



Persistence of a SARS-CoV-2 T-cell response in patients with long COVID and lung sequelae after COVID-19

Copyright ©The authors 2023

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 9 Jan 2023

Accepted: 17 March 2023

To the Editor:

Most individuals fully recover after suffering coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), but a subset of patients present persistent post-disease abnormalities, including 1) long COVID, defined as the persistence (>2 months) of symptoms 3 months after the onset of COVID-19 that cannot be explained by an alternative diagnosis [1]; and 2) chronic pulmonary sequelae, defined as abnormal lung function and/or lung structure [2–5]. The mechanisms underlying long COVID or pulmonary sequelae remain unknown, but both have been associated with persistent inflammation [6, 7], including alterations in the blood CD8⁺ T-cells and a sustained virus-specific T-cell response [8–10]. Additionally, recent studies suggest that the persistence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA in some organs may drive chronic activation of B-cells and inflammation [6, 11, 12].

In a previous study on convalescent COVID-19 patients studied at the short term (6 months after hospital discharge) with pulmonary sequelae, we reported the persistence of a virus-specific T-cell response [13]. Whether this is also associated with long COVID and pulmonary sequelae at a later stage (12 months after discharge) or with the change in the diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (D_{LCO}) from 6 to 12 months, has not been explored so far. To investigate it, in this prospective observational study we included 40 adults at 12 months post-discharge: 11 with long COVID [1]; 17 with pulmonary sequelae (D_{LCO} <80% predicted) [3, 4]; and 12 who had suffered a COVID-19 episode, but at 12 months were fully recovered (controls). All of them had been hospitalised in our institution because of a PCR-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia. In this study we included patients followed in the clinic after hospital discharge because of 1) respiratory symptoms, according to the Spanish Society of Pulmonology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR) consensus for follow-up of post-COVID-19 patients [11]; and/or 2) complained of fatigue, chest pain, arthralgia, myalgia, headache, neurocognitive dysfunction or autonomic dysfunction. Symptoms were evaluated using the 36-item short form (SF-36) and fatigue structured questionnaires [1, 14, 15]. Forced spirometry and D_{LCO} were measured following international recommendations [16, 17]. To address the unavoidable limitation that lung function had not been determined before hospitalisation because of the acute COVID-19 episode, only patients without any previously known pulmonary disease were included in the study. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines were used in the reporting of this observational study; the ethical review board of our institution approved it (HCB/2020/0422); and all patients signed their informed consent.

In this study we used the same methodology described in our previous study at 6 months [13]. Briefly, we used flow cytometry in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) to quantify different CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ lymphocyte subpopulations at 12 months. In each patient, PBMCs were obtained and stimulated for 10 days at 0.5 µg·mL⁻¹ with a specific SARS-CoV-2 peptide pool of the S (spike) or N (nucleocapsid), purchased from Miltenyi Biotec (USA; 130-126-701 and 130-126-699, respectively). At day 10, cells were restimulated with 2.5 µg·mL⁻¹ individual virus-specific peptide pools and (1:100) FastImmune (BD, USA) for 2 h, followed by the addition of 10 µg·mL⁻¹ Brefeldin A (Sigma, Germany) for four additional hours. Stimulated PBMC were then analysed by flow cytometry using the same staining and intracellular determination of interferon-γ. The expansion of specific populations in response to this stimulation is presented as fold change (*i.e.* frequency of the population in stimulated PBMCs divided by frequency in unstimulated cells).



Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications)

1 year after an acute COVID-19 episode, patients with either lung sequelae or long COVID show a stronger SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell response than fully recovered individuals, suggesting persistent cell stimulation by residual viral reservoirs <https://bit.ly/40bPZm7>

Cite this article as: Cruz T, Mendoza N, Lledó GM, *et al.* Persistence of a SARS-CoV-2 T-cell response in patients with long COVID and lung sequelae after COVID-19. *ERJ Open Res* 2023; 9: 00020-2023 [DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00020-2023].

TABLE 1 Main characteristics of the study groups and key cell population findings at basal frequencies and under coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)-specific S and N peptides

	Recovered	Pulmonary sequelae	p-value	Recovered	Long COVID	p-value
Participants	12	17		12	11	
Age (years)	55.6±13.9	55.6±11.4	0.999	55.6±13.9	48.5±13.8	0.237
Males	6 (50.0)	10 (58.8)	0.927	6 (50.0)	9 (81.8)	0.193
<i>D</i> _{LCO} at 12 months (% predicted)	91.7 (83.1–101)	71.2 (67.4–75.6)	<0.001	91.7 (83.1–101)	89.7 (87.8–91.7)	0.877
Lung sequelae at 12 months (yes)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	<0.001	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0.1
<i>D</i> _{LCO} change (from 6 to 12 months) [#]	11.8±18.5	−7.12±15.3	0.03	11.8±18.5	−16.02±13.4	0.131
Extrapulmonary symptoms (yes)	0 (0.00)	11 (64.7)	0.001	0 (0.00)	11 (100)	<0.001
WHO severity	5.00 (3.75–5.00)	5.00 (3.00–6.00)	0.7	5.00 (3.75–5.00)	1.00 (1.00–2.00)	0.003
ICU admission (yes)	7 (58.3)	8 (47.1)	0.825	7 (58.3)	0 (0.00)	0.005
ICU (days)	2.50 (0.00–5.25)	0.00 (0.00–14.0)	0.655	2.50 (0.00–5.25)	0.00 (0.00–0.00)	0.004
Corticoids	2 (18.2)	5 (29.4)	0.79	2 (18.2)	0 (0.00)	0.129
Vaccine doses			0.774			0.015
0	6 (50.0)	9 (52.9)		6 (50.0)	0 (0.00)	
1	5 (41.7)	5 (29.4)		5 (41.7)	7 (63.6)	
2	1 (8.33)	3 (17.6)		1 (8.33)	4 (36.4)	
Basal frequencies of T-cell subpopulations						
CD4 HLA ⁺ (% of CD4)	4.72 (3.38–8.00)	4.43 (3.45–5.08)	0.492	4.72 (3.38–8.00)	2.68 (2.16–5.46)	0.085
CD4 effector HLA ⁺ (% of CD4 effector)	9.70 (5.37–13.6)	7.79 (5.36–11.3)	0.842	9.70 (5.37–13.6)	9.34 (4.30–11.8)	0.735
CD4 CM HLA ⁺ (% of CD4 CM)	3.24 (2.28–3.97)	2.64 (2.05–3.30)	0.177	3.24 (2.28–3.97)	1.63 (1.19–2.73)	0.069
CD4 EM HLA ⁺ (% of CD4 EM)	13.5 (4.75)	10.9 (4.37)	0.143	14.2 (10.2–17.2)	6.65 (5.70–7.60)	0.006
CD4 naïve HLA ⁺ (% of CD4 naïve)	1.54 (1.19–3.54)	2.39 (1.89–2.87)	0.4	1.54 (1.19–3.54)	1.14 (0.62–2.52)	0.196
CD4 Th1 (% of CD4)	1.90 (1.37–3.91)	1.39 (1.08–2.40)	0.215	1.90 (1.37–3.91)	0.83 (0.62–1.97)	0.019
CD4 Th17 [−] (% of CD4)	6.62 (3.10)	6.22 (3.28)	0.74	6.76 (5.16–8.55)	3.01 (2.57–5.48)	0.11
CD8 HLA ⁺ (% of CD8)	12.3 (5.34–23.0)	9.33 (6.57–17.0)	0.4	12.3 (5.34–23.0)	5.43 (3.01–10.4)	0.027
CD8 effector HLA ⁺ (% of CD8 effector)	18.4 (6.73–31.6)	11.2 (7.09–19.7)	0.376	18.4 (6.73–31.6)	4.79 (3.86–13.4)	0.031
CD8 CM HLA ⁺ (% of CD8 CM)	6.32 (4.43–10.2)	4.63 (4.03–6.97)	0.241	6.32 (4.43–10.2)	3.63 (2.54–6.24)	0.049
CD8 EM HLA ⁺ (% of CD8 EM)	14.1 (8.57–25.5)	11.8 (9.09–13.8)	0.413	14.1 (8.57–25.5)	6.40 (4.96–12.3)	0.042
CD8 naïve HLA ⁺ (% of CD8 naïve)	3.18 (1.84–5.80)	2.83 (2.04–5.35)	0.929	3.18 (1.84–5.80)	0.99 (0.62–3.32)	0.036
Responders to SARS-CoV-2 peptides						
N SARS-CoV-2 CD4 ⁺	5 (41.66)	8 (47.06)	0.806	5 (41.66)	6 (54.54)	0.545
N SARS-CoV-2 CD8 ⁺	6 (50)	10 (58.82)	0.629	6 (50)	5 (45.45)	1
S SARS-CoV-2 CD4 ⁺	6 (50)	12 (70.59)	0.144	6 (50)	6 (54.54)	1
S SARS-CoV-2 CD8 ⁺	4 (33.33)	9 (52.94)	0.119	4 (33.33)	7 (63.63)	0.05
Response to N or S	6 (50)	14 (82.36)	0.012	6 (50)	8 (72.72)	0.22
Type of specific T-response to N SARS-CoV-2 peptides						
CD4 Th1 (% of CD4)	1.05 (0.59–2.29)	1.55 (1.10–2.51)	0.132	1.05 (0.59–2.29)	4.24 (1.21–5.24)	0.031
CD4 Th17 (% of CD4)	4.40 (3.04–7.27)	3.94 (2.28–8.44)	0.626	4.40 (3.04–7.27)	5.88 (4.55–6.61)	0.389
Type of specific T-response to S SARS-CoV-2 peptides						
CD4 Th1 (% of CD4)	0.71 (0.48–1.32)	0.97 (0.80–1.94)	0.046	0.71 (0.48–1.32)	2.12 (1.10–2.73)	0.041
CD4 Th17 (% of CD4)	4.59 (4.08–6.99)	4.10 (2.42–9.60)	0.535	4.59 (4.08–6.99)	7.84 (5.85–10.2)	0.166

Data are presented as n, mean±SD, n (%) or median (95% CI), unless otherwise stated. The Shapiro test was performed for each variable and the appropriate statistic test (t-test or Mann–Whitney) was performed accordingly to their distribution using the “compareGroups” R package. The difference in the percentage of responders was evaluated with a binomial statistic test. Bold type represents statistical significance. *D*_{LCO}: diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; WHO: World Health Organization; ICU: intensive care unit; HLA: human leukocyte antigen; CM: central memory; EM: effector memory; Th1/17: type 1/17 T-helper cell; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. #: change in the *D*_{LCO} volume between the lung function test at 6 and at 12 months (*D*_{LCO} at 12 months minus *D*_{LCO} at 6 months).

We studied 12 patients fully recovered after COVID-19 (controls), 11 with long COVID and 17 with pulmonary sequelae (table 1). By design, there were significant differences in D_{LCO} at 12 months, and in D_{LCO} change from 6 to 12 months post-discharge, as well as in the presence of extrapulmonary symptoms. At hospitalisation, patients with long COVID presented lower World Health Organization severity scores and required less intensive care unit admission. There were no differences regarding treatment with systemic corticosteroids during the acute COVID-19 episode.

Additionally, table 1 shows that, under basal conditions and compared to controls, patients with long COVID showed reduced expression of human leukocyte antigen-DR in all $CD8^+$ T-lymphocyte and T-effector memory $CD4^+$ T-lymphocyte subpopulations, as well as a reduction of the type 1 T-helper cell (Th1) response.

In response to stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 N or S peptides (table 1), we observed that 1) 50% of controls presented a positive *in vitro* T-cell response against SARS-CoV-2 12 months post-discharge; 2) the proportion of responders was higher in those with lung sequelae (82.36%; $p=0.012$) and long COVID (72.72%; $p=0.22$), without differences in the proportion of responders between patients with lung sequelae and long COVID; 3) $CD4^+$ Th1 cells increased in patients with long COVID in response to stimulation with both S and N SARS-CoV-2 peptides, while patients with lung sequelae responded to the S peptide only; and 4) we found a negative correlation between the ratio of $CD8^+CD28^-$ T-cells in response to SARS-CoV-2 N ($r=-0.46$; $p=0.028$) or S peptide ($r=-0.49$; $p=0.019$) stimulation at 12 months and the change in the D_{LCO} from 6 to 12 months.

The main and novel findings of this study are, at discharge compared to fully recovered individuals (controls), 12 months after the acute COVID-19 episode, 1) patients with long COVID showed abnormal $CD8^+$ and $CD4^+$ T-lymphocyte subpopulation distributions at baseline, and an enhanced Th1 response to S and N peptides after SARS-CoV-2-specific stimulation; 2) patients with long-term lung sequelae also present a higher T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2, characterised by S-specific $CD4^+$ Th1-cells; and 3) the long-term worsening of D_{LCO} from 6 to 12 months after the acute COVID-19 event correlates with an increase of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cells with exhaustion features ($CD8^+CD28^-$). Our results are in line with previous work describing altered T-cell frequencies in patients with long COVID, but to our knowledge this is the first study describing a persistent virus-specific T-cell response in patients with lung sequelae.

In conclusion, collectively these observations suggest that patients with long COVID and those with lung sequelae have an exhausted SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell response ($CD8^+CD28^-$), suggesting a nonresolved stimulation potentially driven by persistence of viral reservoirs [6, 12]. Further work is needed to understand the specific stimulation mechanism underlying the persistent T-cell response in these patients.

Tamara Cruz^{1,2,6}, **Núria Mendoza**^{1,6}, **Gema M. Lledó**^{3,6}, **Lidia Perea**^{1,2}, **Núria Albacar**^{1,2,4}, **Alvar Agustí**^{1,2,4}, **Jacobo Sellares**^{1,2,4}, **Oriol Sibila**^{1,2,4,7} and **Rosa Faner**^{1,2,5,7}

¹Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona, Spain. ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Madrid, Spain. ³Department of Autoimmune Diseases, Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴Respiratory Institute, Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵Department of Biomedicine, Immunology Unit, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁶Joint first authors. ⁷Joint senior authors.

Corresponding author: Rosa Faner (Rfaner@ub.edu)

Provenance: Submitted article, peer reviewed.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank all participants in the study for their willingness to contribute to medical research, and all field workers for their dedication and the quality of their daily work. We are indebted to the HCB-IDIBAPS Biobank for the biological human samples and data procurement, and to the Fundació Glòria Soler for their support to the COVIDBANK collection.

Conflict of interest: T. Cruz reports support for the present manuscript from AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020). N. Mendoza reports support for the present manuscript from a PFIS predoctoral scholarship. G.M. Lledó reports support for the present manuscript from AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020). A. Agustí reports support for the present manuscript from AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020). J. Sellares reports support for the present manuscript from AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020).

(PANDEMIES 2020); grants or contracts from Boehringer, outside the submitted work; payment or honoraria from Boehringer Roche, Chiesi, Astra and Gebro, outside the submitted work; and support for attending meetings and/or travel from Boehringer and Roche, outside the submitted work. O. Sibila reports support for the present manuscript from Menarini, SEPAR, AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020) and Fons Mecenatge HCB-IDIBAPS. R. Faner reports support for the present manuscript from Menarini, SEPAR, AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020) and Fons Mecenatge HCB-IDIBAPS; grants or contracts from GlaxoSmithKline, AstraZeneca, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Menarini and the European Research Council, outside the submitted work; consulting fees from GSK, outside the submitted work; and payment or honoraria from Chiesi, outside the submitted work. The remaining authors have nothing to disclose.

Support statement: The present study has been founded by a research grant from Menarini, Fons Mecenatge HCB-IDIBAPS, SEPAR and AGAUR (PANDEMIES 2020). R. Faner is a Serra Hunter Fellow and T. Cruz is a La Caixa Postdoctoral Young Leader fellow.

References

- 1 Soriano JB, Murthy S, Marshall JC, *et al.* A clinical case definition of post-COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; 22: e102–e107.
- 2 Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, *et al.* 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 220–232.
- 3 Sibila O, Albacar N, Perea L, *et al.* Lung function sequelae in COVID-19 patients 3 months after hospital discharge. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2021; 57: 59–61.
- 4 Sibila O, Perea L, Albacar N, *et al.* Elevated plasma levels of epithelial and endothelial cell markers in COVID-19 survivors with reduced lung diffusing capacity six months after hospital discharge. *Respir Res* 2022; 23: 37.
- 5 Stewart I, Jacob J, George PM, *et al.* Residual lung abnormalities following COVID-19 hospitalization: interim analysis of the UKILD post-COVID study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2022; 205: 936–948.
- 6 Mehndru S, Merad M. Pathological sequelae of long-haul COVID. *Nat Immunol* 2022; 23: 194–202.
- 7 Song WJ, Hui CKM, Hull JH, *et al.* Confronting COVID-19-associated cough and the post-COVID syndrome: role of viral neurotropism, neuroinflammation, and neuroimmune responses. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 533–544.
- 8 Galán M, Vigón L, Fuertes D, *et al.* Persistent overactive cytotoxic immune response in a Spanish cohort of individuals with long-COVID: identification of diagnostic biomarkers. *Front Immunol* 2022; 13: 848886.
- 9 Files JK, Sarkar S, Fram TR, *et al.* Duration of post-COVID-19 symptoms is associated with sustained SARS-CoV-2-specific immune responses. *JCI Insight* 2021; 6: e151544.
- 10 Peluso MJ, Deitchman AN, Torres L, *et al.* Long-term SARS-CoV-2-specific immune and inflammatory responses in individuals recovering from COVID-19 with and without post-acute symptoms. *Cell Rep* 2021; 36: 109518.
- 11 Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, *et al.* Evolution of antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 2021; 591: 639–644.
- 12 Sun J, Xiao J, Sun R, *et al.* Prolonged persistence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in body fluids. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020; 26: 1834–1838.
- 13 Cruz T, Mendoza N, Perea L, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 T-cell response in COVID-19 convalescent patients with and without lung sequelae. *ERJ Open Res* 2022; 8: 00706-2021.
- 14 Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, *et al.* Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. *Nat Med* 2021; 27: 601–615.
- 15 Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, *et al.* More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 16144.
- 16 Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, *et al.* Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 200: e70–e88.
- 17 Graham BL, Brusasco V, Burgos F, *et al.* 2017 ERS/ATS standards for single-breath carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49: 1600016.