Benchmarking with R/SpaDES R 3.1.1

Eliot McIntire

October 7, 2014

The objective of this file is to show some speed comparisons between R and C++ and in some cases, other languages or software. Clearly this is NOT a comparison between R and C++ because many of the functions in R are written in C++ and wrapped in R. This document shows three important points:

- 1. built-in R functions (written in R or C++ or any other language) are often faster than ad hoc C++ functions because they are optimized.
- 2. built-in R functions are to be used in a vectorized way, avoiding loops unless it is strictly necessary to keep the sequence
- 3. there are often different ways to do the same thing in R; some are much faster than others

Tests are done on an HP Z400, Xeon 3.33 GHz processor, running Windows 7 Enterprise

Low level functionality

We will begin with low level functions that are generally highly optimized in R. As a result, the comparison C++ functions, which are not optimized, may not fully represent what C++ could do. However, this represents a real world issue: if the "out of the box" R function is competitive with a "quick" C++ version, then the R version is easier to write as there is no further development. If there is a desire or need for more speed, then a more optimized C++ version can be written and used either in native C++ applications or R.

```
library(data.table)
library(microbenchmark)
library(Rcpp)
library(numbers)
library(magrittr) # for pipe used below
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'magrittr'
##
## The following object is masked from 'package:numbers':
##
## mod
```

```
path <- "~/GitHub/SpaDES/SAMPLE/Functions in progress/"
setwd(path)
sourceCpp(file="meanCPP.cpp")</pre>
```

```
#include <Rcpp.h>
using namespace Rcpp;
// [[Rcpp::export]]
double meanC1(NumericVector x) {
  int n = x.size();
  double total = 0;
  for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    total += x[i];
  return total / n;
}
// [[Rcpp::export]]
double meanC2(NumericVector x) {
  int n = x.size();
  double y = 0;
  for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    y += x[i] / n;
  return y;
// [[Rcpp::export]]
NumericVector f2(NumericVector x) {
  int n = x.size();
  NumericVector out(n);
  out[0] = x[0];
  for(int i = 1; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    out[i] = out[i - 1] + x[i];
  return out;
}
// [[Rcpp::export]]
bool f3(LogicalVector x) {
  int n = x.size();
  for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    if (x[i]) return true;
  }
  return false;
// [[Rcpp::export]]
```

```
int f4(Function pred, List x) {
  int n = x.size();
  for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    LogicalVector res = pred(x[i]);
    if (res[0]) return i + 1;
  }
  return 0;
}
// [[Rcpp::export]]
NumericVector pminC(NumericVector x, NumericVector y) {
  int n = std::max(x.size(), y.size());
  NumericVector x1 = rep_len(x, n);
  NumericVector y1 = rep len(y, n);
  NumericVector out(n);
  for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {</pre>
    out[i] = std::min(x1[i], y1[i]);
  return out;
}
// [[Rcpp::export]]
int fibonacciC(const int x) {
    if (x == 0 || x == 1) return(x);
    return (fibonacciC(x - 1)) + fibonacciC(x - 2);
}
```

For the mean, I show two different C++ versiopns. The R function, "mean" is somewhat slower (1/2x), but the .Primitive option in R, sum/length is faster than either C++ function.

Mean

```
## Unit: microseconds
                     expr
                            min
                                    lq
                                         mean median
                                                          uq
          a <- meanC1(x) 92.47 93.69 95.94 94.62
                                                         97.07
                                                               109.4
           d <- meanC2(x) 662.31 663.85 669.75 665.85 669.53 723.8
             b <- mean(x) 194.15 195.84 202.22 198.45 204.13 268.2
##
     e <- mean.default(x) 183.09 184.01 188.53 184.93 188.31
                                                               245.1
##
     g \leftarrow sum(x)/length(x) 91.55
                                 92.77
                                         94.64 93.08
                                                        94.31
                                                               146.2
##
   i <- .Internal(mean(x)) 180.94 181.55 185.73 181.86 183.55
##
                                                               247.9
        h <- rowMeans(x1) 1059.21 1123.42 2112.82 1193.92 1796.02 38799.4
##
   neval
     100
     100
##
     100
##
     100
     100
##
##
     100
     100
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

Minimum of pair of numbers

Below, we take the minimum of each of a pair of columns.

```
x2 <- rnorm(1e5)
rm(a, b, d)
(mb<-microbenchmark(a<-pminC(x,x2),b<-base::pmin(x,x2), d<-.Internal(pmin(x,x2))))</pre>
```

```
## Unit: nanoseconds
##
                                  min
                                           lq
                                                 mean median
                          expr
##
             a <- pminC(x, x2) 1247830 1312802 4184799 2101834 2493662
        b <- base::pmin(x, x2) 2929726 2966129 3572383 3006987 3097302
   d \leftarrow .Internal(pmin(x, x2)) 0
                                          308
                                                 1373 1229
                                                              1844
        max neval
   41742071
             100
   41917479
             100
##
       7066
             100
```

```
print(pmins<-round(summary(mb)[[4]][1]/min(summary(mb)[[4]][3]),0))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 3047
```

```
all.equal(a,b,d)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

The internal R function is 3047x faster than the C++ version, or the base R version.

This is taken from a blog post by Wingfeet at http://www.r-bloggers.com/quicksort-speed-just-in-time-compiling-and-vectorizing/ (http://www.r-bloggers.com/quicksort-speed-just-in-time-compiling-and-vectorizing/) which drew on benchmark tests here: http://julialang.org/ (http://julialang.org/) Essentially, this was a benchmark to test the speed of Julia. It shows for the Quicksort, that R is 524x slower than C. Below is a "simple" version, then the best, fastest version that Wingfeet was able to do. But, there was no explicit comparison of how the base R sort would match with C.

Sorting

Real number sorting:

```
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                           expr
                                                    min
                                                             lq
                                                                   mean
                                 a0 <- qsort(x) 3206.49 3206.49 3206.49
##
                                  a \leftarrow wfqsx(x) 1401.15 1401.15 1401.15
##
                                  b <- wfqs1(x) 1290.98 1290.98 1290.98
##
                                   d <- sort(x) 11.79 11.79
##
##
                 e \leftarrow sort(x, method = "quick") 8.45 8.45 8.45
   f \leftarrow .Internal(sort(x, decreasing = FALSE)) 11.28 11.28 11.28
##
              g \leftarrow data.table(x = x, key = "x") 34.26 34.26 34.26
##
    median
                       max neval
##
                uq
   3206.49 3206.49 3206.49
##
   1401.15 1401.15 1401.15
##
   1290.98 1290.98 1290.98
##
     11.79 11.79 11.79
##
      8.45 8.45
                    8.45
##
     11.28 11.28 11.28
                                1
                    34.26
##
      34.26 34.26
                                1
```

```
print(sumReals<-round(summary(mb)[[4]][1]/min(summary(mb)[[4]][4:7]),0))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 379

all.equalV(a0,a,b,d,e,f,g$x)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

And Integers are faster in the low-level R functions:

```
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                          expr
                                                   min
                                                             lq
                                                                    mean
                                a0 <- qsort(x) 3368.443 3368.443 3368.443
##
                                 a <- wfqsx(x) 1083.840 1083.840 1083.840
##
                                 b <- wfqs1(x) 967.885 967.885 967.885
##
                                  d <- sort(x) 10.764 10.764 10.764
##
                e <- sort(x, method = "quick")
                                                 6.811
                                                         6.811
                                                                  6.811
##
   f \leftarrow .Internal(sort(x, decreasing = FALSE)) 10.410 10.410 10.410
             g \leftarrow data.table(x = x, key = "x")
##
                                                 3.222
                                                          3.222
                                                                  3.222
                          max neval
##
     median
                  uq
   3368.443 3368.443 3368.443
##
   1083.840 1083.840 1083.840
##
    967.885 967.885 967.885
                                 1
##
     10.764 10.764 10.764
##
##
      6.811 6.811 6.811
     10.410 10.410 10.410
                                 1
##
##
      3.222 3.222 3.222
                                 1
```

```
print(sumInts <- round(summary(mb)[[4]][1]/min(summary(mb)[[4]][4:7]),0))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1045

all.equalV(a0,a,b,d,e,f,g$x)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

The first three function are 3 different implementations of the quicksort algorithm shown on the Julia pages, with the first, qsort, being the one that the Julia testers used. Using the data table sorting we were able to achieve **483x** speedup if Reals, and **1045x** speedup if integers. These put them as fast or faster than C or Fortran or Julia. In Wingfeet's blog post, he also showed that using JIT can speed up non-optimized, "procedural" R code, though not as fast as the low level functions that exist in various R packages.

Fibonacci

```
fibR1 = function(n) {
    fib <- numeric(n)
    fib[1:2] <- c(1, 2)
    for (k in 3:n) {
         fib[k] \leftarrow fib[k-1] + fib[k-2]
    }
    return(fib)
}
fibR2 = function(n) {
     if (n < 2) {
          return(n)
     } else {
          return (fibR2 (n-1) + fibR2 (n-2))
     }
}
N = 20L
(mbFib <- microbenchmark(times=10L, a<-numbers::fibonacci(N, sequence=TRUE)[N],
                            b \leftarrow fibC(N+1), d \leftarrow fibR1(N)[N], e \leftarrow fibR2(N+1))
```

```
## Unit: microseconds
##
                                                 min lq
                                         expr
                                                                  mean
##
   a <- numbers::fibonacci(N, sequence = TRUE)[N]
                                                76.18
                                                        78.03 143.21
##
                              b \leftarrow fibC(N + 1) 54.99 55.60
                                                                 67.25
                              d <- fibR1(N)[N]</pre>
                                                38.40
                                                         43.31
##
                                                                 56.89
                              e <- fibR2(N + 1) 91362.18 91750.78 92831.31
##
    median uq max neval
##
     92.62 129.02 563.4
                              10
##
     62.36 70.96 112.7
                             10
##
     46.54 60.52 125.6
##
                             10
   92405.57 93337.75 96530.7
```

```
all.equalV(a,b,d, e)

## [1] TRUE
```

Here, one of the two native R implementations is **1632x faster** by pre-allocating the output vector size. The fibonacci function in the package numbers was 3x slower than the faster RR function because it has error checking. *The native* **C++** *version was 0.85x slower*.

Loops

Loops have been the achilles heel of R in the past. In version 3.1 and forward, much of this appears to be gone. As could be seen in the fibonacci example, pre-allocating a vector and filling it up inside a loop can now be very fast and efficient in native R. To demonstrate these points, below are 6 ways to achieve the same result in R, beginning with a naive loop approach, and working up to the fully vectorized approach. I am using a very fast vectorized function, seq_len, to emphasize the differences between using loops and optimized vectorized functions.

```
N = 2e4
(mb = microbenchmark(times=4L,
naiveVector <- {</pre>
  set.seed(104)
  a <- numeric()</pre>
    for (i in 1:N) {
      a[i] = runif(1)+1
   а
  } ,
presetVector1 <- {</pre>
    set.seed(104)
   norms <- runif(N)
    # pre-allocating vector length, generating normal random numbers once in each loop
    b <- numeric(N)
    for (i in 1:N) {
     b[i] = norms[i]+1
    b
  },
presetVector2 <- {</pre>
      set.seed(104)
      b <- runif(N)
      sapply(b, function(x) x)
presetVector3 <- {</pre>
      set.seed(104)
      # pipe operator means that no intermediate objects are created
      num <- numeric(1)</pre>
      b <- runif(N) %>%
        sapply(., function(x) x)
      },
vectorized1 <- {</pre>
  # vectorized with intermediate object
    set.seed(104)
   norms <- runif(N)
    d <- norms
    d
  },
vectorized2 <- {</pre>
  set.seed(104)
  # no intermediate object
  runif(N)
  }
))
```

```
## Unit: milliseconds
                                         expr
                                                                                      for (i in 1:
##
                            naiveVector <- {</pre>
                                                 set.seed(104)
                                                                   a <- numeric()
N) {
            a[i] = runif(1) + 1
                                    }
                                          a }
   presetVector1 <- {</pre>
                          set.seed(104)
                                                                                      for (i in 1:
                                          norms <- runif(N) b <- numeric(N)
            b[i] = norms[i] + 1
N)
                                    }
                                          b }
##
                                                           presetVector2 <- {</pre>
                                                                                  set.seed(104)
 b <- runif(N)
                   sapply(b, function(x) x) }
##
                                     presetVector3 <- {</pre>
                                                          set.seed(104)
                                                                              num <- numeric(1)</pre>
 b <- runif(N) %>% sapply(., function(x) x) }
##
                                                                 vectorized1 <- {
                                                                                      set.seed(104
                          d <- norms
)
     norms <- runif(N)
                                          d }
##
                                                                                               vec
torized2 <- {
                 set.seed(104)
                                   runif(N) }
##
        min
                  la
                                median
                                             uq
                                                     max neval
                         mean
    1453.452 1495.922 1526.991 1545.417 1558.060 1563.677
      56.217
              56.334
                       57.916
                                56.595
                                         59.498
                                                  62.258
     31.837 32.242 34.854 34.597 37.465
                                                  38.383
##
     31.644 31.951 34.963 34.749 37.974 38.709
                                                             4
     1.071 1.071 1.095 1.084 1.118 1.142
##
                                                             4
##
      1.019 1.041 1.058 1.066 1.076
                                                 1.082
                                                             4
all.equalV(naiveVector, presetVector1, presetVector2, presetVector3, vectorized1, vectorized2)
## Warning: coercing argument of type 'character' to logical
```

```
## Warning: coercing argument of type 'character' to logical

## [1] NA

print(sumLoops <- round(summary(mb)[[4]][1]/summary(mb)[[4]][length(summary(mb)[[4]])],0))</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1443
```

The fully vectorized function is **1443x** faster than the fully naive loop. Note also that making as few intermediate objects as possible is faster as well. Comparing vectorized1 and vectorized2 shows an improvement of 100%. **Preallocating a vector** improved by **26x**. Using pipes instead of inter

Conclusions

In all cases shown here, the fastest native R function is faster than a simple (unoptimized) C or C++ function. As with any language, there is faster code and slower code. With R, it may take a few tries, but there is usually a very fast option.

Clearly, low level speed can be achieved within R, often better than quick implementations in C or C++. This is because efforts have been made in primitives and internal functions with core R functions to provide optimal versions, without any extra user coding. Many work flows to not require explicit loops. R's vectorization model allows for fast code, with little coding. *Write vectorized code in R*

High level functionality

R also has numerous high level functions and packages that allow users to do a diversity of analyses and data manipulations, from GIS to MCMC to optimally stored file-based object storing for fast access (ff package), and much more. Here are a few examples.

GIS

```
library(raster)
## Loading required package: sp
  lcc05 <- raster("c:/shared/LCC2005 V1 4a.tif")</pre>
## rgdal: version: 0.9-1, (SVN revision 518)
## Geospatial Data Abstraction Library extensions to R successfully loaded
## Loaded GDAL runtime: GDAL 1.11.0, released 2014/04/16
## Path to GDAL shared files: C:/Eliot/R/win-library/3.1/rgdal/gdal
## GDAL does not use iconv for recoding strings.
## Loaded PROJ.4 runtime: Rel. 4.8.0, 6 March 2012, [PJ_VERSION: 480]
## Path to PROJ.4 shared files: C:/Eliot/R/win-library/3.1/rgdal/proj
  age <- raster("c:/shared/age.tif")</pre>
ext1 <- extent(-1073154,-987285,7438423,7512480) # small central Sask 100 Thousand
vegMapLcc1 <- crop(lcc05,ext1)</pre>
ext2 <- extent(1612240, 1895057, 6756615, 6907451) # small 600k pixels Quebec City Lac St. Jean
vegMapLcc2 <- crop(lcc05,ext2)</pre>
ext3 <- extent(-1380607, -345446, 7211410, 7971750) # large central BC 12Million
vegMapLcc3 <- crop(lcc05,ext3)</pre>
## 12 cores detected
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
```

```
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                                                                    expr
   vegMapLcc1.crsAge <- projectRaster(vegMapLcc1, crs = crs(age),</pre>
                                                                         method = "ngb")
##
   vegMapLcc2.crsAge <- projectRaster(vegMapLcc2, crs = crs(age),</pre>
                                                                         method = "ngb")
##
   vegMapLcc3.crsAge <- projectRaster(vegMapLcc3, crs = crs(age),</pre>
##
                                                                         method = "ngb")
                      mean median
       min
                 lq
                                                max neval
##
                                         uq
             706.2
                     706.2
     706.2
                             706.2
                                      706.2
                                              706.2
##
    4007.4 4007.4 4007.4 4007.4 4007.4 4007.4
   25955.8 25955.8 25955.8 25955.8 25955.8
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                           expr
                                                  min
                                                          lq mean median
   age1.crsAge <- crop(age, vegMapLcc1.crsAge) 143.6 143.6 143.6 143.6
##
   age2.crsAge <- crop(age, vegMapLcc2.crsAge) 160.9 160.9 160.9 160.9
##
   age3.crsAge <- crop(age, vegMapLcc3.crsAge) 336.4 336.4 336.4 336.4
##
           max neval
   143.6 143.6
   160.9 160.9
   336.4 336.4
                    1
## 12 cores detected
## Warning: closing unused connection 16 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 15 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 14 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 13 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 12 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 11 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 10 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 9 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 8 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 7 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
## Warning: closing unused connection 6 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)
```

```
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
## Using cluster with 12 nodes
```

Warning: closing unused connection 5 (<-W-VIC-A105200.nrn.nrcan.gc.ca:11356)

```
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                                                       expr
   ageMapSmall <- projectRaster(age1.crsAge, to = vegMapLcc1, method = "ngb")
##
     ageMapMed <- projectRaster(age2.crsAge, to = vegMapLcc2, method = "ngb")
##
      ageMapLg <- projectRaster(age3.crsAge, to = vegMapLcc3, method = "ngb")</pre>
##
                lq
                   mean median
                                            max neval
##
       min
                                     uq
     704.2
            704.2
                   704.2
                            704.2
                                    704.2
                                           704.2
##
     857.8 857.8
                   857.8
                            857.8
                                    857.8
                                           857.8
##
                                                      1
   25682.8 25682.8 25682.8 25682.8 25682.8
```

Since the raster package can run in "parallel" mode for some of its functions, this reproject raster function reprojected **12 million pixels** in **26 seconds** on a 6 core, hyperthreaded machine (shows up as 12 cores), with a peak 600MB RAM use per core (7.2GB).