31251 – Data Structures and Algorithms

Week 4, Autumn 2020

Xianzhi Wang

In this week's episode:

- Divide-and-Conquer
- Recursion
- Recursion vs Iteration

Divide-and-Conquer

Multiplying Large Numbers

- Everyone remembers (?!) the multiplication algorithm we learnt in school:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & & {}_{1}4 & {}^{1}_{3}3 & 9 & \times \\
 & & & 4 & 2 & \\
\hline
 & {}^{1} & {}_{1}8 & 7 & 8 & \\
1 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 0 & \\
\hline
1 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 8 &
\end{array}
$$

- Taking the length of the numbers as the size of the input, this is a $\Theta(n^2)$-time algorithm.
- Can we do better?

Multiplying Large Numbers

- Maybe we can try breaking the problem down.
- If we have two numbers $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ and $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$, we can divide them each in half: $a_l = a_1 \dots a_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$, $a_r = a_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \dots a_n$, $b_l = b_1 \dots b_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$, and $b_r = b_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \dots b_n$.
- Then $a \times b = 10^n a_l b_l + 10^{\frac{n}{2}}(a_l b_r + b_l a_r) + a_r b_r$.
- So now we only have 4 half sized multiplications! ... Wait... that's exactly the same...

Now here's the tricky bit

- We want $a_l b_l$, $a_l b_r$, $b_l a_r$ and $a_r b_r$.
- Hey... $r = (a_l + a_r) \times (b_l + b_r) = a_l b_l + (a_l b_r + b_l a_r) + a_r b_r$.
- So if we calculate $p = a_l b_l$, $q = a_r b_r$ and r...
- $a \times b = 10^n p + 10^{\frac{n}{2}}(r - p - q) + q$.
- So... we're now doing 3 multiplications of half the size. (At the cost of some addition and subtraction.)

What was the point of all that?

- So we're now doing 3 multiplications instead of 4... woo...
- What if we can break down a_l, b_l, a_r and b_r again?!?
- This is where recursion and abstracted functional design become the algorithmic paradigm of *divide-and-conquer*.
- Divide-and-conquer is where we solve a problem by recursively decomposing the instance into smaller instances of subproblems.
- If we do it correctly, we can do better than the naïve approach.

How well do we do with the multiplication?

- So how much time do we save with our better multiplication algorithm?
- To express running times of recursive algorithms, we use recurrence relations.
- $$T_{mult}(n) = \begin{cases} 3T_{mult}(\frac{n}{2}) + c_1 n & \text{for large enough } n \\ c_2 n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
- Okay... so how do we solve that?

For many recurrences we can use the Master Theorem. If $T(n) = a \cdot T(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$ for some a b and $f \in \Theta(n^k)$, then:

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^k) & \text{if } a < b^k \\ \Theta(n^k \log n) & \text{if } a = b^k \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b^k. \end{cases}$$

Back to the multiplication

- For our multiplication algorithm, $a = 3$, $b = 2$ and $k = 1$.
- So $a > b^k$, and $T_{mult} \in O(n^{\log_b a}) = O(n^{\log_2 3}) = O(n^{\approx 1.585})$.
- How much better is that? `fooplot.com`
- By breaking the problem down correctly, we have made a significant improvement! (Though there are even better multiplication algorithms)

Divide-and-Conquer

- The general framework for a divide-and-conquer algorithm is:
  1. Divide the instance into a set of subproblems.
  2. If a subproblem is small enough, solve it, otherwise recursively split the subproblem.
  3. Combine the subproblem solutions into a whole solution.

What do we need to make Divide-and-Conquer work?

- A problem has to be recursively similar – can an instance be broken up into smaller instances of the same problem?
- The number and size of the subproblems must be in the right balance – this depends on the "simple" solution's complexity.
- We must be able to recombine subsolutions into a solution efficiently.

Some example Divide-and-Conquer algorithms

- Binary Search – find if an element is in a sorted array (probably the simplest d&c algorithm):
  1. Check the middle of the array, is the middle greater or smaller than the target element?
  2. If it's the same, you've found it! If the array is size one and it's not the same, it's not there!
  3. If it's greater, search the left side of the array. Otherwise search the right.
- $O(\log n)$ time.

Some example Divide-and-Conquer algorithms

- Fast exponentiation – calculate x^n for large n:

```
Function exp(x,n)
    if n == 0 then
        return 1;
    end
    if n is odd then
        return x × exp(x, n − 1);
    end
    else  x′ = exp(x, n/2);
    return x′ × x′;
    ;
end
```

- If we use D&C multiplication, and $|x| = m$ (the number of digits in x), $\Theta(m^{\log_2 3} \log(n))$, which beats the simple $\Theta(m^{\log_2 3} n)$ algorithm.

Some example Divide-and-Conquer algorithms

- Sorting: both Mergesort and Quicksort are D&C algorithms. We'll see them soon!

Recursion

Recursion

- Recursion is conceptually a central component of D&C.
- You can in fact phrase everything in computer science in terms of recursion (not a great idea, but possible).
- It often leads to "neat" code.
- It also often leads to inefficient and broken code.
- We will steal Jeff Edmonds "Friends" metaphor for framing recursive algorithm design (J. Edmonds, "How to Think About Algorithms", Cambridge University Press, 2008.)

Designing a Recursive Algorithm with your "Friends"

- Carefully specify:
  1. The Preconditions: what must be true about the input before you start the algorithm.
  2. The Postconditions: what must be true about the output when you're done.
- These conditions then apply at every step of the recursion.
- Work out how to measure the "size" of an instance.

Designing a Recursive Algorithm with your "Friends"

- Consider a general instance of the problem.
  1. Imagine you have friends who can magically solve any instance of the problem strictly smaller than yours if it meets the preconditions.
  2. From your instance, construct subinstances that meet the preconditions.
  3. Get your friends to solve them.
  4. Recombine the subsolutions.

Designing a Recursive Algorithm with your "Friends"

- What if the subinstances don't fit the preconditions?
  - Then you have to rethink your preconditions (and maybe the postconditions).
- Keep the number of cases as small as possible.
- If the subinstance is small enough, just solve it using brute force.
- Analyse the algorithm using a recurrence.

Recursion vs Iteration

Recursion vs Iteration

- Recursion often gives nice "simple" algorithms.
- Making them efficient can be trickier.
- Iterative algorithms are often easily made efficient (and easily optimised by compilers).
- But they result in hard-to-understand code (what are all those loop indices doing...?).

Which one is best then?

- There's no simple answer to which one to use.
- But both approaches can always be used.
  - Iteration and recursion are equally as powerful!
- You must think about what works best for the problem.

Some Examples

- Linear searching is trivial iteratively, mildly annoying recursively.
- Binary search is a great recursive algorithm, very messy iteratively.
- A lot of mathematical functions (e.g. the Fibonacci sequence) are easily expressed recursively – but are often much more efficient expressed iteratively.
- Traversing list-like structures – simple iteratively, but can be done recursively (remember `tail()`!).

Things to consider

- So when you're faced with deciding between iteration and recursion consider:
  1. Is my problem naturally recursive or iterative?
  2. Do I know how to terminate the algorithm (base cases for recursion, loop conditions for iteration)?
  3. Will I re-use the same information over and over?
     - A candidate for memoization - recursion, but where we remember what we've already calculated.
     - If memoization isn't good, then this should probably be iterative.
  4. Are the limits well defined (not just can I guarantee they will be met, but do I know them in advance)¿
     - If not, recursion will probably be easier.
  5. Can I do it tail-recursively?
     - Has only one recursive call, at the end of the function - this is often easy to read, but the compiler can also optimise it into iteration.