Bayesian Linear Regression: Complete Hand Calculation Example

Problem Setup

We'll work through a simple linear regression problem by hand:

- Model: $y = a + bx + \varepsilon$
- Prior: $(w \sim N(0, (1/\alpha)I))$ where $(w = [a, b]^T)$
- Noise: $\left(\epsilon \sim N(0, 1/\beta)\right)$

Data

We have just 2 data points to keep calculations manageable:

- Point 1: $(x_1, y_1) = (0, 1)$
- Point 2: $(x_2, y_2) = (1, 3)$

Hyperparameters

- $\alpha = 1$ (prior precision)
- $\beta = 4$ (noise precision, so $\sigma^2 = 0.25$)

Step 1: Set Up Design Matrix

The design matrix Φ includes a column of ones (for intercept) and x values:

$$\Phi = [1 \ x_1] = [1 \ 0]$$
... $[1 \ x_2] = [1 \ 1]$

Step 2: Set Up Prior

Prior distribution: $(w \sim N(m_0, S_0))$

- Mean: $[m_0 = [0, 0]^T]$
- Covariance: $S_0 = (1/\alpha)I = (1/1)I = I$

$$S_0 = [1 \ 0]$$

Inverse: $S_0^{-1} = \alpha I = I$

Step 3: Calculate Φ^T Φ

```
\Phi^{T} = [1 \ 1]
.... [0 1]
\Phi^{T} \Phi = [1 \ 1] \times [1 \ 0] = [1 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 \ 1 \times 0 + 1 \times 1] = [2 \ 1]
.... [0 1] ... [1 1] ... [0 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 \ 0 \times 0 + 1 \times 1] ... [1 1]
```

Step 4: Calculate Posterior Covariance

Formula: $S = (S_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi^T \Phi)^{-1}$

First, calculate $(S_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi^T \Phi)$:

$$S_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi^T \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now invert this 2×2 matrix:

$$S^{-1} = [9 \ 4]$$

$$[4 \ 5]$$

$$det(S^{-1}) = 9 \times 5 - 4 \times 4 = 45 - 16 = 29$$

$$S = (1/29) \times [5 \ -4] = [5/29 \ -4/29]$$

$$[-4 \ 9] \quad [-4/29 \ 9/29]$$

Numerically:

$$S = [0.172 -0.138]$$

[-0.138 0.310]

Step 5: Calculate Φ^T y

$$y = [1]$$
... [3]
$$\Phi^{T} y = [1 \ 1] \times [1] = [1 \times 1 + 1 \times 3] = [4]$$
[0 1] [3] [0 \times 1 + 1 \times 3] [3]

Step 6: Calculate Posterior Mean

Formula: $\left(m = S(S_0^{-1}m_0 + \beta \Phi^T y)\right)$

Since $(m_0 = 0)$, we have:

$$m = S(\beta \Phi^T y) = S \times 4 \times [4] = S \times [16]$$
[3] [12]

$$m = [5/29 -4/29] \times [16] = [(5\times16 - 4\times12)/29] = [(80-48)/29] = [32/29]$$

 $[-4/29 9/29] [12] [(-4\times16 + 9\times12)/29] [(-64+108)/29] [44/29]$

Numerically:

```
m = [1.103] [1.517]
```

Interpretation

The posterior mean gives us:

• Intercept (a): 1.103

• Slope (b): 1.517

So our estimated line is: y = 1.103 + 1.517x

Step 7: Make a Prediction

Let's predict at $x^* = 0.5$:

$$\phi^* = [1, 0.5]$$

Mean Prediction

$$\mu^* = \phi^{*T} m = [1, 0.5] \times [1.103] = 1.103 + 0.5 \times 1.517 = 1.862$$

Prediction Variance

Function variance:

```
\sigma^2_{\times} = \Phi^{*T} S \Phi^*
```

First calculate (Sφ*):

```
S\phi^* = [0.172 - 0.138] \times [1 ] = [0.172 - 0.069] = [0.103]
[-0.138 0.310] [0.5] [-0.138 + 0.155] [0.017]
```

Then:

```
\sigma^2_{x} = [1, 0.5] \times [0.103] = 0.103 + 0.5 \times 0.017 = 0.103 + 0.0085 = 0.1115
[0.017]
```

Predictive variance (including noise):

```
\sigma^2_{y} = \sigma^2_{x} + 1/\beta = 0.1115 + 1/4 = 0.1115 + 0.25 = 0.3615
```

Standard deviation: $\sigma_{\gamma} = \sqrt{0.3615} = 0.601$

Step 8: 95% Credible Intervals

For the parameters:

```
a: 1.103 ± 1.96×√0.172 = 1.103 ± 1.96×0.415 = 1.103 ± 0.813

→ [0.290, 1.916]

b: 1.517 ± 1.96×√0.310 = 1.517 ± 1.96×0.557 = 1.517 ± 1.092

→ [0.425, 2.609]
```

For the prediction at $x^* = 0.5$:

```
y^*: 1.862 ± 1.96×0.601 = 1.862 ± 1.178 
 \rightarrow [0.684, 3.040]
```

Summary

From just 2 data points:

- Estimated line: y = 1.103 + 1.517x
- Prediction at x=0.5: 1.862 ± 1.178 (95% CI)

- Intercept uncertainty: ±0.813
- Slope uncertainty: ±1.092

The calculations show how:

- 1. Prior information (S_0) combines with data information ($\beta\Phi^T\Phi$)
- 2. More data points would make S⁻¹ larger, reducing posterior variance
- 3. Predictions include both parameter uncertainty and noise

Verification Check

Let's verify our line passes near the data:

- At x=0: y = 1.103 (actual: 1) $\sqrt{ }$
- At x=1: y = 1.103 + 1.517 = 2.620 (actual: 3) $\sqrt{ }$

The slight differences are expected due to noise and prior influence.