## CSCI 3110 Hash function (2)

## • Factors to consider when analyzing hashing methods

• Load factor: 
$$\alpha = \frac{N}{table Size}$$

- Size of the hash table
- Successful search or not

## • Comparing the four collision resolution approaches:

- o Best case O(1)
- O Worse base O(n)

	Linear Probing	$\alpha=1/2$	$\alpha=2/3$	$\alpha=7/8$	
average case	$\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right]$ for a successful search	1.5	2	4.5	
	$\frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^2}\right]$ for an unsuccessful search	4.5	8	40.5	

<b>Quadratic Probing and Double Hashing</b>	α=1/2	α=2/3	α=7/8	
$\frac{-\log e(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}, \text{ for a successful search}$ $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}, \text{ for an unsuccessful search}$	1.38	1.65	3.1	
Ι ω	2	3	8	

Separate Chaining	α=1/2	α=2/3	α=7/8
$1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , for a successful search	1.25	1.33	1.43
$\alpha$ , for an unsuccessful search			
	0.5	0.67	0.875

## **Conclusions and Discussions:**

- Typically,  $\alpha$  of a hash table should be kept below 2/3.
- o Empirical comparisons of the four collision resolution methods show When  $\alpha$  is 0.5, all four systems are about the same. As  $\alpha$  approaches 1, separate

chaining is the clear winner

- o Criteria for good hashing function
  - Easy and fast to compute
  - Scatter the data evenly throughout the hash table
    - The calculation of the hash function should involve the entire search key

- If the hash function uses modulo arithmetic, the base should be prime → the choice of table size as a prime number safeguard against many subtle kinds of patterns in the data.
- o Comparing hashing implementation and balanced tree implementation of table:
  - if α can be kept small, then hashing is a better approach than other methods in terms of insertion/deletion/retrieval operations. Otherwise, a balanced binary tree implementation is more reliable (guaranteed lower bound performance)
  - Operations that make hashing a less efficient implementation than balanced search tree implementation:
    - Traverse in sorted order of search key → hash table does not support ordering at all!
    - Retrieval of record with the largest/smallest search key
    - Range query