

SMART CONTRACT SECONDARY CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Customer: SingularDTV
Date: July 2, 2020
Platform: Ethereum

Language: Solidity

This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and intellectual property of the customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the customer or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed – upon decision of customer.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for SingularDTV	
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity	
Initial Audit		
Repository	https://github.com/SingularDTV/snglsDAO-smartcontracts/tree/master/dao-contracts	
Commit	d453ff098d6562b469a4edda898708ee6dde9dd2	
Branch	master	
Date	17.06.2020	
Secondary Audit		
Repository	https://github.com/SingularDTV/snglsDAO-smartcontracts/tree/production/dao- contracts	
Commit	221b0ecfd3ff15a05189d25e790bbe3d9383010e	
Branch	Production	
Date	02.07.2020	

Table of contents

Document 2
Table of contents 3
Introduction 4
Scope 4
Executive Summary 6
Severity Definitions
AS-IS overview 7
Audit overview
Conclusion 21
Disclaimers 22

Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by SingularDTV (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted between June 3rd, 2020 - June 17th, 2020. Secondary review was done for the code changes between June 30th, 2020 - July 2nd, 2020

Scope

The scope of the project are smart contracts, selected by Customer in dao-contracts repository:

- 1. DAOstack contracts contracts that were developed by DAOstack. They were already audited and are considered secure. However, these DAOstack contracts are in scope of this audit:
 - Avatar.sol
 - DAOToken.sol
 - Reputation.sol
 - GenericScheme.sol
 - 2. DAOstack Schemes contracts that were changed by SingularDTV:
 - LockingSGT4Reputation.sol
 - ContributionReward.sol
 - 3. Standalone and self-developed contracts:
 - Fee.sol
 - MembershipFeeStaking.sol



Repository - https://github.com/SingularDTV/snglsDAO-smartcontracts/tree/master/dao-contracts

Commit - d453ff098d6562b469a4edda898708ee6dde9dd2

Branch - master

For the secondary review the same contracts were used from following repository:

 $Repository - \underline{ \text{https://github.com/SingularDTV/snglsDAO-smartcontracts/tree/production/dao-contracts}}$

Commit - 221b0ecfd3ff15a05189d25e790bbe3d9383010e

Branch - production

Note. All other contracts that are present in the repository were developed by DAOStack and already audited. They are considered secure in this audit and they are out-of-scope of the audit.

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered (the full list includes them but is not limited to them):

- Reentrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Style guide violation
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Compiler version not fixed
- Unchecked external call Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level



Executive Summary


According to the assessment, Customer's smart contracts are well-secured.



Our team performed analysis of code functionality, manual audit and automated checks with Mythril and Slither. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in Audit overview section. General overview is presented in AS-IS section and all found issues can be found in Audit overview section.

We found 2 medium, 3 low and 2 best practice issues in smart contract code during initial audit. All important issues were fixed before the secondary audit.

Graph 1. The distribution of vulnerabilities.



Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens lose etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

AS-IS overview

Avatar.sol

Avatar is the contract that stores all assets of the DAO.

Avatar contract is Ownable.

Ownable is access control contract that defines owner role and considered secure.

Contract Avatar defines following public parameters:

- string orgName
- DAOToken nativeToken
- Reputation nativeReputation

Note. Reputation and DAOToken contracts are reviewed below



Contract Avatar has 8 functions:

- constructor sets orgName, nativeToken and nativeReputation
- fallback external payable function to receive ether
- **genericCall** public function that performs generic call to specified contract. Has **onlyOwner** modifier
- **sendEther** public function that sends ether to specified receiver. Has **onlyOwner** modifier
- externalTokenTransfer public function that transfers ERC20 token to specified receiver. Has onlyOwner modifier
- externalTokenTransferFrom public function that performs transferFrom for ERC20 token to receiver. Has onlyOwner modifier
- externalTokenApproval public function that approves transfer of ERC20 token for spender. Has onlyOwner modifier
- metadata public function that emits Metadata event. Has onlyOwner modifier

DAOToken.sol

DAOToken is the contract that describes DAO ERC20 token

DAOToken contract is ERC20, ERC20Burnable, Ownable.

ERC20, ERC20Burnable, Ownable are known contracts that are well audited and considered secure.



Contract DAOToken defines following public parameters:

- string name
- string **symbol**
- uint8 constant decimals that is set to 18
- uint256 cap

Contract DAOToken has 2 functions:

- constructor sets name, symbol and cap
- mint public function that mints tokens to account. Has onlyOwner modifier

Reputation.sol

Reputation is the contract that manages the reputation for DAO users.

Reputation contract is Ownable.

Ownable is access control contract that defines owner role and considered secure.

Contract Reputation defines following parameters and structures:

- uint8 public decimals that is set to 18
- struct Checkpoint that stores uint128 fromBlock, uint128 value
- private mapping (address => Checkpoint[]) balances
- Checkpoint[] private totalSupplyHistory



Contract Reputation has 8 functions:

- mint public function that mints tokens to account. Has onlyOwner modifier
- burn public function that burns tokens from account. Has onlyOwner modifier
- totalSupply public view function that returns total amount of reputation on the current block
- balanceOf public view function that returns account's amount of reputation on the current block
- totalSupplyAt public view function that returns total amount of reputation on the specified block
- balanceOfAt public view function that returns account's amount of reputation on the specified block
- **getValueAt** internal view function that returns the amount of reputation in specified block for the checkpoints array
- updateValueAtNow internal function that updates reputation
 amount for checkpoint array

GenericScheme.sol

GenericScheme is the contract that responsible for proposing and executing calls for organization avatar.

GenericScheme contract imports IntVoteInterface.sol, VotingMachineCallbacksInterface.sol, VotingMachineCallbacks.sol that are considered secure.



Contract GenericScheme is VotingMachineCallbacks, ProposalExecuteInterface.

Contract **GenericScheme** defines following parameters and structures:

- struct CallProposal that stores bytes callData, uint256 value, bool exist, bool passed
- public mapping (bytes32=>CallProposal) organizationProposals
- public IntVoteInterface votingMachine
- public bytes32 voteParams
- public address contractToCall
- public Avatar avatar;

Contract GenericScheme has 4 functions:

- initialize external function that initializes contract parameters with specified values
- executeProposal external function that marks proposal as passed or deletes it by voting machine contract. Has onlyVotingMachine(_proposalId) modifier
- execute public function that executes the proposal approved by voting machine
- proposeCall public function that creates new proposal



LockingSGT4Reputation.sol

LockingSGT4Reputation is the contract that responsible for minting and burning reputation.

LockingSGT4Reputation contract imports IERC20.sol, SafeMath.sol, Controller.sol, Agreement.sol that are considered secure.

Contract LockingSGT4Reputation is Agreement that defines following parameters and structures:

- struct Locker that stores uint256 amount, uint256 releaseTime
- public Avatar avatar
- public IERC20 sgtToken
- public mapping (address => Locker) lockers
- public uint256 totalLocked
- public uint256 minLockingPeriod

Contract LockingSGT4Reputation has 3 functions:

- release public function that releases locked tokens and burns reputation
- lock public function that locks tokens and mints reputation. Has onlyAgree(_agreementHash) modifier
- initialize public function that initializes contract parameters with specified values



ContributionReward.sol

ContributionReward is the contract that responsible for proposing and rewarding contributions to organizations.

ContributionReward contract imports IntVoteInterface.sol, VotingMachineCallbacksInterface.sol, UniversalScheme.sol and VotingMachineCallbacks.sol that are considered secure.

contract ContributionReward is UniversalScheme, VotingMachineCallbacks, ProposalExecuteInterface.

Contract ContributionReward defines following parameters and structures:

- struct ContributionProposal that stores uint256 ethReward, IERC20 externalToken, uint256 externalTokenReward, address payable beneficiary, uint256 periodLength, uint256 numberOfPeriods, uint256 executionTime, uint256[4] redeemedPeriods
- public mapping (address => mapping (bytes32 => ContributionProposal)) organizationsProposals
- struct Parameters that stores bytes32 voteApproveParams,
 IntVoteInterface intVote
- public mapping (bytes32 => Parameters) parameters

Contract ContributionReward has 14 functions:

 executeProposal - external function that executes proposal by voting machine. Has onlyVotingMachine(_proposalId) modifier



- **setParameters** public function that saves new parameters
- proposeContributionReward public function that submits new proposal for rewarding the contributor
- redeemEther public function that allows contributor to redeem Ether
- redeemExternalToken public function that allows contributor to redeem specified ERC20 token
- redeem public function that allows contributor to redeem all rewards
- getPeriodsToPay public view function that returns number
 of periods left to pay the specified reward
- **getRedeemedPeriods** public view function that returns number of periods that were already payed of the specified reward
- getProposalEthReward public view function that returns the
 Ethereum reward for contribution
- getProposalExternalTokenReward public view function that
 returns the token reward for contribution
- getProposalExternalToken public view function that returns
 the address of external token that is reward for contribution
- getProposalExecutionTime public view function that returns
 execution time of the proposal
- getParametersHash public pure function that returns the
 hash of specified parameters



• validateProposalParams - private pure function that validates the params of proposal

Fee.sol

Fee is the contract that responsible for storing DAO fees.

Fee contract is Ownable.

Ownable is access control contract that defines owner role and considered secure.

Contract Fee defines following parameters, modifiers and structures:

- public uint256 listingFee
- public uint256 transactionFee
- public uint256 validationFee
- public uint256 membershipFee
- public uint256 decimals
- modifier isInteger that checks whether value is divisible by
 10 ** 18

Contract Fee has 5 functions:

- constructor sets listingFee, transactionFee, validationFee
 and membershipFee
- setListingFee public function that sets new listingFee.
 Has onlyOwner modifier



- setTransactionFee public function that sets new transactionFee. Has onlyOwner modifier
- setValidationFee public function that sets new validationFee. Has onlyOwner modifier
- setMembershipFee public function that sets new membershipFee. Has onlyOwner modifier
- setFees public function that sets all fees to new values.
 Has onlyOwner modifier

MembershipFeeStaking.sol

MembershipFeeStaking is the contract that responsible for locking and unlocking ERC20 token.

MembershipFeeStaking contract imports IERC20.sol, SafeMath.sol that are considered secure.

Contract MembershipFeeStaking defines following parameters and structures:

- struct Locker that stores uint256 amount, uint256 releaseTime
- public IERC20 sgtToken
- public mapping (address => Locker) lockers
- public uint256 totalLocked
- public uint256 minLockingPeriod

Contract MembershipFeeStaking has 3 functions:

• release - public function that releases locked tokens



- lock public function that locks tokens
- initialize public function that initializes contract parameters with specified values

Audit overview

Critical

No critical issues were found.

High

No high issues were found.

Medium

1. No gas optimizations for ContributionReward contract. DAOStack's contract was changed by adding 2 checks for proposal validation - proposal can't change reputation; proposal can't reward contributor with SGT token. However, other functionality for reputation change and SGT token reward is present in the contract.

For example, redeemReputation and redeemNativeToken will always fail and just burn gas if called; redeem function may call redeemReputation and redeemNativeToken, which will just burn gas.

Moreover, each ContributionProposal stores reputationChange, nativeTokenReward, redeemedPeriods for reputation and SGT token, however, this functionality is disabled so caller would spend extra gas to store constant values. Altogether they need memory for 3 uint256 and 1 int256.

Note. Fixed in 221b0ec

2. totalLocked amount is never decreased in MembershipFeeStaking.sol and LockingSGT4Reputation.sol. When release function is called, totalLocked should be decreased by number of tokens sent to caller.

Note. Fixed in 221b0ec

Low

- 3. Solidity version is not locked to the latest stable version. There are also contracts that have different compiler versions in pragma. It is recommended to lock the pragma.
- 4. The main contract in LockingSGT4Reputation.sol is called MembershipFeeStaking (same name as contract in MembershipFeeStaking.sol). It's recommended to change the contract name to be same as *.sol file name.

Note. Fixed in 221b0ec

5. Comment documentation of release (MembershipFeeStaking.sol line 31; LockingSGT4Reputation.sol line 33) and lock (MembershipFeeStaking.sol line 61; LockingSGT4Reputation.sol line 71) functions says that these functions should return bool, however, they don't return anything. It's recommended to align functionality with documentation.

Note. Fixed in 221b0ec

Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

6. proposeContributionReward function comment description misses @param _externalToken (line 146), however, it is present in DAOStack version of the code.



7. Fee.sol contract is not documented in the code. It's recommended to add comments that document smart contract functionality.

Note. Fixed in 221b0ec

8. Decimals parameter in Fee.sol is declared, however, never used.

Note. Decimals parameter is needed for compatibility with other services

Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope was manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract high level description of functionality was presented in As-is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Overall quality of reviewed contracts is good. Security engineers found several medium to low issues that were fixed before secondary security review. Only 1 low and 1 best practice issues were found in final code that can't have serious security impact.

Disclaimers

Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

