# MCMC Convergence Diagnostics - Mathematical Formulation and Hand Calculations

# 1. Introduction to MCMC Convergence

MCMC algorithms generate samples from a target distribution, but we need to ensure the chains have converged to the stationary distribution. Key diagnostics include:

- 1. R-hat (Potential Scale Reduction Factor): Compares between-chain and within-chain variance
- 2. Effective Sample Size (ESS): Accounts for autocorrelation in the chain

#### 2. R-hat Statistic

The R-hat statistic is defined as:

$$\hat{R}^2 = (S=1)/S + (1/S)(B/W)$$

where:

- S = number of samples per chain (after warmup)
- B = between-chain variance
- W = within-chain variance

# **Computing B and W:**

Within-chain variance:

$$W = (1/M) \sum_{i=1}^{M} S_{i}^{2}$$

where  $s_i^2$  is the variance of chain i:

$$S^{2}_{i} = (1/(S-1)) \Sigma_{j=1}^{s} (\theta_{ij} - \theta_{i}^{-})^{2}$$

#### **Between-chain variance:**

$$B = (S/(M-1)) \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\theta_i - \theta_i)^2$$

where:

M = number of chains

- $\theta_{ij} = j$ -th sample from chain i
- $\theta_i$  = mean of chain i
- $\theta$  = overall mean across all chains

## Interpretation:

- $\hat{R}$  = 1: Chains have converged
- $\hat{R} > 1$ : Chains have not fully converged
- Typical threshold:  $\hat{R}$  < 1.1 (or < 1.01 for critical applications)

## 3. Effective Sample Size

The ESS accounts for autocorrelation:

```
ESS = S / (1 + 2\Sigma_{t=1}^{\infty} \rho_t)
```

where  $\rho_t$  is the autocorrelation at lag t:

```
\rho_t = Cor(\theta_i, \theta_{i+t})
```

#### **Computing autocorrelation:**

```
\rho_t = (1/(S-t)) \Sigma_{i=1}^{(S-t)} (\theta_i - \theta)(\theta_{i+t} - \theta) / \sigma^2
```

## 4. Hand Calculation Example

Let's compute R and ESS for a simple example with:

- M = 2 chains
- S = 5 samples per chain
- Single parameter θ

#### Data:

```
Chain 1: [1.2, 1.4, 1.1, 1.3, 1.2]
Chain 2: [1.8, 1.6, 1.9, 1.7, 1.5]
```

# **Step 1: Compute chain means**

```
\theta_{1}^{-} = (1.2 + 1.4 + 1.1 + 1.3 + 1.2) / 5 = 1.24

\theta_{2}^{-} = (1.8 + 1.6 + 1.9 + 1.7 + 1.5) / 5 = 1.70

\theta^{-} = (1.24 + 1.70) / 2 = 1.47
```

## **Step 2: Compute within-chain variances**

```
s^{2}_{1} = [(1.2-1.24)^{2} + (1.4-1.24)^{2} + (1.1-1.24)^{2} + (1.3-1.24)^{2} + (1.2-1.24)^{2}] / 4
= [0.0016 + 0.0256 + 0.0196 + 0.0036 + 0.0016] / 4
= 0.013
s^{2}_{2} = [(1.8-1.70)^{2} + (1.6-1.70)^{2} + (1.9-1.70)^{2} + (1.7-1.70)^{2} + (1.5-1.70)^{2}] / 4
= [0.01 + 0.01 + 0.04 + 0.00 + 0.04] / 4
= 0.0225
```

#### **Step 3: Compute W and B**

```
W = (s^{2}_{1} + s^{2}_{2}) / 2 = (0.013 + 0.0225) / 2 = 0.01775
B = S/(M-1) \times \Sigma_{1}(\theta_{1}^{-} - \theta_{2}^{-})
= 5/1 \times [(1.24-1.47)^{2} + (1.70-1.47)^{2}]
= 5 \times [0.0529 + 0.0529]
= 5 \times 0.1058
= 0.529
```

## **Step 4: Compute R**

```
\hat{R}^2 = (S-1)/S + (1/S)(B/W)
= 4/5 + (1/5)(0.529/0.01775)
= 0.8 + 0.2 \times 29.8
= 0.8 + 5.96
= 6.76
\hat{R} = \sqrt{6.76} = 2.60
```

This indicates poor convergence ( $\hat{R} > 1.1$ ).

# **Step 5: Compute ESS (for one chain)**

For Chain 1, compute autocorrelations:

```
Lag 0: \rho_0 = 1.0 (always)
Lag 1: \rho_1 = [(1.2-1.24)(1.4-1.24) + (1.4-1.24)(1.1-1.24) + ...] / (4 × 0.013)
```

Simplified example with  $\rho_1 = 0.3$ ,  $\rho_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\rho_3 = 0$ :

```
ESS = 5 / (1 + 2(0.3 + 0.1))
= 5 / (1 + 0.8)
= 5 / 1.8
= 2.78
```

This means our 5 samples have the statistical power of about 2.78 independent samples.

## 5. Bimodal Distribution Example

For the mixture distribution:

```
p(x) = 0.5 \times N(x|-3, 4) + 0.5 \times N(x|1, 2)
```

The theoretical moments are:

```
E[x] = 0.5 \times (-3) + 0.5 \times 1 = -1

E[x^2] = 0.5 \times (9 + 4) + 0.5 \times (1 + 2) = 8

Var[x] = E[x^2] - (E[x])^2 = 8 - 1 = 7
```

With multiple chains, we can assess whether all chains explore both modes.

# 6. Change Point Detection Model

#### **Model Structure:**

```
c ~ Uniform(1, N)

\lambda_1 ~ Gamma(\alpha, \beta)

\lambda_2 ~ Gamma(\alpha, \beta)

x_1 ~ Poisson(\lambda_1) if i \leq C

x_1 ~ Poisson(\lambda_2) if i > C
```

# **Log Joint Distribution:**

```
log p(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, c) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{\epsilon} log p(x<sub>i</sub>|\lambda_1) + \Sigma_{i=}^{\epsilon}+1 log p(x<sub>i</sub>|\lambda_2) + log p(\lambda_1) + log p(\lambda_2) + log p(c)
```

## **Gibbs Sampling Updates:**

#### **Update λ₁:**

$$\lambda_1 \mid x, \lambda_2, c \sim Gamma(\alpha + \Sigma_{i=1}^c x_i, \beta + c)$$

## Update λ₂:

$$\lambda_2 \mid X_1, C \sim Gamma(\alpha + \Sigma_{1=C+1}^{N} X_1, \beta + N - C)$$

## Update c:

$$p(c \mid x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto exp[\Sigma_{i=1}^c x_i \log(\lambda_1) - c \times \lambda_1 + \Sigma_{i=1}^c \times \lambda_1 \log(\lambda_2) - (N-c) \times \lambda_2]$$

## **Small Example:**

Data: [3, 2, 1, 5, 6, 7] (N = 6) Parameters:  $\alpha$  = 1,  $\beta$  = 1

#### **Initial values:**

- $c^{(0)} = 3$
- $\lambda_1^{(0)} = 2$
- $\lambda_2^{(0)} = 5$

#### **Iteration 1:**

1. Update λ<sub>1</sub>:

$$\alpha' = 1 + (3 + 2 + 1) = 7$$
  
 $\beta' = 1 + 3 = 4$   
 $\lambda_1^{(1)} \sim Gamma(7, 4)$ 

2. Update λ₂:

$$\alpha' = 1 + (5 + 6 + 7) = 19$$
  
 $\beta' = 1 + 3 = 4$   
 $\lambda_2^{(1)} \sim Gamma(19, 4)$ 

3. Update c: Compute probabilities for each possible c and sample.

This process continues until convergence, assessed using  $\hat{R}$  and ESS.