

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

Benedikt Nordhoff      Peter Lammich

April 19, 2020

## Abstract

We implement and prove correct Dijkstra's algorithm for the single source shortest path problem, conceived in 1956 by E. Dijkstra. The algorithm is implemented using the data refinement framework for monadic, nondeterministic programs. An efficient implementation is derived using data structures from the Isabelle Collection Framework.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and Overview</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Miscellaneous Lemmas</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Graphs</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Definitions . . . . .	5
3.2	Basic operations on Graphs . . . . .	5
3.3	Paths . . . . .	6
3.3.1	Splitting Paths . . . . .	7
3.4	Weighted Graphs . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Weights for Dijkstra's Algorithm</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Type Classes Setup . . . . .	9
4.2	Adding Infinity . . . . .	10
4.2.1	Unboxing . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Dijkstra's Algorithm</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Graph's for Dijkstra's Algorithm . . . . .	12
5.2	Specification of Correct Result . . . . .	12
5.3	Dijkstra's Algorithm . . . . .	13
5.4	Structural Refinement of Update . . . . .	15
5.5	Refinement to Cached Weights . . . . .	16

<b>6</b>	<b>Graph Interface</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1	Adjacency Lists . . . . .	22
6.2	Record Based Interface . . . . .	22
6.3	Refinement Framework Bindings . . . . .	23
<b>7</b>	<b>Generic Algorithms for Graphs</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Implementing Graphs by Maps</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Graphs by Hashmaps</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Implementation of Dijkstra's-Algorithm using the ICF</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Implementation of Dijkstra's-Algorithm using Automatic De-</b>	
	<b>terminization</b>	<b>36</b>
11.1	Setup . . . . .	36
11.1.1	Infinity . . . . .	36
11.1.2	Graph . . . . .	38
11.2	Refinement . . . . .	39
<b>12</b>	<b>Performance Test</b>	<b>42</b>

## 1 Introduction and Overview

Dijkstra’s algorithm [1] is an algorithm used to find shortest paths from one given vertex to all other vertices in a non-negatively weighted graph.

The implementation of the algorithm is meant to be an application of our extensions to the Isabelle Collections Framework (ICF) [4, 6, 7]. Moreover, it serves as a test case for our data refinement framework [5]. We use ICF-Maps to efficiently represent the graph and result and the newly introduced unique priority queues for the work list.

For a documentation of the refinement framework see [5], that also contains a userguide and some simpler examples.

The development utilizes a stepwise refinement approach. Starting from an abstract algorithm that has a nice correctness proof, we stepwise refine the algorithm until we end up with an efficient implementation, for that we generate code using Isabelle/HOL’s code generator[2, 3].

**Structure of the Submission.** The abstract version of the algorithm with the correctness proof, as well as the main refinement steps are contained in the theory `Dijkstra`. The refinement steps involving the ICF and code generation are contained in `Dijkstra-Impl`. The theory `Infty` contains an extension of numbers with an infinity element. The theory `Graph` contains a formalization of graphs, paths, and related concepts. The theories `GraphSpec`, `GraphGA`, `GraphByMap`, `HashGraphImpl` contain an ICF-style specification of graphs. The theory `Test` contains a small performance test on random graphs. It uses the ML-code generated by the code generator.

## 2 Miscellaneous Lemmas

```
theory Dijkstra-Misc
imports Main
begin
  inductive-set least-map for f S where
     $\llbracket x \in S; \forall x' \in S. f\ x \leq f\ x' \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{least-map } f\ S$ 

  lemma least-map-subset: least-map f S  $\subseteq$  S
    <proof>

  lemmas least-map-elemD = subsetD[OF least-map-subset]

  lemma least-map-leD:
    assumes x  $\in$  least-map f S
    assumes y  $\in$  S
    shows f x  $\leq$  f y
    <proof>
```

```

lemma least-map-empty[simp]: least-map f {} = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma least-map-singleton[simp]: least-map (f::'a⇒'b::order) {x} = {x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma least-map-insert-min:
  fixes f::'a⇒'b::order
  assumes  $\forall y \in S. f\ x \leq f\ y$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{least-map } f\ (\text{insert } x\ S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma least-map-insert-nmin:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{least-map } f\ S; f\ x \leq f\ a \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{least-map } f\ (\text{insert } a\ S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context semilattice-inf
begin
  lemmas [simp] = inf-absorb1 inf-absorb2

  lemma inf-absorb-less[simp]:
     $a < b \implies \text{inf } a\ b = a$ 
     $a < b \implies \text{inf } b\ a = a$ 
    ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

### 3 Graphs

```

theory Graph
imports Main
begin

```

This theory defines a notion of graphs. A graph is a record that contains a set of nodes  $V$  and a set of labeled edges  $E \subseteq V \times W \times V$ , where  $W$  are the edge labels.

### 3.1 Definitions

A graph is represented by a record.

```
record ('v,'w) graph =
  nodes :: 'v set
  edges :: ('v × 'w × 'v) set
```

In a valid graph, edges only go from nodes to nodes.

```
locale valid-graph =
  fixes G :: ('v,'w) graph
  assumes E-valid: fst'edges G ⊆ nodes G
               snd'snd'edges G ⊆ nodes G
begin
  abbreviation V ≡ nodes G
  abbreviation E ≡ edges G
```

```
lemma E-validD: assumes (v,e,v') ∈ E
shows v ∈ V v' ∈ V
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**end**

### 3.2 Basic operations on Graphs

The empty graph.

```
definition empty where
  empty ≡ (| nodes = {}, edges = {} |)
```

Adds a node to a graph.

```
definition add-node where
  add-node v g ≡ (| nodes = insert v (nodes g), edges=edges g |)
```

Deletes a node from a graph. Also deletes all adjacent edges.

```
definition delete-node where delete-node v g ≡ (|
  nodes = nodes g - {v},
  edges = edges g ∩ (-{v}) × UNIV × (-{v})
  |)
```

Adds an edge to a graph.

```
definition add-edge where add-edge v e v' g ≡ (|
  nodes = {v,v'} ∪ nodes g,
  edges = insert (v,e,v') (edges g)
  |)
```

Deletes an edge from a graph.

```
definition delete-edge where delete-edge v e v' g ≡ (|
  nodes = nodes g, edges = edges g - {(v,e,v')} |)
```

Successors of a node.

**definition**  $\text{succ} :: ('v, 'w) \text{ graph} \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('w \times 'v) \text{ set}$   
**where**  $\text{succ } G \ v \equiv \{(w, v') . (v, w, v') \in \text{edges } G\}$

Now follow some simplification lemmas.

**lemma**  $\text{empty-valid}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{valid-graph empty}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{add-node-valid}[\text{simp}]$ : **assumes**  $\text{valid-graph } g$   
**shows**  $\text{valid-graph (add-node } v \ g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{delete-node-valid}[\text{simp}]$ : **assumes**  $\text{valid-graph } g$   
**shows**  $\text{valid-graph (delete-node } v \ g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{add-edge-valid}[\text{simp}]$ : **assumes**  $\text{valid-graph } g$   
**shows**  $\text{valid-graph (add-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{delete-edge-valid}[\text{simp}]$ : **assumes**  $\text{valid-graph } g$   
**shows**  $\text{valid-graph (delete-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-finite}[\text{simp}, \text{intro}]$ :  $\text{finite (edges } G) \implies \text{finite (succ } G \ v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nodes-empty}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{nodes empty} = \{\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{edges-empty}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{edges empty} = \{\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{succ-empty}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{succ empty } v = \{\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nodes-add-node}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{nodes (add-node } v \ g) = \text{insert } v \ (\text{nodes } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nodes-add-edge}[\text{simp}]$ :  
 $\text{nodes (add-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g) = \text{insert } v \ (\text{insert } v' \ (\text{nodes } g))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{edges-add-edge}[\text{simp}]$ :  
 $\text{edges (add-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g) = \text{insert } (v, e, v') \ (\text{edges } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{edges-add-node}[\text{simp}]$ :  
 $\text{edges (add-node } v \ g) = \text{edges } g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $(\text{in valid-graph}) \text{ succ-subset}$ :  $\text{succ } G \ v \subseteq \text{UNIV} \times V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.3 Paths

A path is represented by a list of adjacent edges.

**type-synonym**  $('v, 'w) \text{ path} = ('v \times 'w \times 'v) \text{ list}$

**context**  $\text{valid-graph}$

**begin**

The following predicate describes a valid path:

**fun** *is-path* :: '*v*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*v*, '*w*) *path*  $\Rightarrow$  '*v*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*is-path* *v* [] *v'*  $\longleftrightarrow v=v' \wedge v' \in V$  |  
*is-path* *v* ((*v1*, *w*, *v2*)#*p*) *v'*  $\longleftrightarrow v=v1 \wedge (v1, w, v2) \in E \wedge \text{is-path } v2 \text{ } p \text{ } v'$

**lemma** *is-path-simps*[*simp*, *intro*]:  
*is-path* *v* [] *v*  $\longleftrightarrow v \in V$   
*is-path* *v* [(*v*, *w*, *v'*)] *v'*  $\longleftrightarrow (v, w, v') \in E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *is-path-memb*[*simp*]:  
*is-path* *v* *p* *v'*  $\Longrightarrow v \in V \wedge v' \in V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *is-path-split*:  
*is-path* *v* (*p1*@*p2*) *v'*  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists u. \text{is-path } v \text{ } p1 \text{ } u \wedge \text{is-path } u \text{ } p2 \text{ } v')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *is-path-split'*[*simp*]:  
*is-path* *v* (*p1*@(*u*, *w*, *u'*)#*p2*) *v'*  
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{is-path } v \text{ } p1 \text{ } u \wedge (u, w, u') \in E \wedge \text{is-path } u' \text{ } p2 \text{ } v'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

Set of intermediate vertices of a path. These are all vertices but the last one. Note that, if the last vertex also occurs earlier on the path, it is contained in *int-vertices*.

**definition** *int-vertices* :: ('*v*, '*w*) *path*  $\Rightarrow$  '*v* *set* **where**  
*int-vertices* *p*  $\equiv \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } p)$

**lemma** *int-vertices-simps*[*simp*]:  
*int-vertices* [] = {}  
*int-vertices* (*vv*#*p*) = *insert* (*fst vv*) (*int-vertices* *p*)  
*int-vertices* (*p1*@*p2*) = *int-vertices* *p1*  $\cup$  *int-vertices* *p2*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *valid-graph*) *int-vertices-subset*:  
*is-path* *v* *p* *v'*  $\Longrightarrow \text{int-vertices } p \subseteq V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-vertices-empty*[*simp*]: *int-vertices* *p* = {}  $\longleftrightarrow p = []$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.3.1 Splitting Paths

Split a path at the point where it first leaves the set *W*:

**lemma** (in *valid-graph*) *path-split-set*:  
**assumes** *is-path*  $v\ p\ v'$  **and**  $v \in W$  **and**  $v' \notin W$   
**obtains**  $p1\ p2\ u\ w\ u'$  **where**  
 $p = p1 @ (u, w, u') \# p2$  **and**  
 $int\_vertices\ p1 \subseteq W$  **and**  $u \in W$  **and**  $u' \notin W$   
 <proof>

Split a path at the point where it first enters the set  $W$ :

**lemma** (in *valid-graph*) *path-split-set'*:  
**assumes** *is-path*  $v\ p\ v'$  **and**  $v' \in W$   
**obtains**  $p1\ p2\ u$  **where**  
 $p = p1 @ p2$  **and**  
 $is\_path\ v\ p1\ u$  **and**  
 $is\_path\ u\ p2\ v'$  **and**  
 $int\_vertices\ p1 \subseteq -W$  **and**  $u \in W$   
 <proof>

Split a path at the point where a given vertex is first visited:

**lemma** (in *valid-graph*) *path-split-vertex*:  
**assumes** *is-path*  $v\ p\ v'$  **and**  $u \in int\_vertices\ p$   
**obtains**  $p1\ p2$  **where**  
 $p = p1 @ p2$  **and**  
 $is\_path\ v\ p1\ u$  **and**  
 $u \notin int\_vertices\ p1$   
 <proof>

### 3.4 Weighted Graphs

**locale** *valid-mgraph* = *valid-graph*  $G$  **for**  $G :: ('v, 'w :: monoid-add)\ graph$

**definition** *path-weight* ::  $('v, 'w :: monoid-add)\ path \Rightarrow 'w$   
**where**  $path\_weight\ p \equiv sum\_list\ (map\ (fst \circ snd)\ p)$

**lemma** *path-weight-split[simp]*:  
 $(path\_weight\ (p1 @ p2) :: 'w :: monoid-add) = path\_weight\ p1 + path\_weight\ p2$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *path-weight-empty[simp]*:  $path\_weight\ [] = 0$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *path-weight-cons[simp]*:  
 $(path\_weight\ (e \# p) :: 'w :: monoid-add) = fst\ (snd\ e) + path\_weight\ p$   
 <proof>

**end**



## 4 Weights for Dijkstra's Algorithm

```
theory Weight
imports Complex-Main
begin
```

In this theory, we set up a type class for weights, and a typeclass for weights with an infinity element. The latter one is used internally in Dijkstra's algorithm.

Moreover, we provide a datatype that adds an infinity element to a given base type.

### 4.1 Type Classes Setup

```
class weight = ordered-ab-semigroup-add + comm-monoid-add + linorder
begin
```

```
lemma add-nonneg-nonneg [simp]:
  assumes  $0 \leq a$  and  $0 \leq b$  shows  $0 \leq a + b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma add-nonpos-nonpos[simp]:
  assumes  $a \leq 0$  and  $b \leq 0$  shows  $a + b \leq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma add-nonneg-eq-0-iff:
  assumes  $x: 0 \leq x$  and  $y: 0 \leq y$ 
  shows  $x + y = 0 \iff x = 0 \wedge y = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma add-incr:  $0 \leq b \implies a \leq a + b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma add-incr-left[simp, intro!]:  $0 \leq b \implies a \leq b + a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sum-not-less[simp, intro!]:
   $0 \leq b \implies \neg (a + b < a)$ 
   $0 \leq a \implies \neg (a + b < b)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
instance nat :: weight  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
instance int :: weight  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
instance rat :: weight  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
instance real :: weight  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
term top
```

```

class top-weight = order-top + weight +
  assumes inf-add-right[simp]:  $a + top = top$ 
begin

```

```

lemma inf-add-left[simp]:  $top + a = top$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas [simp] = top-unique less-top[symmetric]

```

```

lemma not-less-inf[simp]:
   $\neg (a < top) \longleftrightarrow a = top$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

## 4.2 Adding Infinity

We provide a standard way to add an infinity element to any type.

```

datatype 'a infty = Infty | Num 'a

```

```

primrec val where val (Num d) = d

```

```

lemma num-val-iff[simp]:  $e \neq Infty \implies Num (val\ e) = e$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

type-synonym NatB = nat infty

```

```

instantiation infty :: (weight) top-weight
begin

```

```

  definition (0::'a infty) == Num 0

```

```

  definition top  $\equiv Infty$ 

```

```

fun less-eq-infty where
  less-eq Infty (Num -)  $\longleftrightarrow False$  |
  less-eq - Infty  $\longleftrightarrow True$  |
  less-eq (Num a) (Num b)  $\longleftrightarrow a \leq b$ 

```

```

lemma [simp]:  $Infty \leq a \longleftrightarrow a = Infty$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

fun less-infty where
  less Infty -  $\longleftrightarrow False$  |
  less (Num -) Infty  $\longleftrightarrow True$  |
  less (Num a) (Num b)  $\longleftrightarrow a < b$ 

```

```

lemma [simp]:  $less\ a\ Infty \longleftrightarrow a \neq Infty$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

fun plus-infty where
  plus - Infty = Infty |
  plus Infty - = Infty |
  plus (Num a) (Num b) = Num (a+b)

```

```

lemma [simp]: plus Infty a = Infty  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

instance
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

```

#### 4.2.1 Unboxing

Conversion between the constants defined by the typeclass, and the concrete functions on the '*a infty*' type.

**lemma** *infty-inf-unbox*:

```

  Num a  $\neq$  top
  top  $\neq$  Num a
  Infty = top
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *infty-ord-unbox*:

```

  Num a  $\leq$  Num b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $\leq$  b
  Num a  $<$  Num b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $<$  b
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *infty-plus-unbox*:

```

  Num a + Num b = Num (a+b)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *infty-zero-unbox*:

```

  Num a = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0
  Num 0 = 0
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemmas** *infty-unbox* =

```

  infty-inf-unbox infty-zero-unbox infty-ord-unbox infty-plus-unbox

```

**lemma** *inf-not-zero*[*simp*]:

```

  top  $\neq$  (0::- infty) (0::- infty)  $\neq$  top
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *num-val-iff* '[*simp*]: *e*  $\neq$  *top*  $\implies$  *Num* (*val* *e*) = *e*

```

   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *infty-neE*:

```

   $\llbracket a \neq \text{Infty}; \bigwedge d. a = \text{Num } d \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\llbracket a \neq \text{top}; \bigwedge d. a = \text{Num } d \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 

```

*<proof>*

**end**

## 5 Dijkstra's Algorithm

**theory** *Dijkstra*

**imports**

*Graph*

*Dijkstra-Misc*

*Collections.Refine-Dft-ICF*

*Weight*

**begin**

This theory defines Dijkstra's algorithm. First, a correct result of Dijkstra's algorithm w.r.t. a graph and a start vertex is specified. Then, the refinement framework is used to specify Dijkstra's Algorithm, prove it correct, and finally refine it to datatypes that are closer to an implementation than the original specification.

### 5.1 Graph's for Dijkstra's Algorithm

A graph annotated with weights.

**locale** *weighted-graph* = *valid-graph* *G*

**for** *G* :: (*'V*, *'W*::*weight*) *graph*

### 5.2 Specification of Correct Result

**context** *weighted-graph*

**begin**

A result of Dijkstra's algorithm is correct, if it is a map from nodes *v* to the shortest path from the start node *v0* to *v*. Iff there is no such path, the node is not in the map.

**definition** *is-shortest-path-map* :: *'V*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'V*  $\rightarrow$  (*'V*, *'W*) *path*)  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*is-shortest-path-map* *v0* *res*  $\equiv \forall v \in V. (case\ res\ v\ of$

*None*  $\Rightarrow \neg(\exists p. is-path\ v0\ p\ v) \mid$

*Some* *p*  $\Rightarrow is-path\ v0\ p\ v$

$\wedge (\forall p'. is-path\ v0\ p'\ v \longrightarrow path-weight\ p \leq path-weight\ p')$

)

**end**

The following function returns the weight of an optional path, where *None* is interpreted as infinity.

**fun** *path-weight'* **where**

*path-weight'* *None* = *top*  $\mid$

*path-weight'* (*Some* *p*) = *Num* (*path-weight* *p*)

### 5.3 Dijkstra's Algorithm

The state in the main loop of the algorithm consists of a workset  $wl$  of vertexes that still need to be explored, and a map  $res$  that contains the current shortest path for each vertex.

**type-synonym**  $('V, 'W)$   $state = ('V \text{ set}) \times ('V \rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ path})$

The preconditions of Dijkstra's algorithm, i.e., that it operates on a valid and finite graph, and that the start node is a node of the graph, are summarized in a locale.

```

locale Dijkstra = weighted-graph  $G$ 
for  $G :: ('V, 'W :: \text{weight}) \text{ graph+}$ 
fixes  $v0 :: 'V$ 
assumes  $\text{finite}[simp, intro!]: \text{finite } V \text{ finite } E$ 
assumes  $v0\text{-in-}V[simp, intro!]: v0 \in V$ 
assumes  $\text{nonneg-weights}[simp, intro]: (v, w, v') \in \text{edges } G \implies 0 \leq w$ 
begin

```

Paths have non-negative weights.

**lemma** *path-nonneg-weight*:  $\text{is-path } v \ p \ v' \implies 0 \leq \text{path-weight } p$   
*<proof>*

Invariant of the main loop:

- The workset only contains nodes of the graph.
- If the result set contains a path for a node, it is actually a path, and uses only intermediate vertices outside the workset.
- For all vertices outside the workset, the result map contains the shortest path.
- For all vertices in the workset, the result map contains the shortest path among all paths that only use intermediate vertices outside the workset.

**definition** *dinvar*  $\sigma \equiv \text{let } (wl, res) = \sigma \text{ in}$   
 $wl \subseteq V \wedge$   
 $(\forall v \in V. \forall p. \text{res } v = \text{Some } p \longrightarrow \text{is-path } v0 \ p \ v \wedge \text{int-vertices } p \subseteq V - wl) \wedge$   
 $(\forall v \in V - wl. \forall p. \text{is-path } v0 \ p \ v$   
 $\longrightarrow \text{path-weight}'(\text{res } v) \leq \text{path-weight}'(\text{Some } p)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall v \in wl. \forall p. \text{is-path } v0 \ p \ v \wedge \text{int-vertices } p \subseteq V - wl$   
 $\longrightarrow \text{path-weight}'(\text{res } v) \leq \text{path-weight}'(\text{Some } p))$   
 $)$

Sanity check: The invariant is strong enough to imply correctness of result.

**lemma** *invar-imp-correct*:  $\text{dinvar } (\{\}, res) \implies \text{is-shortest-path-map } v0 \ res$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The initial workset contains all vertices. The initial result maps  $v0$  to the empty path, and all other vertices to *None*.

**definition** *dinit* :: ('V,'W) state nres **where**  
*dinit*  $\equiv SPEC \ (\lambda(wl,res) .$   
 $wl = V \wedge res \ v0 = Some \ [] \wedge (\forall v \in V - \{v0\}. res \ v = None))$

The initial state satisfies the invariant.

**lemma** *dinit-invar*: *dinit*  $\leq SPEC \ dinvar$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

In each iteration, the main loop of the algorithm pops a minimal node from the workset, and then updates the result map accordingly.

Pop a minimal node from the workset. The node is minimal in the sense that the length of the current path for that node is minimal.

**definition** *pop-min* :: ('V,'W) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('V  $\times$  ('V,'W) state) nres **where**  
*pop-min*  $\sigma \equiv do \{$   
 $let \ (wl,res) = \sigma;$   
 $ASSERT \ (wl \neq \{\});$   
 $v \leftarrow RES \ (least-map \ (path-weight' \circ res) \ wl);$   
 $RETURN \ (v, (wl - \{v\}, res))$   
 $\}$

Updating the result according to a node  $v$  is done by checking, for each successor node, whether the path over  $v$  is shorter than the path currently stored into the result map.

**inductive** *update-spec* :: 'V  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) state  $\Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  
 $\llbracket \forall v' \in V.$   
 $res' \ v' \in least-map \ path-weight' \ ($   
 $\{ res \ v' \} \cup \{ Some \ (p @ [(v,w,v')]) \mid p \ w. res \ v = Some \ p \wedge (v,w,v') \in E \}$   
 $)$   
 $\rrbracket \implies update-spec \ v \ (wl,res) \ (wl,res')$

In order to ease the refinement proof, we will assert the following precondition for updating.

**definition** *update-pre* :: 'V  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) state  $\Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
*update-pre*  $v \ \sigma \equiv let \ (wl,res) = \sigma \ in \ v \in V$   
 $\wedge (\forall v' \in V - wl. v' \neq v \longrightarrow (\forall p. is-path \ v0 \ p \ v'$   
 $\longrightarrow path-weight' \ (res \ v') \leq path-weight' \ (Some \ p)))$   
 $\wedge (\forall v' \in V. \forall p. res \ v' = Some \ p \longrightarrow is-path \ v0 \ p \ v')$

**definition** *update* :: 'V  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) state nres **where**  
*update*  $v \ \sigma \equiv do \{ ASSERT \ (update-pre \ v \ \sigma); SPEC \ (update-spec \ v \ \sigma) \}$

Finally, we define Dijkstra's algorithm:

**definition** *dijkstra* **where**

```

dijkstra  $\equiv$  do {
   $\sigma 0 \leftarrow$  dinit;
   $(-, res) \leftarrow$  WHILETdinvar ( $\lambda(wl, -). wl \neq \{\}$ )
    ( $\lambda\sigma.$ 
      do {  $(v, \sigma') \leftarrow$  pop-min  $\sigma$ ; update  $v$   $\sigma'$  }
    )
   $\sigma 0$ ;
  RETURN res }

```

The following theorem states (total) correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm.

**theorem** *dijkstra-correct*: *dijkstra*  $\leq$  *SPEC* (*is-shortest-path-map* *v0*)  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

## 5.4 Structural Refinement of Update

Now that we have proved correct the initial version of the algorithm, we start refinement towards an efficient implementation.

First, the update function is refined to iterate over each successor of the selected node, and update the result on demand.

**definition** *winvar*

```

 $:: 'V \Rightarrow 'V \text{ set} \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow ('W \times 'V) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
winvar  $v \text{ } wl \text{ } res \text{ } it \text{ } \sigma \equiv$  let  $(wl', res') = \sigma$  in  $wl' = wl$ 
 $\wedge (\forall v' \in V.$ 
  res'  $v' \in$  least-map path-weight' (
    { res  $v'$  }  $\cup$  { Some ( $p @ [(v, w, v')]$ ) |  $p \text{ } w. \text{ } res \text{ } v = \text{Some } p$ 
       $\wedge (w, v') \in \text{succ } G \text{ } v - it$  }
    )
  )
 $\wedge (\forall v' \in V. \forall p. \text{res}' \text{ } v' = \text{Some } p \longrightarrow \text{is-path } v0 \text{ } p \text{ } v')$ 
 $\wedge \text{res}' \text{ } v = \text{res } v$ 

```

**definition** *update'*  $:: 'V \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ state}$  *nres* **where**

```

update'  $v \text{ } \sigma \equiv$  do {
  ASSERT (update-pre  $v \text{ } \sigma$ );
  let  $(wl, res) = \sigma$ ;
  let  $wv = \text{path-weight}' (res \text{ } v)$ ;
  let  $pv = res \text{ } v$ ;
  FOREACHwinvar  $v \text{ } wl \text{ } res$  (succ  $G \text{ } v$ ) ( $\lambda(w', v') (wl, res).$ 
    if ( $wv + \text{Num } w' < \text{path-weight}' (res \text{ } v')$ ) then do {
      ASSERT ( $v' \in wl \wedge pv \neq \text{None}$ );
      RETURN  $(wl, res(v' \mapsto \text{the } pv @ [(v, w', v')]))$ 
    } else RETURN  $(wl, res)$ 
  ) ( $wl, res$ ) }

```

**lemma** *update'-refines*:

**assumes**  $v' = v$  **and**  $\sigma' = \sigma$

**shows**  $update' v' \sigma' \leq \Downarrow Id (update v \sigma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We integrate the new update function into the main algorithm:

**definition** *dijkstra'* **where**  
 $dijkstra' \equiv do \{$   
 $\sigma 0 \leftarrow dinit;$   
 $(-, res) \leftarrow WHILE_T^{dinvar} (\lambda(wl, -). wl \neq \{\})$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. do \{ (v, \sigma') \leftarrow pop-min \sigma; update' v \sigma' \})$   
 $\sigma 0;$   
 $RETURN res$   
 $\}$

**lemma** *dijkstra'-refines*:  $dijkstra' \leq \Downarrow Id dijkstra$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

## 5.5 Refinement to Cached Weights

Next, we refine the data types of the workset and the result map. The workset becomes a map from nodes to their current weights. The result map stores, in addition to the shortest path, also the weight of the shortest path. Moreover, we store the shortest paths in reversed order, which makes appending new edges more efficient.

These refinements allow to implement the workset as a priority queue, and save recomputation of the path weights in the inner loop of the algorithm.

**type-synonym**  $('V, 'W) mwl = ('V \rightarrow 'W \text{ infty})$   
**type-synonym**  $('V, 'W) mres = ('V \rightarrow (('V, 'W) \text{ path} \times 'W))$   
**type-synonym**  $('V, 'W) mstate = ('V, 'W) mwl \times ('V, 'W) mres$

Map a path with cached weight to one without cached weight.

**fun** *mpath'* ::  $((('V, 'W) \text{ path} \times 'W) \text{ option} \rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ path})$  **where**  
 $mpath' \text{ None} = \text{None} \mid$   
 $mpath' (\text{Some } (p, w)) = \text{Some } p$

**fun** *mpath-weight'* ::  $((('V, 'W) \text{ path} \times 'W) \text{ option} \Rightarrow ('W :: \text{weight}) \text{ infty})$  **where**  
 $mpath-weight' \text{ None} = \text{top} \mid$   
 $mpath-weight' (\text{Some } (p, w)) = \text{Num } w$

**context** *Dijkstra*  
**begin**

**definition**  $\alpha w :: ('V, 'W) mwl \Rightarrow 'V \text{ set}$  **where**  $\alpha w \equiv dom$   
**definition**  $\alpha r :: ('V, 'W) mres \Rightarrow 'V \rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ path}$  **where**  
 $\alpha r \equiv \lambda res v. \text{ case } res v \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } (p, w) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{rev } p)$   
**definition**  $\alpha s :: ('V, 'W) mstate \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ state}$  **where**  
 $\alpha s \equiv \text{map-prod } \alpha w \alpha r$



Additional invariants for the new state. They guarantee that the cached weights are consistent.

**definition** *res-invarm* :: ('V  $\rightarrow$  (('V,'W) path  $\times$  'W))  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*res-invarm* res  $\equiv$  ( $\forall v$ . case res v of

None  $\Rightarrow$  True |

Some (p,w)  $\Rightarrow$  w = path-weight (rev p))

**definition** *dinvarm* :: ('V,'W) mstate  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*dinvarm*  $\sigma \equiv$  let (wl,res) =  $\sigma$  in

( $\forall v \in \text{dom } \text{wl}$ . the (wl v) = mpath-weight' (res v))  $\wedge$  *res-invarm* res

**lemma** *mpath-weight'-correct*:  $\llbracket \text{dinvarm } (\text{wl}, \text{res}) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

*mpath-weight'* (res v) = *path-weight'* ( $\alpha$ r res v)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mpath'-correct*:  $\llbracket \text{dinvarm } (\text{wl}, \text{res}) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

*mpath'* (res v) = *map-option* rev ( $\alpha$ r res v)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wl-weight-correct*:

**assumes** *INV*: *dinvarm* (wl,res)

**assumes** *WL*: wl v = Some w

**shows** *path-weight'* ( $\alpha$ r res v) = w

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The initial state is constructed using an iterator:

**definition** *mdinit* :: ('V,'W) mstate nres **where**

*mdinit*  $\equiv$  do {

wl  $\leftarrow$  FOREACH V ( $\lambda v$  wl. RETURN (wl(v  $\mapsto$  Infity))) Map.empty;

RETURN (wl(v0  $\mapsto$  Num 0), [v0  $\mapsto$  ([], 0)])

}

**lemma** *mdinit-refines*: *mdinit*  $\leq \Downarrow(\text{build-rel } \alpha \text{ s } \text{dinvarm})$  *dinit*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The new pop function:

**definition**

*mpop-min* :: ('V,'W) mstate  $\Rightarrow$  ('V  $\times$  'W infity  $\times$  ('V,'W) mstate) nres

**where**

*mpop-min*  $\sigma \equiv$  do {

let (wl,res) =  $\sigma$ ;

(v,w,wl')  $\leftarrow$  prio-pop-min wl;

RETURN (v,w,(wl',res))

}

**lemma** *mpop-min-refines*:

$\llbracket (\sigma, \sigma') \in \text{build-rel } \alpha \text{ s } \text{dinvarm} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

*mpop-min*  $\sigma \leq$

$\Downarrow(\text{build-rel}$   
 $\quad (\lambda(v,w,\sigma). (v, \alpha s \sigma))$   
 $\quad (\lambda(v,w,\sigma). \text{dinvarm } \sigma \wedge w = \text{mpath-weight}' (\text{snd } \sigma v)))$   
 $(\text{pop-min } \sigma')$   
 — The two algorithms are structurally different, so we use the nofail/inres  
 method to prove refinement.  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The new update function:

**definition**  $\text{uinvarm } v \text{ } wl \text{ } res \text{ } it \text{ } \sigma \equiv$   
 $\text{uinvar } v \text{ } wl \text{ } res \text{ } it \text{ } (\alpha s \sigma) \wedge \text{dinvarm } \sigma$

**definition**  $\text{mupdate} :: 'V \Rightarrow 'W \text{ infly} \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ mstate} \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ mstate}$   
 $\text{nres}$

**where**

$\text{mupdate } v \text{ } ww \text{ } \sigma \equiv \text{do } \{$   
 $\quad \text{ASSERT } (\text{update-pre } v \text{ } (\alpha s \sigma) \wedge ww = \text{mpath-weight}' (\text{snd } \sigma v));$   
 $\quad \text{let } (wl, res) = \sigma;$   
 $\quad \text{let } pv = \text{mpath}' (res \text{ } v);$   
 $\quad \text{FOREACH}^{\text{uinvarm } v \text{ } (\alpha w \text{ } wl) \text{ } (\alpha r \text{ } res)} (\text{succ } G \text{ } v) (\lambda(w', v') (wl, res)).$   
 $\quad \text{if } (ww + \text{Num } w' < \text{mpath-weight}' (res \text{ } v')) \text{ then do } \{$   
 $\quad \quad \text{ASSERT } (v' \in \text{dom } wl \wedge pv \neq \text{None});$   
 $\quad \quad \text{ASSERT } (ww \neq \text{Infly});$   
 $\quad \quad \text{RETURN } (wl(v' \mapsto ww + \text{Num } w'),$   
 $\quad \quad \quad res(v' \mapsto ((v, w', v') \# \text{the } pv, \text{val } ww + w')) )$   
 $\quad \} \text{ else RETURN } (wl, res)$   
 $\quad \} (wl, res)$   
 $\}$

**lemma**  $\text{mupdate-refines}$ :

**assumes**  $\text{SREF}: (\sigma, \sigma') \in \text{build-rel } \alpha s \text{ dinvarm}$   
**assumes**  $\text{WV}: ww = \text{mpath-weight}' (\text{snd } \sigma v)$   
**assumes**  $\text{VV}': v' = v$   
**shows**  $\text{mupdate } v \text{ } ww \text{ } \sigma \leq \Downarrow(\text{build-rel } \alpha s \text{ dinvarm}) (\text{update}' v' \sigma')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Finally, we assemble the refined algorithm:

**definition**  $\text{mdijkstra}$  **where**

$\text{mdijkstra} \equiv \text{do } \{$   
 $\quad \sigma 0 \leftarrow \text{mdinit};$   
 $\quad (-, res) \leftarrow \text{WHILE}_T^{\text{dinvarm}} (\lambda(wl, -). \text{dom } wl \neq \{\})$   
 $\quad \quad (\lambda \sigma. \text{do } \{ (v, ww, \sigma') \leftarrow \text{mpop-min } \sigma; \text{mupdate } v \text{ } ww \text{ } \sigma' \} )$   
 $\quad \quad \sigma 0;$   
 $\quad \text{RETURN } res$   
 $\}$

**lemma**  $\text{mdijkstra-refines}$ :  $\text{mdijkstra} \leq \Downarrow(\text{build-rel } \alpha r \text{ res-invarm}) \text{dijkstra}'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

## 6 Graph Interface

```
theory GraphSpec
imports Main Graph
    Collections.Collections
```

begin

This theory defines an ICF-style interface for graphs.

```
type-synonym ('V,'W,'G) graph- $\alpha$  = 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) graph
```

```
locale graph =
  fixes  $\alpha$  :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) graph
  fixes invar :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes finite[simp, intro!]:
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$  finite (nodes ( $\alpha$  g))
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$  finite (edges ( $\alpha$  g))
  assumes valid: invar g  $\Longrightarrow$  valid-graph ( $\alpha$  g)
```

```
type-synonym ('V,'W,'G) graph-empty = unit  $\Rightarrow$  'G
```

```
locale graph-empty = graph +
  constrains  $\alpha$  :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) graph
  fixes empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  'G
  assumes empty-correct:
     $\alpha$  (empty ()) = Graph.empty
    invar (empty ())
```

```
type-synonym ('V,'W,'G) graph-add-node = 'V  $\Rightarrow$  'G  $\Rightarrow$  'G
```

```
locale graph-add-node = graph +
  constrains  $\alpha$  :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) graph
  fixes add-node :: 'V  $\Rightarrow$  'G  $\Rightarrow$  'G
  assumes add-node-correct:
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$  invar (add-node v g)
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$   $\alpha$  (add-node v g) = Graph.add-node v ( $\alpha$  g)
```

```
type-synonym ('V,'W,'G) graph-delete-node = 'V  $\Rightarrow$  'G  $\Rightarrow$  'G
```

```
locale graph-delete-node = graph +
  constrains  $\alpha$  :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V,'W) graph
  fixes delete-node :: 'V  $\Rightarrow$  'G  $\Rightarrow$  'G
  assumes delete-node-correct:
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$  invar (delete-node v g)
    invar g  $\Longrightarrow$   $\alpha$  (delete-node v g) = Graph.delete-node v ( $\alpha$  g)
```

```
type-synonym ('V,'W,'G) graph-add-edge = 'V  $\Rightarrow$  'W  $\Rightarrow$  'V  $\Rightarrow$  'G  $\Rightarrow$  'G
```

```

locale graph-add-edge = graph +
  constrains  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph
  fixes add-edge ::  $'V \Rightarrow 'W \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow 'G \Rightarrow 'G$ 
  assumes add-edge-correct:
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \text{invar } (\text{add-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g)$ 
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \alpha (\text{add-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g) = \text{Graph.add-edge } v \ e \ v' (\alpha \ g)$ 

type-synonym ( $'V, 'W, 'G$ ) graph-delete-edge =  $'V \Rightarrow 'W \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow 'G \Rightarrow 'G$ 
locale graph-delete-edge = graph +
  constrains  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph
  fixes delete-edge ::  $'V \Rightarrow 'W \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow 'G \Rightarrow 'G$ 
  assumes delete-edge-correct:
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \text{invar } (\text{delete-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g)$ 
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \alpha (\text{delete-edge } v \ e \ v' \ g) = \text{Graph.delete-edge } v \ e \ v' (\alpha \ g)$ 

type-synonym ( $'V, 'W, 'G$ ) graph-nodes-it =  $'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'G)$  set-iterator

locale graph-nodes-it-defs =
  fixes nodes-list-it ::  $'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'V \text{ list})$  set-iterator
begin
  definition nodes-it  $g \equiv \text{it-to-it } (\text{nodes-list-it } g)$ 
end

locale graph-nodes-it = graph  $\alpha$  invar + graph-nodes-it-defs nodes-list-it
  for  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph and invar and
  nodes-list-it ::  $'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'V \text{ list})$  set-iterator
  +
  assumes nodes-list-it-correct:
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \text{set-iterator } (\text{nodes-list-it } g) (\text{Graph.nodes } (\alpha \ g))$ 
begin
  lemma nodes-it-correct:
    invar  $g \Rightarrow \text{set-iterator } (\text{nodes-it } g) (\text{Graph.nodes } (\alpha \ g))$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma pi-nodes-it[icf-proper-iteratorI]:
    proper-it (nodes-it  $S$ ) (nodes-it  $S$ )
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma nodes-it-proper[proper-it]:
    proper-it' nodes-it nodes-it
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

type-synonym ( $'V, 'W, 'G$ ) graph-edges-it
  =  $'G \Rightarrow (('V \times 'W \times 'V), 'G)$  set-iterator

locale graph-edges-it-defs =
  fixes edges-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, ('V \times 'W \times 'V) \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-edges-it

```

```

begin
  definition edges-it  $g \equiv it\text{-}to\text{-}it\ (edges\text{-}list\text{-}it\ g)$ 
end

locale graph-edges-it = graph  $\alpha$  invar + graph-edges-it-defs edges-list-it
  for  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph and invar and
    edges-list-it  $:: ('V, 'W, ('V \times 'W \times 'V)\ list, 'G)$  graph-edges-it
  +
  assumes edges-list-it-correct:
     $invar\ g \implies set\text{-}iterator\ (edges\text{-}list\text{-}it\ g)\ (Graph.edges\ (\alpha\ g))$ 
begin
  lemma edges-it-correct:
     $invar\ g \implies set\text{-}iterator\ (edges\text{-}it\ g)\ (Graph.edges\ (\alpha\ g))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma pi-edges-it[icf-proper-iteratorI]:
    proper-it (edges-it  $S$ ) (edges-it  $S$ )
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma edges-it-proper[proper-it]:
    proper-it ' edges-it edges-it
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

type-synonym ( $'V, 'W, ' \sigma, 'G$ ) graph-succ-it =
   $'G \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow ('W \times 'V, ' \sigma)$  set-iterator

locale graph-succ-it-defs =
  fixes succ-list-it  $:: 'G \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow ('W \times 'V, ('W \times 'V)\ list)$  set-iterator
begin
  definition succ-it  $g\ v \equiv it\text{-}to\text{-}it\ (succ\text{-}list\text{-}it\ g\ v)$ 
end

locale graph-succ-it = graph  $\alpha$  invar + graph-succ-it-defs succ-list-it
  for  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph and invar and
    succ-list-it  $:: 'G \Rightarrow 'V \Rightarrow ('W \times 'V, ('W \times 'V)\ list)$  set-iterator +
  assumes succ-list-it-correct:
     $invar\ g \implies set\text{-}iterator\ (succ\text{-}list\text{-}it\ g\ v)\ (Graph.succ\ (\alpha\ g)\ v)$ 
begin
  lemma succ-it-correct:
     $invar\ g \implies set\text{-}iterator\ (succ\text{-}it\ g\ v)\ (Graph.succ\ (\alpha\ g)\ v)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma pi-succ-it[icf-proper-iteratorI]:
    proper-it (succ-it  $S\ v$ ) (succ-it  $S\ v$ )
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma succ-it-proper[proper-it]:

```

*proper-it* ' ( $\lambda S. \text{succ-it } S \ v$ ) ( $\lambda S. \text{succ-it } S \ v$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 6.1 Adjacency Lists

**type-synonym** ('V, 'W) *adj-list* = 'V list  $\times$  ('V  $\times$  'W  $\times$  'V) list

**definition** *adjl- $\alpha$*  :: ('V, 'W) *adj-list*  $\Rightarrow$  ('V, 'W) *graph* **where**

*adjl- $\alpha$*  *l*  $\equiv$  *let* (*nl*, *el*) = *l* *in*  $\{$   
*nodes* = *set nl*  $\cup$  *fst*'*set el*  $\cup$  *snd*'*snd*'*set el*,  
*edges* = *set el*  
 $\}$

**lemma** *adjl-is-graph*: *graph adjl- $\alpha$*  ( $\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**type-synonym** ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-from-list* = ('V, 'W) *adj-list*  $\Rightarrow$  'G

**locale** *graph-from-list* = *graph* +

**constrains**  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ graph}$

**fixes** *from-list* :: ('V, 'W) *adj-list*  $\Rightarrow$  'G

**assumes** *from-list-correct*:

*invar* (*from-list l*)

$\alpha$  (*from-list l*) = *adjl- $\alpha$*  *l*

**type-synonym** ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-to-list* = 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V, 'W) *adj-list*

**locale** *graph-to-list* = *graph* +

**constrains**  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ graph}$

**fixes** *to-list* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V, 'W) *adj-list*

**assumes** *to-list-correct*:

*invar g*  $\Rightarrow$  *adjl- $\alpha$*  (*to-list g*) =  $\alpha$  *g*

## 6.2 Record Based Interface

**record** ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-ops* =

*gop- $\alpha$*  :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph- $\alpha$*

*gop-invar* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  bool

*gop-empty* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-empty*

*gop-add-node* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-add-node*

*gop-delete-node* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-delete-node*

*gop-add-edge* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-add-edge*

*gop-delete-edge* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-delete-edge*

*gop-from-list* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-from-list*

*gop-to-list* :: ('V, 'W, 'G) *graph-to-list*

*gop-nodes-list-it* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  ('V, 'V list) *set-iterator*

*gop-edges-list-it* :: ('V, 'W, ('V  $\times$  'W  $\times$  'V) list, 'G) *graph-edges-it*

*gop-succ-list-it* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  'V  $\Rightarrow$  ('W  $\times$  'V, ('W  $\times$  'V) list) *set-iterator*

```

locale StdGraphDefs =
  graph-nodes-it-defs gop-nodes-list-it ops
+ graph-edges-it-defs gop-edges-list-it ops
+ graph-succ-it-defs gop-succ-list-it ops
for ops :: ('V','W','G','m) graph-ops-scheme
begin
  abbreviation  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha \equiv gop\text{-}\alpha\ ops$ 
  abbreviation invar where invar  $\equiv gop\text{-}invar\ ops$ 
  abbreviation empty where empty  $\equiv gop\text{-}empty\ ops$ 
  abbreviation add-node where add-node  $\equiv gop\text{-}add\text{-}node\ ops$ 
  abbreviation delete-node where delete-node  $\equiv gop\text{-}delete\text{-}node\ ops$ 
  abbreviation add-edge where add-edge  $\equiv gop\text{-}add\text{-}edge\ ops$ 
  abbreviation delete-edge where delete-edge  $\equiv gop\text{-}delete\text{-}edge\ ops$ 
  abbreviation from-list where from-list  $\equiv gop\text{-}from\text{-}list\ ops$ 
  abbreviation to-list where to-list  $\equiv gop\text{-}to\text{-}list\ ops$ 
  abbreviation nodes-list-it where nodes-list-it  $\equiv gop\text{-}nodes\text{-}list\text{-}it\ ops$ 
  abbreviation edges-list-it where edges-list-it  $\equiv gop\text{-}edges\text{-}list\text{-}it\ ops$ 
  abbreviation succ-list-it where succ-list-it  $\equiv gop\text{-}succ\text{-}list\text{-}it\ ops$ 
end

locale StdGraph = StdGraphDefs +
  graph  $\alpha\ invar +$ 
  graph-empty  $\alpha\ invar\ empty +$ 
  graph-add-node  $\alpha\ invar\ add\text{-}node +$ 
  graph-delete-node  $\alpha\ invar\ delete\text{-}node +$ 
  graph-add-edge  $\alpha\ invar\ add\text{-}edge +$ 
  graph-delete-edge  $\alpha\ invar\ delete\text{-}edge +$ 
  graph-from-list  $\alpha\ invar\ from\text{-}list +$ 
  graph-to-list  $\alpha\ invar\ to\text{-}list +$ 
  graph-nodes-it  $\alpha\ invar\ nodes\text{-}list\text{-}it +$ 
  graph-edges-it  $\alpha\ invar\ edges\text{-}list\text{-}it +$ 
  graph-succ-it  $\alpha\ invar\ succ\text{-}list\text{-}it$ 
begin
  lemmas correct = empty-correct add-node-correct delete-node-correct
    add-edge-correct delete-edge-correct
    from-list-correct to-list-correct
end

```

### 6.3 Refinement Framework Bindings

```

lemma (in graph-nodes-it) nodes-it-is-iterator[refine-transfer]:
  invar g  $\implies set\text{-}iterator\ (nodes\text{-}it\ g)\ (nodes\ (\alpha\ g))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in graph-edges-it) edges-it-is-iterator[refine-transfer]:
  invar g  $\implies set\text{-}iterator\ (edges\text{-}it\ g)\ (edges\ (\alpha\ g))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** (in *graph-succ-it*) *succ-it-is-iterator*[*refine-transfer*]:  
*invar g*  $\implies$  *set-iterator* (*succ-it g v*) (*Graph.succ* ( $\alpha$  *g*) *v*)  
 <*proof*>

**lemma** (in *graph*) *drh*[*refine-dref-RELATES*]: *RELATES* (*build-rel*  $\alpha$  *invar*)  
 <*proof*>

**end**

## 7 Generic Algorithms for Graphs

**theory** *GraphGA*

**imports**

*GraphSpec*

**begin**

**definition** *gga-from-list* ::  
 (*'V*, *'W*, *'G*) *graph-empty*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'V*, *'W*, *'G*) *graph-add-node*  
 $\Rightarrow$  (*'V*, *'W*, *'G*) *graph-add-edge*  
 $\Rightarrow$  (*'V*, *'W*, *'G*) *graph-from-list*  
**where**  
*gga-from-list e a u l*  $\equiv$   
 let (*nl*, *el*) = *l*;  
   *g1* = *foldl* ( $\lambda g v. a v g$ ) (*e* ()) *nl*  
 in *foldl* ( $\lambda g (v, e, v'). u v e v' g$ ) *g1 el*

**lemma** *gga-from-list-correct*:  
**fixes**  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W) \text{ graph}$   
**assumes** *graph-empty*  $\alpha$  *invar e*  
**assumes** *graph-add-node*  $\alpha$  *invar a*  
**assumes** *graph-add-edge*  $\alpha$  *invar u*  
**shows** *graph-from-list*  $\alpha$  *invar* (*gga-from-list e a u*)  
 <*proof*>

**term** *map-iterator-product*

**locale** *gga-edges-it-defs* =  
*graph-nodes-it-defs nodes-list-it* +  
*graph-succ-it-defs succ-list-it*  
**for** *nodes-list-it* :: (*'V*, *'W*, *'V list*, *'G*) *graph-nodes-it*  
**and** *succ-list-it* :: (*'V*, *'W*, (*'W*  $\times$  *'V*) *list*, *'G*) *graph-succ-it*  
**begin**  
**definition** *gga-edges-list-it* ::  
 (*'V*, *'W*, (*'V*  $\times$  *'W*  $\times$  *'V*) *list*, *'G*) *graph-edges-it*  
**where** *gga-edges-list-it G*  $\equiv$  *set-iterator-product*  
 (*nodes-it G*) (*succ-it G*)



```

    <ML>
end
<ML>

locale gga-edges-it = gga-edges-it-defs nodes-list-it succ-list-it
  + graph  $\alpha$  invar
  + graph-nodes-it  $\alpha$  invar nodes-list-it
  + graph-succ-it  $\alpha$  invar succ-list-it
  for  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph
  and invar
  and nodes-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, 'V \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-nodes-it
  and succ-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, ('W \times 'V) \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-succ-it
begin
  lemma gga-edges-list-it-impl:
    shows graph-edges-it  $\alpha$  invar gga-edges-list-it
    <proof>
end

locale gga-to-list-defs-loc =
  graph-nodes-it-defs nodes-list-it
  + graph-edges-it-defs edges-list-it
  for nodes-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, 'V \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-nodes-it
  and edges-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, ('V \times 'W \times 'V) \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-edges-it
begin
  definition gga-to-list ::
     $('V, 'W, 'G)$  graph-to-list
  where
    gga-to-list  $g \equiv$ 
      (nodes-it  $g$  ( $\lambda$ -. True) ( $\#$ ) [], edges-it  $g$  ( $\lambda$ -. True) ( $\#$ ) [])
end

locale gga-to-list-loc = gga-to-list-defs-loc nodes-list-it edges-list-it +
  graph  $\alpha$  invar
  + graph-nodes-it  $\alpha$  invar nodes-list-it
  + graph-edges-it  $\alpha$  invar edges-list-it
  for  $\alpha :: 'G \Rightarrow ('V, 'W)$  graph and invar
  and nodes-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, 'V \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-nodes-it
  and edges-list-it ::  $('V, 'W, ('V \times 'W \times 'V) \text{ list}, 'G)$  graph-edges-it
begin

  lemma gga-to-list-correct:
    shows graph-to-list  $\alpha$  invar gga-to-list
    <proof>

end

end

```

## 8 Implementing Graphs by Maps

**theory** *GraphByMap*

**imports**

*GraphSpec*

*GraphGA*

**begin**

**definition** *map-Sigma*  $M1\ F2 \equiv \{$   
 $(x,y). \exists v. M1\ x = \text{Some } v \wedge y \in F2\ v$   
 $\}$

**lemma** *map-Sigma-alt*:  $\text{map-Sigma } M1\ F2 = \text{Sigma } (\text{dom } M1) (\lambda x.$   
 $F2\ (\text{the } (M1\ x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ranE*:

**assumes**  $v \in \text{ran } m$

**obtains**  $k$  **where**  $m\ k = \text{Some } v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *option-bind-alt*:

$\text{Option.bind } x\ f = (\text{case } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow f\ v)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**locale** *GraphByMapDefs* =

$m1: \text{StdMapDefs } m1\text{-ops} +$

$m2: \text{StdMapDefs } m2\text{-ops} +$

$s3: \text{StdSetDefs } s3\text{-ops}$

**for**  $m1\text{-ops}::('V, 'm2, 'm1, -)\ \text{map-ops-scheme}$

**and**  $m2\text{-ops}::('V, 's3, 'm2, -)\ \text{map-ops-scheme}$

**and**  $s3\text{-ops}::('W, 's3, -)\ \text{set-ops-scheme}$

**and**  $m1\text{-mvif}::('V \Rightarrow 'm2 \multimap 'm2) \Rightarrow 'm1 \Rightarrow 'm1$

**begin**

**definition**  $\text{gbm-}\alpha::('V, 'W, 'm1)\ \text{graph-}\alpha$  **where**

$\text{gbm-}\alpha\ m1 \equiv$

$\langle \text{nodes} = \text{dom } (m1.\alpha\ m1),$

$\text{edges} = \{(v,w,v').$

$\exists m2\ s3. m1.\alpha\ m1\ v = \text{Some } m2$

$\wedge m2.\alpha\ m2\ v' = \text{Some } s3$

$\wedge w \in s3.\alpha\ s3$

$\}$

$\rangle$

**definition** *gbm-invar*  $m1 \equiv$

$m1.\text{invar } m1 \wedge$

$(\forall m2 \in \text{ran } (m1.\alpha\ m1). m2.\text{invar } m2 \wedge$

$(\forall s3 \in \text{ran } (m2.\alpha\ m2). s3.\text{invar } s3)$

$) \wedge \text{valid-graph } (\text{gbm-}\alpha\ m1)$

**definition** *gbm-empty* :: ('V,'W,'m1) *graph-empty* **where**  
*gbm-empty*  $\equiv$  *m1.empty*

**definition** *gbm-add-node* :: ('V,'W,'m1) *graph-add-node* **where**  
*gbm-add-node* *v g*  $\equiv$  *case m1.lookup v g of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *m1.update v (m2.empty ()) g* |  
*Some -*  $\Rightarrow$  *g*

**definition** *gbm-delete-node* :: ('V,'W,'m1) *graph-delete-node* **where**  
*gbm-delete-node* *v g*  $\equiv$  *let g=m1.delete v g in*  
*m1-mvif* ( $\lambda$ - *m2. Some (m2.delete v m2)*) *g*

**definition** *gbm-add-edge* :: ('V,'W,'m1) *graph-add-edge* **where**  
*gbm-add-edge* *v e v' g*  $\equiv$   
*let g = (case m1.lookup v' g of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *m1.update v' (m2.empty ()) g* | *Some -*  $\Rightarrow$  *g*  
*) in*  
*case m1.lookup v g of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  (*m1.update v (m2.sng v' (s3.sng e)) g*) |  
*Some m2*  $\Rightarrow$  (*case m2.lookup v' m2 of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *m1.update v (m2.update v' (s3.sng e) m2) g* |  
*Some s3*  $\Rightarrow$  *m1.update v (m2.update v' (s3.ins e s3) m2) g*)

**definition** *gbm-delete-edge* :: ('V,'W,'m1) *graph-delete-edge* **where**  
*gbm-delete-edge* *v e v' g*  $\equiv$   
*case m1.lookup v g of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *g* |  
*Some m2*  $\Rightarrow$  (  
*case m2.lookup v' m2 of*  
*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *g* |  
*Some s3*  $\Rightarrow$  *m1.update v (m2.update v' (s3.delete e s3) m2) g*  
*)*

**definition** *gbm-nodes-list-it*  
:: ('V,'W,'V list,'m1) *graph-nodes-it*  
**where**  
*gbm-nodes-list-it* *g*  $\equiv$  *map-iterator-dom (m1.iteratei g)*  
 $\langle ML \rangle$

**definition** *gbm-edges-list-it*  
:: ('V,'W,('V $\times$ 'W $\times$ 'V) list,'m1) *graph-edges-it*  
**where**  
*gbm-edges-list-it* *g*  $\equiv$  *set-iterator-image*  
( $\lambda((v1,m1),(v2,m2),w).$  (*v1,w,v2*))  
(*set-iterator-product* (*m1.iteratei g*)  
( $\lambda(v,m2).$  *set-iterator-product*

$(m2.iteratei\ m2)\ (\lambda(w,s3). s3.iteratei\ s3)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**definition** *gbm-succ-list-it* ::

$('V, 'W, ('W \times 'V)\ list, 'm1)\ graph-succ-it$

**where**

*gbm-succ-list-it* *g v*  $\equiv$  *case* *m1.lookup v g* *of*

*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *set-iterator-emp* |

*Some m2*  $\Rightarrow$

*set-iterator-image*  $(\lambda((v',m2),w). (w,v'))$

$(set-iterator-product\ (m2.iteratei\ m2)\ (\lambda(v',s). s3.iteratei\ s)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**definition**

*gbm-from-list*  $\equiv$  *gga-from-list gbm-empty gbm-add-node gbm-add-edge*

**lemma** *gbm-nodes-list-it-unf*:

*it-to-it* (*gbm-nodes-list-it g*)

$\equiv$  *map-iterator-dom* (*it-to-it* (*m1.list-it g*))

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gbm-edges-list-it-unf*:

*it-to-it* (*gbm-edges-list-it g*)

$\equiv$  *set-iterator-image*

$(\lambda((v1,m1),(v2,m2),w). (v1,w,v2))$

$(set-iterator-product\ (it-to-it\ (m1.list-it\ g))$

$(\lambda(v,m2). set-iterator-product$

$(it-to-it\ (m2.list-it\ m2))\ (\lambda(w,s3). (it-to-it\ (s3.list-it\ s3))))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gbm-succ-list-it-unf*:

*it-to-it* (*gbm-succ-list-it g v*)  $\equiv$

*case* *m1.lookup v g* *of*

*None*  $\Rightarrow$  *set-iterator-emp* |

*Some m2*  $\Rightarrow$

*set-iterator-image*  $(\lambda((v',m2),w). (w,v'))$

$(set-iterator-product\ (it-to-it\ (m2.list-it\ m2))$

$(\lambda(v',s). (it-to-it\ (s3.list-it\ s))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**sublocale** *GraphByMapDefs*  $<$  *graph-nodes-it-defs gbm-nodes-list-it*  $\langle proof \rangle$

```

sublocale GraphByMapDefs < graph-edges-it-defs gbm-edges-list-it <proof>
sublocale GraphByMapDefs < graph-succ-it-defs gbm-succ-list-it <proof>
sublocale GraphByMapDefs
  < gga-to-list-defs-loc gbm-nodes-list-it gbm-edges-list-it <proof>

context GraphByMapDefs
begin

  definition [icf-rec-def]: gbm-ops ≡ ()
    gop-α = gbm-α,
    gop-invar = gbm-invar,
    gop-empty = gbm-empty,
    gop-add-node = gbm-add-node,
    gop-delete-node = gbm-delete-node,
    gop-add-edge = gbm-add-edge,
    gop-delete-edge = gbm-delete-edge,
    gop-from-list = gbm-from-list,
    gop-to-list = gga-to-list,
    gop-nodes-list-it = gbm-nodes-list-it,
    gop-edges-list-it = gbm-edges-list-it,
    gop-succ-list-it = gbm-succ-list-it
  )
  <ML>
end

locale GraphByMap = GraphByMapDefs m1-ops m2-ops s3-ops m1-mvif +
  m1: StdMap m1-ops +
  m2: StdMap m2-ops +
  s3: StdSet s3-ops +
  m1: map-value-image-filter m1.α m1.invar m1.α m1.invar m1-mvif
  for m1-ops::('V,'m2,'m1,-) map-ops-scheme
  and m2-ops::('V,'s3,'m2,-) map-ops-scheme
  and s3-ops::('W,'s3,-) set-ops-scheme
  and m1-mvif :: ('V ⇒ 'm2 → 'm2) ⇒ 'm1 ⇒ 'm1
begin
  lemma gbm-invar-split:
    assumes gbm-invar g
    shows
      m1.invar g
       $\bigwedge v\ m2. m1.\alpha\ g\ v = \text{Some } m2 \implies m2.invar\ m2$ 
       $\bigwedge v\ m2\ v'\ s3. m1.\alpha\ g\ v = \text{Some } m2 \implies m2.\alpha\ m2\ v' = \text{Some } s3 \implies s3.invar$ 
s3
      valid-graph (gbm-α g)
    <proof>

end

sublocale GraphByMap < graph gbm-α gbm-invar
  <proof>

```

**context** *GraphByMap*

**begin**

**lemma** *gbm-empty-impl:*

*graph-empty gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-empty*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-add-node-impl:*

*graph-add-node gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-add-node*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-delete-node-impl:*

*graph-delete-node gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-delete-node*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-add-edge-impl:*

*graph-add-edge gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-add-edge*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-delete-edge-impl:*

*graph-delete-edge gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-delete-edge*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-nodes-list-it-impl:*

**shows** *graph-nodes-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-nodes-list-it*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-edges-list-it-impl:*

**shows** *graph-edges-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-edges-list-it*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-succ-list-it-impl:*

**shows** *graph-succ-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-succ-list-it*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *gbm-from-list-impl:*

**shows** *graph-from-list gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-from-list*  
*<proof>*

**end**

**sublocale** *GraphByMap* < *graph-nodes-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-nodes-list-it*  
*<proof>*

**sublocale** *GraphByMap* < *graph-edges-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-edges-list-it*  
*<proof>*

**sublocale** *GraphByMap* < *graph-succ-it gbm- $\alpha$  gbm-invar gbm-succ-list-it*  
*<proof>*

```

sublocale GraphByMap
  < gga-to-list-loc gbm-α gbm-invar gbm-nodes-list-it gbm-edges-list-it
  <proof>

context GraphByMap
begin
  lemma gbm-to-list-impl: graph-to-list gbm-α gbm-invar gga-to-list
    <proof>

  lemma gbm-ops-impl: StdGraph gbm-ops
    <proof>
end

<ML>

end

```

## 9 Graphs by Hashmaps

```

theory HashGraphImpl
imports
  GraphByMap
begin

Abbreviation: hlg

type-synonym ('V,'E) hlg =
  ('V,('V,'E ls) HashMap.hashmap) HashMap.hashmap

<ML>
interpretation hh-mvif: g-value-image-filter-loc hm-ops hm-ops
  <proof>
interpretation hlg-gbm: GraphByMap hm-ops hm-ops ls-ops
  hh-mvif.g-value-image-filter
  <proof>
<ML>

definition [icf-rec-def]: hlg-ops  $\equiv$  hlg-gbm.gbm-ops

<ML>
interpretation hlg: StdGraph hlg-ops
  <proof>
<ML>

thm map-iterator-dom-def set-iterator-image-def
  set-iterator-image-filter-def

definition test-codegen where test-codegen  $\equiv$  (
  hlg.empty,

```

```

    hlg.add-node,
    hlg.delete-node,
    hlg.add-edge,
    hlg.delete-edge,
    hlg.from-list,
    hlg.to-list,
    hlg.nodes-it,
    hlg.edges-it,
    hlg.succ-it
  )

export-code test-codegen in SML

end

```

## 10 Implementation of Dijkstra's-Algorithm using the ICF

```

theory Dijkstra-Impl
imports
  Dijkstra
  GraphSpec
  HashGraphImpl
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
begin

```

In this second refinement step, we use interfaces from the Isabelle Collection Framework (ICF) to implement the priority queue and the result map. Moreover, we use a graph interface (that is not contained in the ICF, but in this development) to represent the graph.

The data types of the first refinement step were designed to fit the abstract data types of the used ICF-interfaces, which makes this refinement quite straightforward.

Finally, we instantiate the ICF-interfaces by concrete implementations, obtaining an executable algorithm, for that we generate code using Isabelle/HOL's code generator.

```

locale dijkstraC =
  g: StdGraph g-ops +
  mr: StdMap mr-ops +
  qw: StdUprio qw-ops
  for g-ops :: ('V, 'W::weight, 'G, 'moreg) graph-ops-scheme
  and mr-ops :: ('V, (('V, 'W) path × 'W), 'mr, 'more-mr) map-ops-scheme
  and qw-ops :: ('V, 'W infity, 'qw, 'more-qw) uprio-ops-scheme
begin
  definition asc == map-prod qw.α mr.α
  definition dinvarC-add == λ(wl, res). qw.invar wl ∧ mr.invar res

```



**definition** *cdinit* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  'V  $\Rightarrow$  ('qw $\times$ 'mr) nres **where**

```
cdinit g v0  $\equiv$  do {
  wl  $\leftarrow$  FOREACH (nodes (g. $\alpha$  g))
    ( $\lambda$ v wl. RETURN (qw.insert wl v Weight.Infty)) (qw.empty ());
  RETURN (qw.insert wl v0 (Num 0),mr.sng v0 ([],0))
}
```

**definition** *cpop-min* :: ('qw $\times$ 'mr)  $\Rightarrow$  ('V $\times$ 'W infty $\times$ ('qw $\times$ 'mr)) nres **where**

```
cpop-min  $\sigma \equiv$  do {
  let (wl,res) =  $\sigma$ ;
  let (v,w,wl')=qw.pop wl;
  RETURN (v,w,(wl',res))
}
```

**definition** *cupdate* :: 'G  $\Rightarrow$  'V  $\Rightarrow$  'W infty  $\Rightarrow$  ('qw $\times$ 'mr)  $\Rightarrow$  ('qw $\times$ 'mr) nres **where**

```
cupdate g v wv  $\sigma =$  do {
  ASSERT (dinvarC-add  $\sigma$ );
  let (wl,res)= $\sigma$ ;
  let pv=mpath' (mr.lookup v res);
  FOREACH (succ (g. $\alpha$  g) v) ( $\lambda$ (w',v') (wl,res).
    if (wv + Num w' < mpath-weight' (mr.lookup v' res)) then do {
      RETURN (qw.insert wl v' (wv+Num w'),
        mr.update v' ((v,w',v')#the pv,val wv + w') res)
    } else RETURN (wl,res)
  ) (wl,res)
}
```

**definition** *cdijkstra* **where**

```
cdijkstra g v0  $\equiv$  do {
   $\sigma 0 \leftarrow$  cdinit g v0;
  ( $\cdot$ ,res)  $\leftarrow$  WHILET ( $\lambda$ (wl, $\cdot$ ).  $\neg$  qw.isEmpty wl)
    ( $\lambda$  $\sigma$ . do { (v,wv, $\sigma'$ )  $\leftarrow$  cpop-min  $\sigma$ ; cupdate g v wv  $\sigma'$  } )
     $\sigma 0$ ;
  RETURN res
}
```

**end**

**locale** *dijkstraC-fixg* = *dijkstraC* g-ops mr-ops qw-ops +

*Dijkstra* ga v0

**for** g-ops :: ('V,'W::weight,'G,'moreg) graph-ops-scheme

**and** mr-ops :: ('V, (('V,'W) path  $\times$  'W), 'mr,'more-mr) map-ops-scheme

**and** qw-ops :: ('V,'W infty,'qw,'more-qw) uprio-ops-scheme

**and** ga :: ('V,'W) graph

**and** v0 :: 'V +

**fixes** g :: 'G

**assumes** g-rel: (g,ga) $\in$ br g. $\alpha$  g.invar

**begin**

```

schematic-goal cdinit-refines:
  notes [refine] = inj-on-id
  shows cdinit g v0 ≤? R mdinit
  <proof>

schematic-goal cpop-min-refines:
   $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \text{build-rel } \alpha_{sc} \text{ dinvarC-add}$ 
   $\implies \text{cpop-min } \sigma \leq \Downarrow ?R (\text{mpop-min } \sigma')$ 
  <proof>

schematic-goal cupdate-refines:
  notes [refine] = inj-on-id
  shows  $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \text{build-rel } \alpha_{sc} \text{ dinvarC-add} \implies v = v' \implies wv = wv' \implies$ 
   $\text{cupdate } g \ v \ wv \ \sigma \leq \Downarrow ?R (\text{mupdate } v' \ wv' \ \sigma')$ 
  <proof>

lemma cdijkstra-refines:
   $\text{cdijkstra } g \ v0 \leq \Downarrow (\text{build-rel } mr.\alpha \ mr.\text{invar}) \ \text{mdijkstra}$ 
  <proof>
end

context dijkstraC
begin

  thm g.nodes-it-is-iterator

  schematic-goal idijkstra-refines-aux:
    assumes g.invar g
    shows RETURN ?f ≤ cdijkstra g v0
    <proof>

  concrete-definition idijkstra for g ?v0.0 uses idijkstra-refines-aux

  lemma idijkstra-refines:
    assumes g.invar g
    shows RETURN (idijkstra g v0) ≤ cdijkstra g v0
    <proof>

end

```

The following theorem states correctness of the algorithm independent from the refinement framework.

Intuitively, the first goal states that the abstraction of the returned result is correct, the second goal states that the result datastructure satisfies its invariant, and the third goal states that the cached weights in the returned result are correct.

Note that this is the main theorem for a user of Dijkstra's algorithm in some bigger context. It may also be specialized for concrete instances of the

implementation, as exemplarily done below.

```
theorem (in dijkstraC-fixg) idijkstra-correct:
  shows
    weighted-graph.is-shortest-path-map ga v0 ( $\alpha r$  (mr. $\alpha$  (idijkstra g v0)))
    (is ?G1)
  and mr.invar (idijkstra g v0) (is ?G2)
  and Dijkstra.res-invarm (mr. $\alpha$  (idijkstra g v0)) (is ?G3)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
theorem (in dijkstraC) idijkstra-correct:
  assumes INV: g.invar g
  assumes V0: v0  $\in$  nodes (g. $\alpha$  g)
  assumes nonneg-weights:  $\bigwedge v\ w\ v'. (v,w,v') \in \text{edges } (g.\alpha\ g) \implies 0 \leq w$ 
  shows
    weighted-graph.is-shortest-path-map (g. $\alpha$  g) v0
    (Dijkstra. $\alpha r$  (mr. $\alpha$  (idijkstra g v0))) (is ?G1)
  and Dijkstra.res-invarm (mr. $\alpha$  (idijkstra g v0)) (is ?G2)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

Example instantiation with HashSet-based graph, red-black-tree based result map, and finger-tree based priority queue.

```
 $\langle$ ML $\rangle$ 
interpretation hrf: dijkstraC hlg-ops rm-ops aluprio-i-ops
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
 $\langle$ ML $\rangle$ 
```

```
definition hrf-dijkstra  $\equiv$  hrf.idijkstra
lemmas hrf-dijkstra-correct = hrf.idijkstra-correct[folded hrf-dijkstra-def]
```

```
export-code hrf-dijkstra checking SML
export-code hrf-dijkstra in OCaml
export-code hrf-dijkstra in Haskell
export-code hrf-dijkstra checking Scala
```

```
definition hrfn-dijkstra :: (nat,nat) hlg  $\Rightarrow$  -
  where hrfn-dijkstra  $\equiv$  hrf-dijkstra
```

```
export-code hrfn-dijkstra in SML
```

```
lemmas hrfn-dijkstra-correct =
  hrf-dijkstra-correct[where ?'a = nat and ?'b = nat, folded hrfn-dijkstra-def]
```

```
term hrfn-dijkstra
term hlg.from-list
```

```
definition test-hrfn-dijkstra
```

```

≡ rm.to-list
  (hrfn-dijkstra (hlg.from-list ([0..<4],[(0,3,1),(0,4,2),(2,1,3),(1,4,3)])) 0)

⟨ML⟩

end

```

## 11 Implementation of Dijkstra's-Algorithm using Automatic Determinization

```

theory Dijkstra-Impl-Adet
imports
  Dijkstra
  GraphSpec
  HashGraphImpl
  Collections.Refine-Dft-ICF
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
begin

```

### 11.1 Setup

#### 11.1.1 Infinity

**definition** *infy-rel-internal-def*:

$\text{infy-rel } R \equiv \{(Num\ a, Num\ a') \mid a\ a'. (a, a') \in R\} \cup \{(Infy, Infy)\}$

**lemma** *infy-rel-def[refine-rel-defs]*:

$\langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel} = \{(Num\ a, Num\ a') \mid a\ a'. (a, a') \in R\} \cup \{(Infy, Infy)\}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *infy-relI*:

$(Infy, Infy) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel}$   
 $(a, a') \in R \implies (Num\ a, Num\ a') \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *infy-relE*:

**assumes**  $(x, x') \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel}$   
**obtains**  $x = Infy$  **and**  $x' = Infy$   
 |  $a\ a'$  **where**  $x = Num\ a$  **and**  $x' = Num\ a'$  **and**  $(a, a') \in R$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *infy-rel-simps[simp]*:

$(Infy, x') \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel} \longleftrightarrow x' = Infy$   
 $(x, Infy) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel} \longleftrightarrow x = Infy$   
 $(Num\ a, Num\ a') \in \langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel} \longleftrightarrow (a, a') \in R$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *infy-rel-sv[relator-props]*:

$\text{single-valued } R \implies \text{single-valued } (\langle R \rangle \text{infy-rel})$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *infty-rel-id*[*simp*, *relator-props*]:  $\langle Id \rangle \text{infty-rel} = Id$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**consts** *i-infty* :: *interface*  $\Rightarrow$  *interface*  
**lemmas** [*autoref-rel-intf*] = *REL-INTFI*[*of infty-rel i-infty*]

**lemma** *autoref-infty*[*param*, *autoref-rules*]:  
 $(Infty, Infty) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel}$   
 $(Num, Num) \in R \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel}$   
 $(\text{case-infty}, \text{case-infty}) \in Rr \rightarrow (R \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow Rr$   
 $(\text{rec-infty}, \text{rec-infty}) \in Rr \rightarrow (R \rightarrow Rr) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow Rr$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** [*simp*]: *is-Infty*  $x \equiv \text{case } x \text{ of } Infty \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid - \Rightarrow \text{False}$

**context begin interpretation** *autoref-syn*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pat-is-Infty*[*autoref-op-pat*]:  
 $x = Infty \equiv (OP \text{ is-Infty} ::_i \langle I \rangle_i i\text{-infty} \rightarrow_i i\text{-bool}) \$ x$   
 $Infty = x \equiv (OP \text{ is-Infty} ::_i \langle I \rangle_i i\text{-infty} \rightarrow_i i\text{-bool}) \$ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *autoref-is-Infty*[*autoref-rules*]:  
 $(\text{is-Infty}, \text{is-Infty}) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *infty-eq*  $eq \ v1 \ v2 \equiv$   
 $\text{case } (v1, v2) \text{ of}$   
 $(Infty, Infty) \Rightarrow \text{True}$   
 $\mid (Num \ a1, Num \ a2) \Rightarrow eq \ a1 \ a2$   
 $\mid - \Rightarrow \text{False}$

**lemma** *infty-eq-autoref*[*autoref-rules* (**overloaded**)]:  
 $\llbracket GEN-OP \ eq \ (=) \ (R \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{infty-eq} \ eq, (=)) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *infty-eq-expand*[*autoref-struct-expand*]:  $(=) = \text{infty-eq} \ (=)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context begin interpretation** *autoref-syn*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *infty-val-autoref*[*autoref-rules*]:  
 $\llbracket SIDE-PRECOND \ (x \neq Infty); \ (xi, x) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{val } xi, (OP \ \text{val} :: \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow R) \ \$ \ x) \in R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**definition** *infty-plus* **where**

$\text{infty-plus } pl \ a \ b \equiv \text{case } (a,b) \text{ of } (\text{Num } a, \text{Num } b) \Rightarrow \text{Num } (pl \ a \ b) \mid - \Rightarrow \text{Infty}$

**lemma**  $\text{infty-plus-param}[param]:$

$(\text{infty-plus}, \text{infty-plus}) \in (R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{infty-plus-eq-plus}: \text{infty-plus } (+) = (+)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{infty-plus-autoref}[autoref-rules]:$

$GEN-OP \ pl \ (+) \ (R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R)$

$\Rightarrow (\text{infty-plus } pl, (+)) \in \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{infty-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 11.1.2 Graph

**consts**  $i\text{-graph} :: \text{interface} \Rightarrow \text{interface} \Rightarrow \text{interface}$

**definition**  $\text{graph-more-rel-internal-def}:$

$\text{graph-more-rel } Rm \ Rv \ Rw \equiv \{ (g, g') .$   
 $(\text{graph.nodes } g, \text{graph.nodes } g') \in \langle Rv \rangle \text{set-rel}$   
 $\wedge (\text{graph.edges } g, \text{graph.edges } g') \in \langle \langle Rv, \langle Rw, Rv \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{set-rel}$   
 $\wedge (\text{graph.more } g, \text{graph.more } g') \in Rm \}$

**lemma**  $\text{graph-more-rel-def}[refine-rel-defs]:$

$\langle Rm, Rv, Rw \rangle \text{graph-more-rel} \equiv \{ (g, g') .$   
 $(\text{graph.nodes } g, \text{graph.nodes } g') \in \langle Rv \rangle \text{set-rel}$   
 $\wedge (\text{graph.edges } g, \text{graph.edges } g') \in \langle \langle Rv, \langle Rw, Rv \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{set-rel}$   
 $\wedge (\text{graph.more } g, \text{graph.more } g') \in Rm \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $\text{graph-rel} \equiv \langle \text{unit-rel} \rangle \text{graph-more-rel}$

**lemmas**  $\text{graph-rel-def} = \text{graph-more-rel-def}[\text{where } Rm = \text{unit-rel}, \text{simplified}]$

**lemma**  $\text{graph-rel-id}[simp]: \langle Id, Id \rangle \text{graph-rel} = Id$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{graph-more-rel-sv}[relator-props]:$

$\llbracket \text{single-valued } Rm; \text{single-valued } Rv; \text{single-valued } Rw \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{single-valued } (\langle Rm, Rv, Rw \rangle \text{graph-more-rel})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $[\text{autoref-itype}]:$

$\text{graph.nodes} ::_i \langle Iv, Iv \rangle_i i\text{-graph} \rightarrow_i \langle Iv \rangle_i i\text{-set}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**thm**  $\text{is-map-to-sorted-list-def}$

**definition** *nodes-to-list*  $g \equiv \text{it-to-sorted-list } (\lambda -. \text{True}) \text{ (graph.nodes } g)$   
**lemma** *nodes-to-list-itype*[*autoref-itype*]: *nodes-to-list*  $::_i \langle Iv, Iw \rangle_i \text{i-graph} \rightarrow_i \langle \langle Iv \rangle_i \text{i-list} \rangle_i \text{i-nres}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**lemma** *nodes-to-list-pat*[*autoref-op-pat*]: *it-to-sorted-list*  $(\lambda -. \text{True}) \text{ (graph.nodes } g) \equiv \text{nodes-to-list } g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *succ-to-list*  $g \ v \equiv \text{it-to-sorted-list } (\lambda -. \text{True}) \text{ (Graph.succ } g \ v)$   
**lemma** *succ-to-list-itype*[*autoref-itype*]:  
*succ-to-list*  $::_i \langle Iv, Iw \rangle_i \text{i-graph} \rightarrow_i Iv \rightarrow_i \langle \langle \langle Iv, Iw \rangle_i \text{i-prod} \rangle_i \text{i-list} \rangle_i \text{i-nres} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**lemma** *succ-to-list-pat*[*autoref-op-pat*]: *it-to-sorted-list*  $(\lambda -. \text{True}) \text{ (Graph.succ } g \ v) \equiv \text{succ-to-list } g \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context** *graph begin*

**definition** *rel-def-internal*: *rel*  $Rv \ Rw \equiv br \ \alpha \ \text{invar } O \ \langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{graph-rel}$

**lemma** *rel-def*:  $\langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{rel} \equiv br \ \alpha \ \text{invar } O \ \langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{graph-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-id*[*simp*]:  $\langle Id, Id \rangle \text{rel} = br \ \alpha \ \text{invar} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-sv*[*relator-props*]:  
 $\llbracket \text{single-valued } Rv; \text{single-valued } Rw \rrbracket \implies \text{single-valued } (\langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{rel})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** [*autoref-rel-intf*] = *REL-INTFI*[*of rel i-graph*]  
**end**

**lemma** (**in** *graph-nodes-it*) *autoref-nodes-it*[*autoref-rules*]:  
**assumes** *ID*: *PREFER-id*  $Rv$   
**shows**  $(\lambda s. \text{RETURN } (\text{it-to-list } \text{nodes-it } s), \text{nodes-to-list}) \in \langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{rel} \rightarrow \langle \langle Rv \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *graph-succ-it*) *autoref-succ-it*[*autoref-rules*]:  
**assumes** *ID*: *PREFER-id*  $Rv$  *PREFER-id*  $Rw$   
**shows**  $(\lambda s \ v. \text{RETURN } (\text{it-to-list } (\lambda s. \text{succ-it } s \ v) \ s), \text{succ-to-list})$   
 $\in \langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{rel} \rightarrow Rv \rightarrow \langle \langle \langle Rv, Rw \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 11.2 Refinement

**locale** *dijkstraC* =  
 $g$ : *StdGraph*  $g\text{-ops}$  +  
 $mr$ : *StdMap*  $mr\text{-ops}$  +  
 $qw$ : *StdUprio*  $qw\text{-ops}$   
**for**  $g\text{-ops} :: ('V, 'W :: \text{weight}, 'G, 'moreg) \text{graph-ops-scheme}$   
**and**  $mr\text{-ops} :: ('V, ((('V, 'W) \text{path} \times 'W), 'mr, 'more-mr) \text{map-ops-scheme}$   
**and**  $qw\text{-ops} :: ('V, 'W \text{infity}, 'qw, 'more-qw) \text{uprio-ops-scheme}$

**begin**  
**end**

**locale** *dijkstraC-fixg* = *dijkstraC* *g-ops* *mr-ops* *qw-ops* +  
*Dijkstra* *ga* *v0*  
**for** *g-ops* :: ('V, 'W::weight, 'G, 'moreg) *graph-ops-scheme*  
**and** *mr-ops* :: ('V, (('V, 'W) *path* × 'W), 'mr, 'more-mr) *map-ops-scheme*  
**and** *qw-ops* :: ('V, 'W *infty*, 'qw, 'more-qw) *uprio-ops-scheme*  
**and** *ga*::('V, 'W) *graph* **and** *v0*::'V **and** *g* :: 'G+  
**assumes** *ga-trans*: (g,ga)∈br *g.α* *g.invar*  
**begin**  
**abbreviation** *v-rel* ≡ *Id* :: ('V×'V) *set*  
**abbreviation** *w-rel* ≡ *Id* :: ('W×'W) *set*

**definition** *i-node* :: *interface* **where** *i-node* ≡ *undefined*  
**definition** *i-weight* :: *interface* **where** *i-weight* ≡ *undefined*

**lemmas** [*autoref-rel-intf*] = *REL-INTFI*[*of v-rel i-node*]  
**lemmas** [*autoref-rel-intf*] = *REL-INTFI*[*of w-rel i-weight*]

**lemma** *weight-plus-autoref*[*autoref-rules*]:  
(0,0) ∈ *w-rel*  
((+),(+)) ∈ *w-rel* → *w-rel* → *w-rel*  
((+),(+)) ∈ ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel* → ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel* → ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel*  
((<),(<)) ∈ ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel* → ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel* → *bool-rel*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** [*autoref-rules*]: (g,ga)∈⟨*v-rel*,*w-rel*⟩*g.rel* ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** [*autoref-rules*]: (v0,v0)∈*v-rel* ⟨*proof*⟩

**term** *mpath-weight'*  
**lemma** [*autoref-rules*]:  
(*mpath-weight'*,*mpath-weight'*)  
∈ ⟨⟨*v-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *v-rel*⟩*list-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel*⟩*option-rel* → ⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel*  
(*mpath'*, *mpath'*)  
∈ ⟨⟨*v-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *v-rel*⟩*list-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel*⟩*option-rel*  
→ ⟨⟨*v-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *v-rel*⟩*list-rel*⟩*option-rel*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**term** *mdinit*

**lemmas** [*autoref-tyrel*] =  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=*v-rel*]  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=*w-rel*]  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel*]  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=⟨*v-rel*,⟨*w-rel*⟩*infty-rel*⟩*qw.rel*]  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=⟨*v-rel*,⟨*v-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *v-rel*⟩*list-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel*⟩*mr.rel*]  
*ty-REL*[**where** *R*=⟨*v-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *w-rel* ×<sub>r</sub> *v-rel*⟩*list-rel*]



```

lemmas [autoref-op-pat] = uprio-pats[where 'e = 'V and 'a = 'W infly]

schematic-goal cdijkstra-refines-aux:
  shows (?c::?'c,
    mdijkstra
  ) ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context dijkstraC
begin

  concrete-definition cdijkstra for g ?v0.0
    uses dijkstraC-fixg.cdijkstra-refines-aux
    [of g-ops mr-ops qw-ops]

    term cdijkstra
end

context dijkstraC-fixg
begin

  term cdijkstra
  term mdijkstra

  lemma cdijkstra-refines:
    RETURN (cdijkstra g v0) ≤  $\Downarrow$ (build-rel mr.α mr.invar) mdijkstra
    ⟨proof⟩

  theorem cdijkstra-correct:
    shows
      weighted-graph.is-shortest-path-map ga v0 (αr (mr.α (cdijkstra g v0)))
      (is ?G1)
    and mr.invar (cdijkstra g v0) (is ?G2)
    and res-invarm (mr.α (cdijkstra g v0)) (is ?G3)
    ⟨proof⟩

end

theorem (in dijkstraC) cdijkstra-correct:
  assumes INV: g.invar g
  assumes V0: v0 ∈ nodes (g.α g)
  assumes nonneg-weights:  $\bigwedge v w v'. (v,w,v') \in \text{edges } (g.\alpha \ g) \implies 0 \leq w$ 
  shows
    weighted-graph.is-shortest-path-map (g.α g) v0
    (Dijkstra.αr (mr.α (cdijkstra g v0))) (is ?G1)

```

**and** *Dijkstra.res-invarm* (*mr.α* (*cdijkstra g v0*)) (**is** ?G2)  
 <proof>

Example instantiation with HashSet-based graph, red-black-tree based result map, and finger-tree based priority queue.

<ML>

**interpretation** *hrf*: *dijkstraC hlg-ops rm-ops aluprioi-ops*  
 <proof>

<ML>

**definition** *hrf-dijkstra*  $\equiv$  *hrf.cdijkstra*

**lemmas** *hrf-dijkstra-correct* = *hrf.cdijkstra-correct*[*folded hrf-dijkstra-def*]

**export-code** *hrf-dijkstra* **checking** *SML*

**export-code** *hrf-dijkstra* **in** *OCaml*

**export-code** *hrf-dijkstra* **in** *Haskell*

**export-code** *hrf-dijkstra* **checking** *Scala*

**definition** *hrfn-dijkstra* :: (*nat,nat*) *hlg*  $\Rightarrow$  -  
**where** *hrfn-dijkstra*  $\equiv$  *hrf-dijkstra*

**export-code** *hrfn-dijkstra* **checking** *SML*

**lemmas** *hrfn-dijkstra-correct* =

*hrf-dijkstra-correct*[**where** ?'a = *nat* **and** ?'b = *nat*, *folded hrfn-dijkstra-def*]

**end**

## 12 Performance Test

**theory** *Test*

**imports** *Dijkstra-Impl-Adet*

**begin**

In this theory, we test our implementation of Dijkstra's algorithm for larger, randomly generated graphs.

Simple linear congruence generator for (low-quality) random numbers:

**definition** *lcg-next s* = ((81::*nat*)\**s* + 173) mod 268435456

Generate a complete graph over the given number of vertices, with random weights:

**definition** *ran-graph* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  (*nat list*  $\times$  (*nat*  $\times$  *nat*  $\times$  *nat*) *list*) **where**  
*ran-graph vertices seed* ==  
 ([0::*nat*..*vertices*],*fst*  
 (*while* ( $\lambda$  (*g,v,s*). *v* < *vertices*)  
 ( $\lambda$  (*g,v,s*).  
*let* (*g''*,*v''*,*s''*) = (*while* ( $\lambda$  (*g',v',s'*). *v'* < *vertices*)

```

      (λ (g',v',s'). ((v,s',v')#g',v'+1,lcg-next s'))
      (g,0,s))
    in (g'',v+1,s''))
    ([],0,lcg-next seed)))

```

To experiment with the exported code, we fix the node type to natural numbers, and add a from-list conversion:

```

type-synonym nat-res = (nat,((nat,nat) path × nat)) rm
type-synonym nat-list-res = (nat × (nat,nat) path × nat) list

```

```

definition nat-dijkstra :: (nat,nat) hlg ⇒ nat ⇒ nat-res where
  nat-dijkstra ≡ hrfn-dijkstra

```

```

definition hlg-from-list-nat :: (nat,nat) adj-list ⇒ (nat,nat) hlg where
  hlg-from-list-nat ≡ hlg.from-list

```

```

definition
  nat-res-to-list :: nat-res ⇒ nat-list-res
where nat-res-to-list ≡ rm.to-list

```

```

value nat-res-to-list (nat-dijkstra (hlg-from-list-nat (ran-graph 4 8912)) 0)

```

```

⟨ML⟩

```

```

end

```

## References

- [1] E. W. Dijkstra. A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische Mathematik* 1, pages 269–271, 1959.
- [2] F. Haftmann. *Code Generation from Specifications in Higher Order Logic*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität München, 2009.
- [3] F. Haftmann and T. Nipkow. Code generation via higher-order rewrite systems. In *Functional and Logic Programming (FLOPS 2010)*, LNCS. Springer, 2010.
- [4] P. Lammich. Collections framework. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/collections.shtml>, Dec. 2009. Formal proof development.
- [5] P. Lammich. Refinement for monadic programs. 2011. Submitted to AFP.
- [6] P. Lammich and A. Lochbihler. The Isabelle collections framework. In M. Kaufmann and L. Paulson, editors, *Interactive Theorem Prov-*

ing, volume 6172 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 339–354. Springer, 2010.

- [7] B. Nordhoff, S. Körner, and P. Lammich. Finger trees. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Tree-Automata.shtml>, Oct. 2010. Formal proof development.