

Security Assessment & Formal Verification Report



Feb 2024

Prepared for **Tether**

Table of content





Project Summary	3
Project Scope	3
Project Overview	3
Findings Summary	4
Detailed Findings	5
High Severity Concerns	5
H-1. A blocked user can burn their funds to retrieve the original token before the owner could call destroyBlockedFunds(), bypassing the blocklist mechanism	
Medium Severity Concerns	
M-1. Breaking the property of "Funds cannot be transferred to TetherToken"	
Low Severity Concerns	
L-1. Do not leave an implementation contract uninitialized	7
L-2. Use the Namespaced Storage pattern instead of TetherToken's isTrusted for upgradable contracts missing a _gap	
Informational Concerns	9
INFO-1 require(!isBlocked) statements are returning an erroneous error string	9
INFO-2 Draft Dependencies	
INFO-3 Duplicated require()/revert() Checks Should Be Refactored To A Modifier Or Function	10
INFO-4 require() statements should have descriptive reason strings	11
INFO-5 addresses shouldn't be hardcoded	12
INFO-6 blocked user in a wrapped token can send funds wrapper	
INFO-7 WrappedExtension.deposit will be denied of service when zero address is blocked	13
INFO-8 possible re-entrance option in creditGasFees when wrapping CeloExtension	13
Gas Optimizations Recommendations	14
Formal Verification	21
Assumptions and Simplifications	
Verification Notations	
Formal Verification Properties	23
TetherToken.sol	24
WrappedExtension.sol	
CeloExtension.sol	
Disclaimer	43
About Cortors	12





© certora Project Summary

Project Scope

Repo Name	Repository	Last commit	Compiler version	Platform
tether-contra cts-hardhat	https://github.com/tetherto/te ther-contracts-hardhat	<u>0eea2d3</u>	0.8.4	EVM

Project Overview

This document describes the specification and verification of the TetherToken using the Certora Prover and manual code review findings. The work was undertaken from 19 February 2024 to 29 February 2024.

The following contract list is included in our scope:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

contracts/Wrappers/CeloExtension.sol

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol

The Certora Prover demonstrated the implementation of the Solidity contracts above is correct with respect to the formal rules written by the Certora team. In addition, the team performed a manual audit of all the Solidity contracts. During the verification process and the manual audit, the Certora team discovered bugs in the Solidity contracts code, as listed below.





Findings Summary

The table below summarizes the findings of the review, including type and severity details.

Severity	Discovered	Acknowledged	Code Fixed
Critical	-	-	_
High	1	1	1
Medium	1	1	1
Low	2	2	2
Informational	5	-	_
Total	9	4	4





Detailed Findings

High Severity Issues

H-1. A blocked user can burn their funds to retrieve the original token before the owner could call destroyBlockedFunds(), bypassing the blocklist mechanism

Description

In the TetherToken contract, the operations on funds have a blocklist preventing blocked users from transferring their funds.

In the WrappedExtension is TetherToken Contract, there's a WrappedExtension.withdraw() function which allows any user to burn their funds and get back the same amount of originalToken in exchange.

A blocked user is a user on which TetherToken.destroyBlockedFunds() should be called. However, this blocked user has the possibility to frontrun the admin's destruction operation with a call to WrappedExtension.withdraw() to exchange their blocked funds against original tokens, allowing them the freedom to move them elsewhere afterwards, effectively circumventing the blocklist.

Recommendation

The line of code require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is blocked") is copy-pasted multiple times in the codebase, which is error-prone.

One global solution could be, in TetherToken, to override the _beforeTokenTransfer() function that gets called before any transfer, mint or burn operation, to check against the blocklist. It should be noted that there'll be a need to unblock and re-block the blocked user in TetherToken.destroyBlockedFunds() to be able to destroy the funds due to the call of _beforeTokenTransfer() in _burn() at TetherToken.sol#L223 which would revert if the user is blocked. The added benefit is that this solution would erase any need to remember applying the check in future iterations of the code.

Customer's response: changed





Medium Severity Issues

M-1. Breaking the property of "Funds cannot be transferred to TetherToken"

Description:

In TetherToken.transferWithAuthorization(), the checks for msg.sender not being blocked and from not being blocked are made.

However, compared to TetherToken.transferFrom(), the check for _recipient != address(this) is missing, and then _transfer() is directly called.

As nothing prevents to == address(this), this means that it's possible to circumvent the property of "Funds cannot be transferred to TetherToken" that is attempted to be enforced in the protocol and actually transfer funds to the TetherToken contract.

Customer's response: changed in beforeTokenTransfer





Low Severity Issues

L-1. Do not leave an implementation contract uninitialized

Description:

An uninitialized implementation contract can be taken over by an attacker, which may impact the proxy.

To prevent the implementation contract from being used, it's advisable to initialize it in the constructor to automatically lock it when it is deployed.

One way to do it is to add the initializer modifier to the constructor:

WrappedExtension.sol#L33-L35

```
Unset

File: WrappedExtension.sol

- 33: constructor(address _originalToken) {
+ 33: constructor(address _originalToken) initializer {
34: originalToken = _originalToken;
35: }
```

Sources:

- https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/4.x/api/proxy#Initializable-_disableInitializers--
- https://twitter.com/0xCygaar/status/1621417995905167360?s=20

Customer's response: added initializer modifier to TetherToken constructor





L-2. Use the Namespaced Storage pattern instead of TetherToken's isTrusted for upgradable contracts missing a _gap

Description:

TetherToken's isTrusted is used as a placeholder to preserve storage slots across upgrades:

Unset

File: TetherToken.sol

30: // Unused variable retained to preserve storage slots across upgrades

31: mapping(address => bool) public isTrusted;

While it could be argued that it would be better to add a __gap storage variable to allow for new storage variables in later versions (OZ docs), there's also the newest and most adopted pattern of "Namespaced Storage".

This is used in all of the newest OpenZeppelin dependencies.

This video at this timestamp explains it well.

As the following upgradeable contracts don't have _gap variables, consider refactoring the code to use "Namespaced Storage":

Affected code:

- contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol
- contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol
- contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol
- contracts/Wrappers/ArbitrumExtension.sol
- contracts/Wrappers/CeloExtension.sol

Customer's response: Added Gaps to TetherToken, EIP3009 and WithBlockedList





Informational Severity Issues

INFO-1 require(!isBlocked) statements are returning an erroneous error string

The revert string is returned when the require statement doesn't pass and the reason for the error needs to be returned. For the check against blocklisted users, the revert string should be Sender is blocked instead of Sender is not blocked (or instead of nothing):

```
Unset
- TetherToken.sol:193:
                               require(!isBlocked[_sender]);
+ TetherToken.sol:193:
                               require(!isBlocked[_sender], "Sender is blocked");
- TetherToken.sol:274:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
+ TetherToken.sol:274:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is blocked");
- TetherToken.sol:331:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
+ TetherToken.sol:331:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is blocked");
- TetherToken.sol:393:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
+ TetherToken.sol:393:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is blocked");
- TetherToken.sol:452:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
+ TetherToken.sol:452:
                               require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is blocked");
```





INFO-2 Draft Dependencies

Draft contracts have not received adequate security auditing or are liable to change with future developments.

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:7: import
"@openzeppelin/contracts-upgradeable/token/ERC20/extensions/draft-ERC20PermitUpgradeable.sol"
;
```

INFO-3 Duplicated require()/revert() Checks Should Be Refactored To A Modifier Or Function

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
       contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol:
        177:
                     require(
        178
                         _recipient != address(this),
        179
                         "ERC20: transfer to the contract address"
        180
                     );
        189:
                     require(
        190
                         _recipient != address(this),
                         "ERC20: transfer to the contract address"
        191
        192
                     );
```





```
TetherToken.sol:193: require(!isBlocked[_sender]);
TetherToken.sol:274: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
TetherToken.sol:331: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
TetherToken.sol:393: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
TetherToken.sol:452: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
```

INFO-4 require() statements should have descriptive reason strings

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

• contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol





INFO-5 addresses shouldn't be hardcoded

It is often better to declare addresses as immutable, and assign them via constructor arguments. This allows the code to remain the same across deployments on different networks and avoids recompilation when addresses need to change.

Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/CeloExtension.sol

This is very specific to this implementation

INFO-6 blocked user in a wrapped token can send funds wrapper

If by mistake originalToken Owner is the wrapped contract, a user blocked in the originalToken will be able to send its blocked funds to the wrapped and get "clean" new wrapped tokens.

Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol





INFO-7 WrappedExtension.deposit will be denied of service when zero address is blocked

If by mistake address zero is blocked, the deposit function will be out of service.

Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol

INFO-8 possible re-entrance option in FeeCurrencyWrapper.creditGasFees when wrapping CeloExtension

Re-entrancy safety meaning that all storage accesses occur either before external call or after. The external call is for wrappedToken.creditGasFees. It should be fine as long as wrappedToken=CeloExtension as there is trust between FeeCurrencyWrapper and CeloExtension.

Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol
90-132: function creditGasFees() external onlyVm {...}
```





Gas Optimizations Recommendations

G-1. TetherToken doesn't need to directly inherit from Initializable and OwnableUpgradeable

Initializable and OwnableUpgradeable are already inherited through other parent contracts:

```
Unset
File: draft-ERC20PermitUpgradeable.sol
22: abstract contract ERC20PermitUpgradeable is Initializable, ERC20Upgradeable,
IERC20PermitUpgradeable, EIP712Upgradeable {
File: WithBlockedList.sol
19: contract WithBlockedList is OwnableUpgradeable {
```

Therefore, the following is enough:

```
Unset
File: TetherToken.sol
23: contract TetherToken is
- 24: Initializable,
25: ERC20PermitUpgradeable,
- 26: OwnableUpgradeable,
27: WithBlockedList,
28: EIP3009
29: {
```





G-2. Unchecking arithmetics operations that can't underflow/overflow

Solidity version 0.8+ comes with implicit overflow and underflow checks on unsigned integers. When an overflow or an underflow isn't possible (as an example, when a comparison is made before the arithmetic operation), some gas can be saved by using an unchecked block: https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.10/control-structures.html#checked-or-unchecked-arithmetic

Consider wrapping with an unchecked block where it's certain that there cannot be an underflow

25 gas saved per instance

Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

feeCurrency wrapper has constant gas cost for debit and credit

G-3. Use calldata instead of memory for function arguments that do not get mutated

When a function with a memory array is called externally, the abi.decode() step has to use a for-loop to copy each index of the calldata to the memory index. Each iteration of this for-loop costs at least 60 gas (i.e. 60 * <mem_array>.length). Using calldata directly bypasses this loop.

If the array is passed to an internal function which passes the array to another internal function where the array is modified and therefore memory is used in the external call, it's still more gas-efficient to use calldata when the external function uses modifiers, since the modifiers may





prevent the internal functions from being called. Structs have the same overhead as an array of length one.

Saves 60 gas per instance

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:198: address[] memory _recipients,

TetherToken.sol:199: uint256[] memory _values
```

changed

G-4. Use Custom Errors instead of Revert Strings to save Gas

Custom errors are available from solidity version 0.8.4. Custom errors save ~50 gas each time they're hit by avoiding having to allocate and store the revert string. Not defining the strings also save deployment gas

Additionally, custom errors can be used inside and outside of contracts (including interfaces and libraries).

Source: https://blog.soliditylang.org/2021/04/21/custom-errors/:

Starting from Solidity v0.8.4, there is a convenient and gas-efficient way to explain to users why an operation failed through the use of custom errors. Until now, you could already use strings to give more information about failures (e.g., revert("Insufficient funds.");), but they are rather expensive, especially when it comes to deploy cost, and it is difficult to use dynamic information in them.

Consider replacing all revert strings with custom errors in the solution, and particularly those that have multiple occurrences:

Affected code:





contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:91: require(block.timestamp <= deadline, "ERC20Permit: expired deadline");

TetherToken.sol:274: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");

TetherToken.sol:331: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");

TetherToken.sol:393: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");

TetherToken.sol:452: require(!isBlocked[from], "Sender is not blocked");
```

contracts/Wrappers/ArbitrumExtension.sol

contracts/Wrappers/CeloExtension.sol

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol:13: require(msg.sender == address(0), "Only VM can call");
```





```
FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol:75: require(toDebit > 0, "Can not debit 0");
```

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol

G-5. public functions not called by the contract should be declared external instead

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:197: function multiTransfer(

TetherToken.sol:210: function mint(address _destination, uint256 _amount) public onlyOwner {

TetherToken.sol:215: function redeem(uint256 _amount) public onlyOwner {

TetherToken.sol:220: function destroyBlockedFunds(address _blockedUser) public onlyOwner {

TetherToken.sol:263: function transferWithAuthorization(

TetherToken.sol:382: function receiveWithAuthorization(
```

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol





```
Unset
# File: contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol:56: function balanceOf(address account) public view returns (uint256)
{
FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol:64: function totalSupply() public view returns (uint256) {
```

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol
                             function combinedTotalSupply() public view returns (uint256) {
WrappedExtension.sol:46:
WrappedExtension.sol:52:
                             function deposit(uint _value) public returns (bool success) {
WrappedExtension.sol:58:
                              function withdraw(uint _value) public returns (bool success) {
                              function withdrawDifferentToken(address _differentToken) public
WrappedExtension.sol:65:
onlyOwner returns (bool) {
WrappedExtension.sol:74:
                               function withdrawBalanceDifference() public onlyOwner returns
(bool success) {
WrappedExtension.sol:84:
                              function toggleWithdrawable(bool _canWithdraw) public onlyOwner
```

G-6. Using > 0 costs more gas than != 0 when used on a uint in a require() statement

Up until Solidity 0.8.13: != 0 costs less gas compared to > 0 for unsigned integers in require statements with the optimizer enabled (6 gas)

Proof: While it may seem that > 0 is cheaper than !=, this is only true without the optimizer enabled and outside a require statement. If you enable the optimizer AND you're in a require this will this statement. save You tweet for proofs: gas. can see more https://twitter.com/gzeon/status/1485428085885640706

Consider changing > 0 with != 0 and enabling the Optimizer.





Affected code:

contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Wrappers/FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol

FeeCurrencyWrapper.sol:75: require(toDebit > 0, "Can not debit 0");
```

contracts/Wrappers/WrappedExtension.sol

G-7. Cache array length outside of loop

If not cached, the solidity compiler will always read the length of the array during each iteration. That is, if it is a storage array, this is an extra sload operation (100 additional extra gas for each iteration except for the first) and if it is a memory array, this is an extra mload operation (3 additional gas for each iteration except for the first).

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:205:    for (uint256 i = 0; i < _recipients.length; i++) {</pre>
```

G-8. ++i costs less gas compared to i++

Pre-increments are cheaper.





For a uint256 i variable, the following is true with the Optimizer enabled at 10k:

Increment:

- i += 1 is the most expensive form
- i++ costs 6 gas less than i += 1
- ++i costs 5 gas less than i++ (11 gas less than i += 1)

Saves 5 gas per instance

Affected code:

contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

G-9. Increments/decrements can be unchecked in for-loops

In Solidity 0.8+, there's a default overflow check on unsigned integers. It's possible to uncheck this in for-loops and save some gas at each iteration, but at the cost of some code readability, as this uncheck cannot be made inline.

ethereum/solidity#10695

The change would be:

```
Unset
- for (uint256 i; i < numIterations; i++) {
+ for (uint256 i; i < numIterations;) {
   // ...
+ unchecked { ++i; }
}</pre>
```

These save around 25 gas saved per instance.

The same can be applied with decrements (which should use break when i == 0).





The risk of overflow is non-existent for uint256.

Affected code:

• contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

```
Unset
# File: contracts/Tether/TetherToken.sol

TetherToken.sol:205:     for (uint256 i = 0; i < _recipients.length; i++) {</pre>
```





Formal Verification

Assumptions and Simplifications

General Assumptions

_

Verification Notations

Formally Verified	The rule is verified for every state of the contract(s), under the assumptions of the scope/requirements in the rule.
Violated	A counter-example exists that violates one of the assertions of the rule.





Formal Verification Properties

TetherToken.sol

Assumptions

- Owner can make all operations and transfers even if blocked.
- Blocked addresses can receive funds.
- Owner can make multiple actions which are risky self block & destroy, self block & redeem
- Signature is unique only between every 2 users, but for 2 contracts or user & contract we can find violations

Properties

ID	Rule Name	Description
1	Verified InitializeDoesntChangeAfterInit	Initialized flags never change after init
2	Verified cantCallInitilizeTwice	Can't initialize twice
3	Verified contractOwnerDosentChange	Only owner can change ownership
4	Verified ownerlsZeroAddressOnlyWhenUseR enounceOwnership	Owner is zero address only if ownership was renounced
5	Verified onlyOwnerCanChangeOwnership	Only owner can change ownership
6	Verified changeOwnershipDenialOfService	DOS check for ownership changes (Note: no two step ownership change –





		L4)
7	Verified OnlyOwnerModifierIntegrity	Integrity of onlyOwner modifier
8	Verified renouncedOwnershipCannotRecove r	Cannot recover renounced ownership
9	Verified onlyOwnerMintOrRedeem	Only owner can mint or redeem
10	Verified totalSupplyIsSumOfBalances	Total supply is sum of balances
11	Verified totalSupplyNeverOverflow	totalSupply cannot overflow
12	Verified onlyAllowedMethodsMayChangeTot alSupply	Only specific methods can change totalSupply
13	Verified onlyMultiTransferCanChangeMoreT hanTwoBalances	Only multiTransfer can change the balance of more than 2 addresses
14	Verified onlyAllowedMethodsMayChangeBal ance	Only specific methods can change balance
15	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanTransfer	Only authorized addresses can transfer (Note: unrelated to blocked addresses)





16	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanTransferIntegrity	Transfer integrity
17	Verified authorizedTransferDoesNotAffectTh irdParty	Third party unaffected by transfers
18	Verified transferWithAuthorizationRevertCondition	Transfer with authorization reverting conditions
19	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanReceiveIntegrity	Only authorized addresses can receive
20	Verified authorizedReceiveDoesNotAffectTh irdParty	Receiving does not affect third party
21	Verified	Receive with authorization reverting
	receiveWithAuthorizationRevertCon dition	conditions
22		Conditions Transfer integrity
22	dition Verified	
	dition Verified transferIntegrity Verified	Transfer integrity
23	Verified transferIntegrity Verified transferIsOneWayAdditive Verified	Transfer integrity Transfer is additive





	transferFromIntegrity	
27	Verified transferFromIsOneWayAdditive	TransferFrom is additive
28	Verified transferFromRevertingCondition	TransferFrom reverting conditions
29	Verified transferFromDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by transferFrom
30	Verified onlyAllowedMethodsMayChangeAll owance	Only specific methods can change allowance
31	Verified onlyHolderOrSpenderCanChangeAll owance	Only holder or spender can change allowance
32	Verified approveIntegrity	Integrity of approve
33	Verified approveRevertingConditions	Approve reverting conditions
34	Verified approveDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by approve
35	Verified mintIntegrity	Integrity of mint
36	Verified mintRevertingConditions	Mint reverting conditions





37	Verified mintDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by mint
38	Verified redeemIntegrity	Integrity of redeem
39	Verified redeemRevertingConditions	Redeem reverting conditions
40	Verified redeemDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by redeem
41	Verified permitIntegrity	Integrity of permit
42	Verified permitDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by permit
43	Verified permitRevertConditions	permit reverting conditions
44	Verified signaturelsUniquePerHolder	Uniqueness of signature (Note: Violated in the case where holder is user address and another holder is a contract address)
45	Verified userCanBeAddedToBlocklistOnlyUsi ngAddToBlockList	Only addToBlockList() can add to block list
46	Verified onlyOwnerCanAddUserToBlockList	Only owner can block
47	Verified onlyOwnerCanRemoveUserFromBlo	Only owner can unblock





	ckList	
48	Verified userRemovedFromBlocklistOnlyUsin gRemoveFromBlockedList	Only removeFromBlockList() can unblock
49	Verified blockedUserBalanceCannotDecreas e	Blocked balances cannot decrease (Note: unless owner and calls the functions)
50	Verified cantDestroyUnblockedUserFunds	Unblocked user's funds are safe from destruction
51	Verified contractAllowanceAlwaysZero	Contract's allowance is always zero
52	Verified reentrancySafety	Re-entrancy safety meaning that all storage accesses occur either before external call or after
53	Verified msgSenderlsNotCurrentContract	CurrentContract cannot be "message sender".
54	Verified checkHolderIsNotCurrentContract	Using currentContract as the holder, cannot perform – permit, transferWithAuthorization, receiveWithAuthorization.
55	Verified NoDiffBetweenPermitImplementations NoDiffBetweenReceiveImplementations NoDiffBetweenTransferImplementations	Using signature or v,r,s methods are giving the same outcome.





56 Verified contractBalanceAlwaysZero

Celo contract balance remains zero as an invariant after every operation.





WrappedExtension.sol

Assumptions

Owner not call the following function withdrawDifferentToken(address
 _differentToken) public onlyOwner returns (bool) with the currentContract
 Address

Properties

ID	Rule Name	Description
1	Verified initializeDoesntChangeAfterInit	Initialized flags never change after init
2	Verified cantCallInitilizeTwice	Can't initialize twice
3	Verified ownerlsZeroAddressOnlyWhenUseRen ounceOwnership	Owner is zero address only if ownership was renounced
4	Verified totalSupplyNeverOverflow	totalSupply cannot overflow
5	Verified onlyMultiTransferCanChangeMoreTha nTwoBalances	Only multiTransfer can change the balance of more than 2 addresses
6	Verified only Authorized Can Transfer	Only authorized addresses can transfer (Note: unrelated to blocked addresses)





7	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanTransferIntegrity	Transfer integrity
8	Verified authorizedTransferDoesNotAffectThir dParty	Third party unaffected by transfers
9	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanReceiveIntegrity	Only authorized addresses can receive
10	Verified authorizedReceiveDoesNotAffectThird Party	Receiving does not affect third party
11	Verified transferIntegrity	Transfer integrity
12	Verified transferIsOneWayAdditive	Transfer is additive
13	Verified transferRevertingCondition	Transfer reverting conditions
14	Verified transferDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by transfer
15	Verified transferFromIntegrity	TransferFrom integrity
16	Verified transferFromIsOneWayAdditive	TransferFrom is additive
17	Verified transferFromRevertingCondition	TransferFrom reverting conditions





18	Verified transferFromDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by transferFrom
19	Verified onlyAllowedMethodsMayChangeAllow ance	Only specific methods can change allowance
20	Verified approveIntegrity	Integrity of approve
21	Verified approveRevertingConditions	Approve reverting conditions
22	Verified approveDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by approve
23	Verified mintIntegrity	Integrity of mint
24	Verified mintRevertingConditions	Mint reverting conditions
25	Verified mintDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by mint
26	Verified redeemIntegrity	Integrity of redeem
27	Verified redeemRevertingConditions	Redeem reverting conditions
28	Verified redeemDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by redeem
29	Verified	Integrity of permit





	permitIntegrity	
30	Verified permitDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by permit
31	Verified userCanBeAddedToBlocklistOnlyUsing AddToBlockList	Only addToBlockList() can add to block list
32	Verified onlyOwnerCanAddUserToBlockList	Only owner can block
33	Verified onlyOwnerCanRemoveUserFromBlock List	Only owner can unblock
34	Verified userRemovedFromBlocklistOnlyUsingR emoveFromBlockedList	Only removeFromBlockList() can unblock
35	Verified cantDestroyUnblockedUserFunds	Unblocked user's funds are safe from destruction
36	Verified blockedUserWrappedBalanceCannotD ecrease	Blocked balances on wrapped contract cannot decrease
37	Verified msgSenderIsNotCurrentContract	CurrentContract cannot be "message sender".
38	Verified totalSupplyIsSumOfBalances	Total supply is sum of balances
39	Verified totalSuppliesRelations	Difference between total supplies only changes by specific functions





40	Verified depositIntegrity	Deposit work and update storage as expected
41	Verified depositNotEffectedThirdParty	3rd party user won't affect from other users deposits
42	Violated blockedUserCanDepositBlockedFunds	Violation reason: If by mistake originalToken Owner is the wrapped contract, a user blocked in the originalToken will be able to send its blocked funds to the wrapped and get a "clean" new wrapped tokens. (INFO-6)
43	Verified depositRevertConditions	Specify all the causes for deposit reverts
44	Verified contractAllowanceAlwaysZero	Contract has zero allowances
45	Verified withdrawIntegrity	Withdraw works and update storage as expected
46	Verified blockedUserCantWithdraw	Block users can't call the withdraw function.
47	Verified withdrawNotEffectThirdPart	3rd party user won't affect from other users withdraws
48	Verified withdrawRevertCondition	Specify all the causes for withdraw reverts
49	Verified onlyWithdrawFunctionsCanEmptyWra ppedBalance	All wrapped balance on original token can be withdrawn and only by using specific withdraw functions





50	Verified originalTokencontractAllowanceAlway sZero	Original token has zero allowances
51	Verified wrappedAllowanceAlwaysZeroInOrigin alToken	Wrapped contract has zero allowances in original token
52	Verified roundTripDeposit	User holdings don't increase on round trip deposit -> withdraw -> deposit
53	Verified roundTripWithdraw	User holdings don't increase on round trip withdraw -> deposit -> withdraw
54	Violated depositDenialOfService	Violation reason: If by mistake address zero is blocked, the deposit function will be out of service. (INFO-7)
55	Verified contractBalanceAlwaysZero	Wrapped token contract balance in wrapped is always zero
56	Verified blockedUserOriginalTokenBalanceCan notDecrease	Blocked balances on original Token cant decrease
57	Verified originalTokenBalanceAlwaysZero	Original token contract balance is always zero in Original token balance





CeloExtension.sol

Assumptions

- A blocked user in Celo extension can still pay gas and the gas address itself can also transfer tokens while blocked.
- Debit and credit gas fees can decrease/increase balances.
- GAS_FEE_ADDRESS = 0x000...00Ce106A5.

Properties

ID	Rule Name	Description
1	Verified initializeDoesntChangeAfterInit	Initialized flags never change after init
2	Verified cantCallInitilizeTwice	Can't initialize twice
3	Verified ownerlsZeroAddressOnlyWhenUseR enounceOwnership	Owner is zero address only if ownership was renounced
4	Verified totalSupplyNeverOverflow	totalSupply cannot overflow
5	Verified onlyMultiTransferCanChangeMoreT hanTwoBalances	Only multiTransfer and creditGasFees can change the balance of more than 2 addresses
6	Verified	Only authorized addresses can transfer





	onlyAuthorizedCanTransfer	(Note: unrelated to blocked addresses)
7	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanTransferIntegrity	Transfer integrity
8	Verified authorizedTransferDoesNotAffectT hirdParty	Third party unaffected by transfers
9	Verified onlyAuthorizedCanReceiveIntegrity	Only authorized addresses can receive
10	Verified authorizedReceiveDoesNotAffectTh irdParty	Receiving does not affect third party
11	Verified transferIntegrity	Transfer integrity
12	Verified transferIsOneWayAdditive	Transfer is additive
13	Verified transferRevertingCondition	Transfer reverting conditions
14	Verified transferDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by transfer
15	Verified transferFromIntegrity	TransferFrom integrity
16	Verified transferFromIsOneWayAdditive	TransferFrom is additive
17	Verified	TransferFrom reverting conditions





	transferFromRevertingCondition	
18	Verified transferFromDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by transferFrom
19	Verified onlyAllowedMethodsMayChangeAll owance	Only specific methods can change allowance
20	Verified approveIntegrity	Integrity of approve
21	Verified approveRevertingConditions	Approve reverting conditions
22	Verified approveDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by approve
23	Verified mintIntegrity	Integrity of mint
24	Verified mintRevertingConditions	Mint reverting conditions
25	Verified mintDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by mint
26	Verified redeemIntegrity	Integrity of redeem
27	Verified redeemRevertingConditions	Redeem reverting conditions
28	Verified	Third party unaffected by redeem





	redeemDoesNotAffectThirdParty	
29	Verified permitIntegrity	Integrity of permit
30	Verified permitDoesNotAffectThirdParty	Third party unaffected by permit
31	Verified userCanBeAddedToBlocklistOnlyUsi ngAddToBlockList	Only addToBlockList() can add to block list
32	Verified onlyOwnerCanAddUserToBlockList	Only owner can block
33	Verified onlyOwnerCanRemoveUserFromBlo ckList	Only owner can unblock
34	Verified userRemovedFromBlocklistOnlyUsin gRemoveFromBlockedList	Only removeFromBlockList() can unblock
35	Verified cantDestroyUnblockedUserFunds	Unblocked user's funds are safe from destruction
36	Verified blockedUserBalanceCannotDecreas e_Celo	Blocked balances cannot decrease (Note: unless destroyBlockedFunds() called, or blocked is owner and calls redeem)
38	Verified totalSupplyIsSumOfBalances	Total supply is sum of balances
39	Verified	Only owner can change ownership





	contractOwnerDosentChange	
40	Verified onlyOwnerCanChangeOwnership	Only owner can change ownership
41	Verified changeOwnershipDenialOfService	DOS check for ownership changes (Note: no two step ownership change – L4)
42	Verified OnlyOwnerModifierIntegrity	Integrity of onlyOwner modifier
43	Verified onlyOwnerCanChangeOwnership	Only owner can change ownership
44	Verified debitGasFeesIntegrity	Integrity of debitGasFees
45	Verified creditGasFeesIntegrity	Integrity of creditGasFees (Note: GAS_FEE_ADDRESS is not credited and is different from all other addresses)
46	Verified canCreditDebited	Debit can be withdrawn from FeeCurrencyWrapper is exist
47	Verified creditGasFeesDenialOfService	If debitGasFees and some function after it didn't revert, creditGasFees will not always revert, denying service.
48	Verified celoVMFunctionExecution	Tries to model the celo VM behavior. Assuming GAS_FEE_ADDRESS balance is O and gas fees recipient and community funds are not the zero address, checks that after executing a function with





		debitGasFees before and creditGasFees after, the balance of GAS_FEE_ADDRESS and FeeCurrencyWrapper.debited stays 0.
49	Violated reentrancySafety	Re-entrancy safety meaning that all storage accesses occur either before external call or after. (INFO-8) Violation reason: Violated for FeeCurrencyWrapper.creditGasFees. The external call is for wrappedToken.creditGasFees. It should be fine as long as wrappedToken=CeloExtension as there is trust between FeeCurrencyWrapper and CeloExtension.
50	Verified msgSenderIsNotCurrentContract	CurrentContract cannot be "message sender".
51	Verified contractBalanceAlwaysZero	Celo contract balance remains zero as an invariant after every operation.





Disclaimer

The Certora Prover takes a contract and a specification as input and formally proves that the contract satisfies the specification in all scenarios. Notably, the guarantees of the Certora Prover are scoped to the provided specification and the Certora Prover does not check any cases not covered by the specification.

Even though we hope this information is helpful, we provide no warranty of any kind, explicit or implied. The contents of this report should not be construed as a complete guarantee that the contract is secure in all dimensions. In no event shall Certora or any of its employees be liable for any claim, damages, or other liability, whether in an action of contract, tort, or otherwise, arising from, out of, or in connection with the results reported here.

About Certora

Certora is a Web3 security company that provides industry-leading formal verification tools and smart contract audits. Certora's flagship security product, Certora Prover, is a unique SaaS product that automatically locates even the most rare & hard-to-find bugs on your smart contracts or mathematically proves their absence. The Certora Prover plugs into your standard deployment pipeline. It is helpful for smart contract developers and security researchers during auditing and bug bounties.

Certora also provides services such as auditing, formal verification projects, and incident response.