformity statements. The model suggests a serious violation based on ambiguous input. Without validation, this could escalate unnecessarily. Applying ISO/IEC 42001's **impact** assessment would prompt the auditor to confirm evidence before issuing a finding—avoiding reputational and operational harm.

Key Takeaways

- AI risks include accuracy, bias, confidentiality, reliability, and accountability.
- ISO/IEC 42001 provides a framework auditors can adapt for AI risk assessment.
- The AI risk management cycle parallels traditional audit risk evaluation.
- Practical controls—prompt testing, cross-verification, and audit trails—are essential for responsible use.

Review Questions

- 1. List three categories of AI-related risk that auditors must consider.
- 2. How does ISO/IEC 42001 Clause 6.1.4 align with ISO 19011's impact analysis?
- 3. Describe one practical control for mitigating confidentiality risk when using an LLM.
- 4. Explain how AI risk relates to the traditional audit risk model.
- 5. What role does communication play in AI risk management within an audit?

- Exercise: Create a risk matrix for using LLMs in drafting supplier audit checklists. Include likelihood, impact, and treatment strategies.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether AI bias should be considered an inherent risk or a control risk. Support your reasoning with examples.
- Case Simulation: Design a procedure for monitoring and documenting AI-related incidents during an audit. Present it to a peer group for critique.

Part II – Integrating LLMs into Audit Workflows

Chapter 3: Using LLMs in Audit Planning and Preparation

3.1 Introduction

Audit planning is a critical determinant of audit quality. Effective planning ensures that audit objectives are aligned with risk priorities and that resources are used efficiently. LLMs can support auditors in **scoping**, **risk brainstorming**, **document requests**, and **checklist creation**—but auditors remain responsible for all outputs.

3.2 Initiating the Audit (ISO 19011:2018, 6.2)

LLM Applications:

- **Drafting Audit Scope and Objectives:** By inputting the organization's context (e.g., ISO 9001 scope), an LLM can generate preliminary scopes and objectives.
- **Stakeholder Mapping:** LLMs can suggest relevant process owners, departments, or suppliers for inclusion.
- **Risk Brainstorming:** Prompt an LLM to list potential risks for a given process or standard clause.

Example Prompt:

"Draft an audit scope and objectives for a supplier quality audit under ISO 9001, considering potential risks in procurement and delivery performance."

3.3 Preparing Audit Activities (ISO 19011:2018, 6.3)

LLMs can assist auditors in:

- **Document Review:** Summarizing standards, procedures, and past audit reports.
- Request Lists: Generating comprehensive lists of documents, records, and evidence.
- Audit Plans: Proposing sequences of activities, timing, and resource allocations.
- Checklists: Creating tailored audit checklists aligned to specific processes or risks.

Planning Element	LLM Contribution	Auditor Oversight
Audit Scope	Drafting scope statements from input descriptions	Verify relevance and completeness
Risk Identification	Brainstorming high-risk areas	Cross-check with organizational risk registers
Document Requests	Generating request lists for records, SOPs, or KPIs	Validate against actual processes
Checklist Creation	Converting standards clauses into practical questions	Ensure alignment with audit objectives

3.4 Integrating Risk Awareness (ISO/IEC 42001 Concepts)

When preparing with LLMs, auditors should:

- **Assess AI Impact:** Evaluate if the LLM could inadvertently misinterpret confidential information.
- **Prioritize High-Impact Risks:** Use LLMs to compare potential risks with ISO/IEC 42001 Clause 6.1 guidance.
- Cross-Verify Results: Always reconcile AI-generated risks with management's risk assessments.

3.5 Real-World Example

A team auditing a global manufacturer uses an LLM to generate a procurement audit checklist. The LLM suggests including supplier cybersecurity controls—an area the auditors had not initially considered. After verification, this becomes a key risk area, demonstrating LLMs' value for **broadening perspective**.

3.6 Best Practices for Planning with LLMs

- Use Structured Prompts: Include process names, standards, and risk categories in prompts.
- Keep Outputs Draft-Only: Treat all AI-generated material as starting points.
- Protect Sensitive Data: Avoid inputting confidential identifiers into public models.
- Collaborate with Peers: Review AI outputs with the audit team for quality assurance.

Key Takeaways

- LLMs can streamline audit scoping, risk brainstorming, and checklist creation.
- ISO 19011's planning steps align naturally with LLM-assisted workflows.
- Risk awareness per ISO/IEC 42001 is essential when preparing with AI tools.
- AI outputs require auditor validation to ensure accuracy and confidentiality.

Review Questions

- 1. How can LLMs assist in drafting an audit scope under ISO 19011 Clause 6.2?
- 2. What are two benefits and two risks of using LLMs for creating audit checklists?
- 3. Why must LLM-generated risk lists be cross-verified against an organization's risk register?
- 4. Provide an example of a prompt you would use to generate a document request list.
- 5. What controls should you apply when using LLMs to handle sensitive planning data?

- Exercise: Using a non-confidential scenario, input a process description into an LLM to generate an audit plan. Compare the output with ISO 19011 Clause 6.3 requirements and refine as needed.
- **Prompt:** Discuss the potential consequences if auditors adopt LLM outputs without independent validation.
- **Simulation:** Form small groups, assign a process (e.g., procurement, design control), and develop an LLM-assisted audit checklist. Present findings and improvements.

Chapter 4: Conducting Audit Activities with LLM Assistance

4.1 Introduction

Conducting audit activities is the most visible and resource-intensive phase of the audit cycle. LLMs can enhance efficiency during interviews, document examination, and real-time analysis—but auditors must apply professional skepticism, verify outputs, and safeguard confidentiality.

4.2 Preparing to Conduct Fieldwork

Before on-site or virtual activities:

- **Refine Checklists:** Use LLMs to convert planning documents into dynamic interview guides.
- Brief the Team: Summarize critical risk areas and prior findings for team alignment.
- **Simulate Q&A:** Practice probing questions with an LLM to anticipate stakeholder responses.

4.3 Interviewing and Evidence Gathering

Activity	LLM Contribution	Auditor Oversight
Drafting Questions	Generate open-ended and clause-specific questions.	Validate for relevance and neutrality.
Summarizing Interviews	Convert rough notes into structured summaries.	Confirm accuracy with original notes.
Translating Jargon	Clarify technical terms or standards language for better understanding.	Ensure no loss of nuance or misinterpret.
Real-Time Brainstorming	Suggest follow-up areas based on interview responses.	Use as hints, not definitive findings.

Example Prompt:

"Summarize these interview notes into key findings and potential risks relevant to ISO 9001 clause 8.4 (Control of externally provided processes)."

4.4 Reviewing Documents and Records

LLMs can:

- Summarize large policies or procedures quickly.
- Highlight sections related to specific ISO clauses or risk categories.
- Suggest connections between evidence items and potential nonconformities.

Caution: Always compare AI-generated highlights against the source documents. Overreliance on summaries may cause important details to be missed.

4.5 Analyzing Evidence in Real Time

During site visits or remote audits:

- **Risk Spotting:** Ask the LLM to brainstorm additional risks based on observed practices.
- Cross-Referencing: Link evidence to multiple standards or risk controls.
- **Gap Analysis:** Identify potential weaknesses compared to best practices or ISO requirements.

4.6 Managing Audit Communication

ISO 19011 emphasizes effective communication, including **opening meetings** (6.4.3) and **closing meetings** (6.4.10)

Course Outline Using Large Lang...

. LLMs can:

- Draft concise talking points for opening and closing sessions.
- Generate visual aids or summaries for stakeholder presentations.
- Suggest phrasing for sensitive feedback (while auditors control tone and content).

4.7 Risk Awareness During Fieldwork

Auditors must remain alert to AI-specific risks:

- **Bias:** AI-suggested questions may emphasize some risks over others.
- Confidentiality: Do not input sensitive records into external models.
- **Reliability:** Validate AI findings before including them in official records.

4.8 Real-World Scenario

An auditor uses an enterprise LLM to review supplier performance dashboards. The AI highlights a pattern suggesting inconsistent on-time delivery in one region. Upon verification with raw data, the auditor confirms a legitimate issue—showing how AI can **enhance detection** but never replace evidence-based judgment.

Key Takeaways

- LLMs can accelerate interviews, document review, and risk brainstorming.
- Outputs must be validated—auditors retain responsibility for accuracy and fairness.
- ISO 19011 communication requirements (opening/closing meetings) can be supported by AI-generated drafts.
- Risk controls (bias checks, confidentiality safeguards) are essential during fieldwork.

Review Questions

- 1. List two ways LLMs can assist during audit interviews and how auditors should validate their outputs.
- 2. What is a potential risk of using LLMs for summarizing evidence?
- 3. How can AI-generated suggestions improve real-time risk spotting?
- 4. Describe how LLMs can support ISO 19011 communication requirements in conducting activities.
- 5. Why is auditor oversight critical even when AI provides accurate suggestions?

- **Simulation:** Role-play an audit interview. Use an LLM to generate follow-up questions mid-session. Debrief on benefits and limitations.
- **Exercise:** Provide a sample procedure to an LLM for summarization. Compare the summary to the original—what was omitted or misinterpreted?
- **Prompt:** Discuss the ethical implications of using AI to draft sensitive findings during a closing meeting. How would you ensure fairness?

Chapter 5: Audit Reporting and Follow-Up with LLM Support

5.1 Introduction

The reporting and follow-up stages are where audit insights translate into organizational improvement. LLMs can streamline drafting, structure corrective action communications, and support monitoring. However, the auditor's professional judgment remains paramount.

5.2 Drafting Audit Reports (ISO 19011:2018, 6.5)

LLM Contributions:

- Executive Summaries: Generate concise overviews tailored to senior management.
- **Findings Organization:** Sort nonconformities, observations, and opportunities for improvement by ISO clause or risk category.
- Tone Adjustment: Suggest wording for sensitive issues to maintain objectivity.

Report Section	Potential AI Support	Auditor Oversight
Executive Summary	Draft high-level highlights	Verify alignment with evidence
Detailed Findings	Organize and format nonconformity statements	Validate facts and context
Recommendations	Suggest improvement opportunities based on risk patterns	Ensure feasibility and neutrality
Appendices	Format tables, checklists, or evidence logs	Confirm completeness

5.3 Writing Nonconformity Statements

LLMs can:

- Convert raw evidence into ISO 9001-compliant nonconformity wording.
- Suggest links to associated risks or controls.
- Propose corrective action frameworks (e.g., 5 Whys or fishbone diagrams).

Example Prompt:

"Draft a nonconformity statement for a failure to control externally provided processes (ISO 9001:2015, clause 8.4) based on these audit notes."

5.4 Communicating Corrective Actions (ISO 19011:2018, 6.6)

When drafting corrective action requests or communications:

- Use LLMs to create templates tailored to process owners.
- Include **risk treatment** insights per ISO/IEC 42001 Clause 6.1.3.
- Reinforce accountability—ensure the request specifies timelines, responsibilities, and verification methods.

5.5 Follow-Up and Closure (ISO 19011:2018, 6.7)

LLMs can help track and evaluate corrective action progress by:

- Summarizing follow-up evidence submissions.
- Suggesting next steps if corrective actions are inadequate.
- Generating reminders or checklists for re-audits.

Caution: Always verify evidence manually. AI tools may overlook context or nuances.

5.6 Integrating Risk Awareness in Reporting

- **Impact Assessments:** Use AI to draft an impact analysis for significant findings (ISO/IEC 42001, Clause 6.1.4).
- Linking Findings to Risk Controls: Reinforce how recommendations mitigate identified risks.
- **Balanced Tone:** Avoid alarmism—AI may exaggerate severity unless guided.

5.7 Real-World Example

A multinational company's internal audit team uses an LLM to draft its quarterly compliance report. The AI organizes findings by severity and links each to risk categories (e.g., operational, reputational). Auditors refine the language and validate evidence, reducing report preparation time by 40% without sacrificing accuracy.

Key Takeaways

- LLMs can accelerate drafting and formatting of audit reports and communications.
- Auditors must verify every AI-generated finding, recommendation, and risk linkage.
- Reporting and follow-up are opportunities to integrate AI-driven risk awareness responsibly.
- Balanced tone and evidence validation remain critical to maintaining credibility.

Review Questions

- 1. How can LLMs assist in organizing audit findings by risk category?
- 2. Provide two reasons why AI-generated executive summaries require auditor verification.
- 3. What ISO clauses govern follow-up and closure activities, and how can LLMs support them?
- 4. Explain how AI tools can link audit findings to risk treatment strategies.
- 5. Describe a potential pitfall of relying too heavily on AI during corrective action tracking.

- Exercise: Provide sample audit notes to an LLM and ask it to draft a nonconformity statement. Evaluate its accuracy and tone compared to ISO requirements.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether AI should be used to suggest corrective actions or if this responsibility should remain solely with auditors.
- **Simulation:** Use an LLM to draft a follow-up email for a corrective action request. Discuss what changes you would make before sending it to a process owner.

Part III - Risk Assessment and Controls for AI-Assisted Auditing

Chapter 6: Advanced Risk Evaluation and Control Strategies

6.1 Introduction

As organizations increasingly rely on LLMs, auditors must move beyond basic risk awareness to **advanced evaluation and control design**. This chapter explores structured methodologies, control frameworks, and monitoring mechanisms to manage AI-related risks while maximizing audit effectiveness.

6.2 Revisiting the AI Risk Landscape

Key categories from Chapter 2—accuracy, bias, confidentiality, reliability, and accountability—remain central but require **deeper assessment** when LLMs are embedded into enterprise audit workflows:

- Model Drift: Over time, model updates or retraining can change output behavior.
- **Prompt Injection Attacks:** Malicious actors can manipulate AI responses through crafted inputs.
- Third-Party Dependency: Cloud-hosted AI services introduce supply-chain risks.
- **Regulatory Shifts:** Emerging legislation (e.g., EU AI Act, U.S. NIST AI RMF) can affect compliance obligations.

6.3 Comprehensive Risk Evaluation Techniques

Technique	Application to AI-Assisted Auditing
5×5 Risk Matrix	Quantify likelihood and impact for AI failure modes.
Bow-Tie Analysis	Map causes, consequences, and barriers for LLM misuse or bias.
Failure Mode & Effects Analysis (FMEA)	Identify potential AI failure points in planning, execution, and reporting.
Scenario Testing	Simulate worst-case outputs (e.g., hallucinated evidence) to evaluate controls.

6.4 Control Strategy Frameworks

1. Preventive Controls

- **Prompt Libraries:** Pre-approved, tested prompts to minimize ambiguity.
- Role-Based Access: Limit who can use AI tools and for what functions.
- **Data Masking:** Remove identifiers before submitting data to LLMs.

2. Detective Controls

- Cross-Verification: Require independent human review of AI-generated content.
- Bias Audits: Periodic testing for systematic bias in outputs.
- Version Logging: Maintain records of model versions and prompt history.

3. Corrective Controls

- **Incident Response Playbooks:** Define steps for addressing erroneous AI outputs or data breaches.
- Retraining or Model Switching: If a model consistently produces unreliable outputs.
- Stakeholder Communication: Transparent reporting of AI-related incidents.

6.5 Aligning with ISO Standards

- **ISO/IEC 42001 Clause 6.1.3:** Guides risk treatment for AI, paralleling traditional audit corrective actions.
- **ISO 19011 Clause 6:** Ensures that AI controls are integrated throughout the audit cycle.
- **ISO 27001 Alignment:** Data security controls should be mapped to AI usage to maintain confidentiality.

6.6 Embedding Risk Controls in Audit Methodology

Steps to integrate AI controls into audit programs:

- 1. **Policy Development:** Define organizational stance on AI use in audits.
- 2. **Risk Register Updates:** Add AI-related risks with mitigation strategies.
- 3. Training & Awareness: Educate auditors on AI limitations, bias, and secure usage.
- 4. **Pilot Testing:** Trial AI tools in low-risk audits before full deployment.
- 5. **Continuous Improvement:** Use follow-up audits to refine AI control effectiveness.

6.7 Real-World Example

A global compliance team deploys an enterprise LLM for report drafting. After discovering inconsistent outputs following a model update, they apply a **bow-tie analysis**, identify "model drift" as the root cause, and implement version logging and prompt testing as new controls. Subsequent audits show improved consistency and reduced corrective actions.

Key Takeaways

- Advanced techniques—FMEA, bow-tie analysis, and scenario testing—provide deeper insight into AI risks.
- Preventive, detective, and corrective controls must be integrated into audit programs.
- Align AI risk management with ISO/IEC 42001, ISO 19011, and ISO 27001 frameworks.
- Continuous monitoring and improvement ensure long-term reliability of AI-assisted audits.

Review Questions

- 1. What additional AI-specific risks emerge as LLMs are integrated into audit workflows?
- 2. Compare and contrast preventive, detective, and corrective controls for AI use.
- 3. How does a bow-tie analysis help visualize AI-related threats and barriers?
- 4. Which ISO standards collectively guide risk control for AI in auditing?
- 5. Provide an example of a detective control that addresses bias in AI outputs.

- Exercise: Develop a bow-tie diagram for a potential prompt injection attack during an ISO 9001 supplier audit. Identify causes, consequences, and barriers.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether strict prompt libraries could stifle auditor creativity. Suggest ways to balance control and flexibility.
- **Simulation:** Design a small-scale pilot test for integrating an LLM into evidence review. Define success metrics and monitoring steps.

Part IV – Ethical, Legal, and Regulatory Considerations

Chapter 7: Ethical, Legal, and Regulatory Considerations for AI in Auditing

7.1 Introduction

Auditing's credibility relies on integrity, independence, and compliance with professional and legal frameworks. Integrating LLMs introduces **ethical dilemmas** and **regulatory challenges** that auditors must navigate carefully. This chapter outlines key considerations and provides strategies to uphold ethical and legal standards.

7.2 Ethical Responsibilities of Auditors

- **Integrity:** Al tools must not compromise the auditor's obligation to report truthfully.
- Objectivity: LLM outputs can contain bias; auditors must counteract undue influence.
- Confidentiality: Professional codes (e.g., ISO 19011 and IIA Standards) require protecting sensitive data.
- **Professional Competence:** Auditors must understand AI limitations to avoid overreliance.
- **Due Care:** Validate AI outputs just as you would human-generated evidence.

Example: If an LLM suggests a nonconformity based on incomplete notes, issuing it without verification breaches professional due care.

7.3 Legal Considerations

- **Data Privacy Laws:** Regulations like GDPR, HIPAA, and CCPA restrict how data can be processed by AI tools.
- **Intellectual Property (IP):** AI-generated text may incorporate protected phrases; always ensure reports respect IP rights.
- Liability: Auditors remain legally responsible for their conclusions, even when AI assists.
- Contractual Obligations: Engagement letters may need updated clauses addressing AI use.

7.4 Regulatory Developments

Emerging frameworks will shape AI use in auditing:

- EU AI Act: Classifies auditing tools as "high-risk" applications requiring transparency and risk controls.
- U.S. NIST AI Risk Management Framework: Encourages trustworthy AI practices.
- **ISO/IEC 42001:** Formalizes AI management system standards, linking risk management to audit practice.

7.5 Governance Strategies

Area Recommended Action

Policy Development Define acceptable AI use within the audit charter.

Transparency Disclose AI involvement in audit reports when material.

Consent Management Obtain approval before inputting client data into AI tools.

Monitoring & Review Periodically review AI usage for compliance with evolving regulations.

Training & Awareness Provide ethics and compliance training focused on AI risks.

7.6 Balancing Innovation and Compliance

Auditors must strike a balance between leveraging AI's efficiency and respecting ethical/legal obligations. Overly restrictive policies may stifle innovation, while leniency can expose organizations to reputational and regulatory risks.

7.7 Real-World Example

A quality audit team at a healthcare company used a public LLM to draft nonconformities, inadvertently exposing protected health information. The incident triggered a HIPAA investigation. The organization revised its policies to restrict AI use to enterprise-secured environments, demonstrating the importance of **data privacy controls** and auditor vigilance.

Key Takeaways

- Ethical principles—integrity, objectivity, confidentiality—remain non-negotiable in AI-assisted auditing.
- Data privacy, IP rights, and liability are critical legal issues.
- Regulatory landscapes (EU AI Act, NIST AI RMF, ISO/IEC 42001) are evolving rapidly.
- Clear policies, transparency, and ongoing training are essential for compliant AI use.

Review Questions

- 1. Identify three ethical responsibilities auditors must uphold when using LLMs.
- 2. What legal risks could arise from inputting client data into a public AI model?
- 3. Name two emerging frameworks that will influence AI governance in auditing.
- 4. How should auditors address transparency when AI materially contributes to audit findings?
- 5. Why is ongoing training essential for managing AI-related ethical risks?

- Exercise: Draft a clause for an audit engagement letter addressing AI-assisted tools. Discuss how it balances efficiency and liability.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether clients should always be informed when AI tools are used during audits. Consider both ethical and practical perspectives.
- Case Simulation: Review a hypothetical scenario where an auditor violated confidentiality using AI. Propose corrective actions and preventative measures.

Part V – Case Studies and Sample Audit Scenarios

Chapter 8: Case Studies and Sample Audit Scenarios

8.1 Introduction

Case studies provide context for applying theory to practice. In this chapter, you will explore practical examples of LLM-assisted audits, analyze outcomes, and discuss lessons learned. These scenarios are designed for classroom exercises, workshops, or internal auditor training.

8.2 Case Study 1 – Supplier Quality Audit with LLM Support

Background:

A manufacturing company prepares for an ISO 9001 supplier audit. The lead auditor uses an LLM to draft the audit scope, checklist, and nonconformity statements.

Steps Taken:

- 1. **Audit Planning:** LLM generates a draft checklist for clause 8.4 (Control of externally provided processes).
- 2. **Execution:** The auditor uses the LLM to summarize supplier records and suggest follow-up questions.
- 3. **Reporting:** AI drafts nonconformity statements linking late deliveries to operational risks.

Outcome:

- Preparation time reduced by 35%.
- The LLM missed a subtle clause related to supplier evaluation frequency.
- Lesson: AI aids efficiency but cannot replace detailed standards knowledge.

8.3 Case Study 2 – Mini-Audit Simulation with Risk Awareness Lens

Scenario:

An internal audit team conducts a mini-audit of a procurement process. The LLM is tasked with identifying potential risks.

Execution:

- Prompts are used to brainstorm risk categories (e.g., cybersecurity in supplier systems).
- The AI output is compared to ISO/IEC 42001 Clause 6.1 risk treatment principles.
- Auditors verify findings through interviews and document reviews.

Discussion Points:

- How well did the AI capture less obvious risks?
- Which controls (preventive or detective) were most effective?

8.4 Case Study 3 – Ethical Dilemma in Healthcare Auditing

Background:

A healthcare organization uses a public LLM to draft corrective action requests. A junior auditor includes protected health information (PHI) in a prompt.

Impact:

- PHI exposure triggers a HIPAA compliance investigation.
- The organization revises its AI usage policy and provides additional training.

Lessons Learned:

- Confidentiality is paramount—secure environments must be used.
- Auditor awareness of data privacy laws is essential.

8.5 Sample Scenario – Corrective Action Follow-Up

Task:

You are following up on a corrective action for a nonconformity related to document control. Use an LLM to:

- 1. Summarize the corrective action evidence provided.
- 2. Suggest additional verification questions.
- 3. Draft a follow-up communication to the process owner.

Debrief:

- Discuss which AI suggestions required modification.
- Identify areas where AI added efficiency versus areas needing manual oversight.

8.6 Group Exercise – Capstone Mini-Audit Simulation

Objective:

Conduct an end-to-end audit simulation:

- Use LLMs for planning, execution, reporting, and follow-up.
- Apply ISO/IEC 42001 risk concepts to identify and treat AI-specific risks.
- Deliver a final debrief highlighting auditor responsibility versus AI assistance

8.7 Real-World Example – Global Compliance Program

A multinational corporation integrates LLMs into its compliance audits across multiple regions.

- **Planning:** AI drafts checklists for regional regulatory differences.
- **Fieldwork:** LLM suggests probing questions during interviews, leading to the discovery of an overlooked compliance gap.
- **Reporting:** AI organizes findings by severity and recommends targeted corrective actions.

Result: Efficiency improved, but the team implemented **cross-verification protocols** after identifying minor factual errors in AI outputs.

Key Takeaways

- Case studies show AI can enhance audit efficiency but must be paired with oversight.
- Ethical and legal considerations remain central to every scenario.
- Capstone simulations help auditors practice risk-aware AI use in realistic contexts.

Review Questions

- 1. In Case Study 1, what key step did the LLM overlook, and why is auditor expertise necessary?
- 2. How did the use of an LLM in the healthcare case study violate confidentiality requirements?
- 3. What benefits and risks emerged in the global compliance program example?
- 4. Which ISO/IEC 42001 principles guided risk treatment in the mini-audit simulation?

5. Why are capstone simulations effective for auditor training?

- Exercise: Conduct a mock supplier audit using an LLM. Compare AI-generated findings with manual review.
- **Prompt:** Debate whether using AI for corrective action drafting should always involve client disclosure.
- **Simulation:** Develop a risk treatment plan for a hypothetical LLM failure that introduced incorrect findings into an audit report.

Part VI - Future Trends and Strategic Outlook

Chapter 9: Future Trends in Auditing and AI

9.1 Introduction

The integration of LLMs into auditing is still in its early stages. Over the next decade, the profession will witness transformative changes in **technology**, **regulatory landscapes**, and **auditor competencies**. This chapter examines emerging trends and prepares auditors for strategic adaptation.

9.2 Trend 1 – Autonomous Auditing Agents

Future AI systems may progress from assistive tools to **autonomous agents** capable of executing defined audit tasks end-to-end.

- Opportunities: Reduced manual effort, real-time auditing, and continuous compliance monitoring.
- **Risks:** Accountability gaps, reduced human oversight, and ethical dilemmas regarding automated judgments.
- Auditor Role: Shift from doing tasks to supervising and validating AI outputs.

9.3 Trend 2 – Continuous Auditing and Monitoring

AI will enable near real-time audit assurance:

- Data Streaming Integration: LLMs will analyze transactional data continuously.
- **Dynamic Risk Models:** Risks will be updated automatically as new information emerges.
- Implications: Auditors must adopt agile methodologies and flexible planning processes.

9.4 Trend 3 – Enhanced Predictive Analytics

Generative AI combined with predictive models will forecast potential control failures:

- Identify early-warning signals for compliance breaches.
- Support proactive risk management.
- Raise questions about overreliance on models for decision-making.

9.5 Trend 4 – Expanding Regulatory Oversight

- **Global Harmonization:** Initiatives like ISO/IEC 42001 and the EU AI Act will push for consistent standards worldwide.
- Transparency Requirements: Regulators may require disclosure of AI involvement in audit processes.
- Auditor Certification: New certifications may emerge for AI literacy and ethical compliance in auditing.

9.6 Trend 5 – AI-Driven Collaboration Tools

Audit teams will leverage AI-enhanced collaboration platforms:

- Automated meeting notes and action item tracking.
- Multilingual translation for global audit teams.
- Intelligent knowledge bases linking prior findings, standards, and best practices.

9.7 Trend 6 – Integration with Other Emerging Technologies

- **Blockchain:** Combined with AI for immutable audit trails.
- **IoT Devices:** Real-time operational data feeding AI analytics.
- **Quantum Computing:** Potential acceleration of AI training and inference, reshaping data security paradigms.

9.8 Strategic Recommendations for Auditors

- 1. **Invest in AI Literacy:** Develop technical understanding of LLMs and associated risks.
- 2. **Strengthen Governance:** Update policies and engagement letters to address AI use explicitly.
- 3. **Experiment Safely:** Pilot AI tools in controlled environments before full-scale deployment.
- 4. **Engage in Standards Development:** Participate in industry groups shaping AI auditing standards.
- 5. Prioritize Ethics: Maintain focus on professional values amidst technological change.

9.9 Real-World Example

A global energy company implements AI-driven continuous auditing for environmental compliance. LLMs monitor sensor data streams from multiple plants, flagging anomalies in near real-time. Auditors review AI alerts daily, reducing compliance lag from months to hours. The company enhances both operational resilience and regulatory standing.

Key Takeaways

- Autonomous agents, predictive analytics, and continuous monitoring will redefine auditing workflows.
- Regulatory requirements will evolve rapidly—auditors must stay informed and agile.
- Strategic investments in AI literacy and ethical governance will ensure auditors remain trusted advisors.
- Emerging technologies like blockchain and IoT will converge with AI for robust audit assurance.

Review Questions

- 1. What are the key opportunities and risks of autonomous auditing agents?
- 2. How does continuous auditing differ from traditional periodic audits?
- 3. Name two emerging technologies likely to integrate with AI in future auditing.
- 4. Why might regulators require disclosure of AI use in audit processes?
- 5. List three strategic steps auditors can take to prepare for future AI developments.

- Exercise: Brainstorm potential regulatory scenarios for AI in auditing by 2035. How would you adapt audit methodologies in response?
- **Prompt:** Debate whether predictive analytics should be considered sufficient evidence for audit conclusions.
- **Simulation:** Design a future-state audit workflow integrating LLMs, blockchain, and IoT devices. Discuss challenges and controls needed.

Glossary

Accountability Risk – The possibility that unclear responsibility for AI-assisted decisions may undermine audit integrity.

AI Drift (Model Drift) – Gradual change in a model's behavior over time due to retraining or updates.

Audit Cycle (ISO 19011 Clause 6) – The sequence of activities (initiating, preparing, conducting, reporting, and follow-up) performed during an audit.

Audit Trail – Documented evidence supporting audit findings and decisions.

Bias (AI) - Systematic favoritism or distortion in AI outputs caused by imbalanced or incomplete training data.

Bow-Tie Analysis – A visual method for identifying threats, consequences, and barriers in risk management.

Clause 6.1 (ISO/IEC 42001) – Specifies risk assessment and treatment processes for AI systems.

Confidentiality – Ethical and legal obligation to protect sensitive or proprietary information.

Corrective Action – Steps taken to eliminate the cause of a detected nonconformity or risk.

Detective Control – A control designed to identify errors or irregularities after they occur.

Ethics in Auditing – Principles such as integrity, objectivity, and due care guiding professional conduct.

EU AI Act – European Union legislation classifying and regulating AI systems according to risk levels.

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) – A method to evaluate potential failure points and their impacts.

Generative AI – Artificial intelligence capable of creating new content (e.g., text, images, code).

Inherent Risk – The susceptibility of a process to error or fraud in the absence of controls.

ISO/IEC 42001 – International standard providing guidance for AI management systems and risk treatment.

Large Language Model (LLM) – An AI model trained on massive text datasets to understand and generate natural language.

Nonconformity Statement – A documented finding that a process or product does not meet a specified requirement.

Preventive Control – A control designed to prevent errors or irregularities before they occur.

Prompt Injection – A manipulation technique where malicious inputs trick an AI system into undesired behavior.

Risk Matrix (5×5) – A grid for assessing the likelihood and impact of identified risks.

Risk Register – A tool for documenting and tracking risks, their severity, and treatments.

Supplier Audit – An evaluation of a supplier's processes and controls against defined standards (e.g., ISO 9001).

Transparency – Openness about the use and role of AI in audit processes.

Index

A

- Accountability risk, 41, 95, 120
- AI drift, 58, 96, 118
- Audit cycle (ISO 19011), 15, 22–25
- Audit planning with LLMs, 63–70
- Audit reporting, 91–98
- Audit trail, 52, 94

B

- Bias, AI, 19, 44, 58–60, 82, 117
- Bow-Tie analysis, 59, 103

\mathbf{C}

- Capstone mini-audit simulation, 106
- Checklist creation, 68–70
- Clause 6.1 (ISO/IEC 42001), 44, 59, 83
- Confidentiality, 19, 84–86
- Continuous auditing, 110–112
- Corrective action, 92–95

D

- Data privacy, 84–86
- Detective controls, 60–61, 99

\mathbf{E}

- Ethics in auditing, 81–83
- EU AI Act, 84, 111

F

- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA), 58, 102
- Follow-up activities, 95–98
- Future trends, 109–116

\mathbf{G}

- Generative AI basics, 13–18
- Governance strategies, 86–88

I

- Inherent risk, 45, 96
- ISO/IEC 42001, 43–44, 83, 111
- ISO 19011, 14, 62–63

 \mathbf{L}

- Large Language Models (LLMs), definition, 13–14
- Legal considerations, 84–85

M

- Mini-audit simulation, 104–106
- Model drift, 58, 96

 \mathbf{N}

• Nonconformity statements, 91–93

P

- Predictive analytics, 111–112
- Preventive controls, 59–60
- Prompt injection attacks, 58, 96

 \mathbf{R}

- Real-world examples, 52, 70, 105–107, 113
- Reporting phase, 91–94
- Risk matrix, 59, 103
- Risk register, 60, 103

S

- Scenario testing, 58–59
- Supplier audit example, 102–104

 \mathbf{T}

- Transparency in AI use, 85, 112
- Trend analysis, 109–112