# Formal Verification Report Of Aave Governance V3

# **Summary**

This document describes the specification and verification of Aave's Governance V3 using the Certora Prover. The work was undertaken from April 16th to September 14th.

The scope of our verification includes the following contracts:

#### Mainnet:

- GovernanceCore.sol
- Governance.sol
- GovernancePowerStrategy.sol

#### **Voting Chain:**

- DataWarehouse.sol
- VotingMachine.sol
- VotingMachineWithProofs.sol
- VotingStrategy.sol

#### **Execution Chain:**

- PayloadsControllerCore.sol
- PayloadsController.sol
- Executor.sol

#### Multi-Chain:

- VotingPortal.sol
- BaseVotingStrategy.sol

The Certora Prover proved the implementation correct with respect to the formal rules written by the Certora team. During verification, the Certora Prover discovered bugs in the code which are listed in the tables below. All issues were promptly addressed. The fixes were verified to satisfy the specifications up to the limitations of the Certora Prover. The following section formally defines the high-level specifications of Aave Governance V3.

# List of Main Issues Discovered

## Severity: Medium

Issue:	Preventing any proposal from being queued in the future.
Description:	If the expiration delay is ever to be set to a value lower than the minimal flow duration (creation, voting, cooling down, bridging), any future proposal will not be able to be queued. Since the expiration delay can be updated only by an owner, and since the owner of the system is the executor which initiates actions only following a full proposal process, fixing this bad state will be impossible as a proposal to update the expiration delay will not be able to pass.
Mitigation/Fix:	Fixed in PR#170.

## Severity: Low

Issue:	An owner may resurrect an expired proposal.
Rules Broken:	Property #4.
Description:	An owner may resurrect an expired proposal by changing the global voting duration of a certain access level when calling Governance.setVotingConfigs(). It will effectively set the expiration date into the future on previously expired payload.
Mitigation/Fix:	Fixed in PR#285.

## Severity: Low

Issue:	An owner may resurrect an expired payload.
Rules Broken:	Property #7.
Description:	An owner may resurrect an expired payload by calling  PayloadsController.updateExecutors() with a long enough

Issue:	An owner may resurrect an expired payload.
	gracePeriod or delay that will effectively set the expiration date to the future on previously expired payload.
Mitigation/Fix:	Fixed in PR#301.

# Severity: Recommendation

Recommendation:	Allow a mechanism for the invoker of executePayload() to supply the necessary ETH value for execution upon invocation.
Description:	Currently, payment of payload actions must be done in 2 steps:  (1) Send ETH to the payloadController (collected through receive()). (2) Call executePayload() to execute the actions with the stored necessary value.
Mitigation/Fix:	We analyzed the flow from scratch, and made some adjustments:  - Executor does accept ETH executePayload method changed payable. The reasoning behind it is that we have 2 cases: (1) We want to put ETH in advance, so there is no point to put it on PayloadsController, we can put it straight to the executor. (2) If you want to pay during the execution, you set action.value in advance and send ETH to executePayload. (3) If you want to pay in advance, you send ETH to PayloadsController and set the action.value in advance The changes are incorporated in PR#321.

# Severity: Recommendation

Issue:	VotingMachineWithProofs.submitVoteBySignature() is incompliant with EIP-712 in the digest's calculation.
Description:	votingAssetsWithSlot in VOTE_SUBMITTED_TYPEHASH is an array of VotingAssetWithSlot structs. The calculation of the digest in VotingMachineWithProofs.submitVoteBySignature() is directly using the underlyingAssetsWithSlot variable, which is an array of VotingAssetWithSlot structs corresponding to votingAssetsWithSlot. Directly using the actual variable instead of encoding the array values goes against the EIP-712 specification.
Recommendation:	See Appendix

Issue:	VotingMachineWithProofs.submitVoteBySignature() is incompliant with EIP-712 in the digest's calculation.
Mitigation/Fix:	Fixed in PR#314.

## **Severity: Informational**

Issue:	An owner can gain proposal cancellation power by abusing other power he possess.
Description:	<pre>cancelProposal() is callable by the proposal creator if they have enough power, or by the guardian as long as the voting portal is approved. Since cancelProposal() uses _checkGuardian() and not _checkOwnerOrGuardian, it is clear that an owner should not have the power to cancel a proposal. An owner, however, can in fact bypass _checkGuardian() to successfully cancel a proposal in the following way: (1) call removeVotingPortals() to remove the approved voting portal from the _votingPortals list. (2) Call cancelProposal() which will pass without calling _checkGuardian() due to isVotingPortalApproved(proposal.votingPortal) == false. Such an ability gives more power than intended in the hand of a single entity which leads to greater centralization.</pre>
Mitigation/Fix:	The owner of the governance will be the executor, so an owner to abusing its power meaning a proposal and vote will have to pass. This flow should be allowed since it would be the result of voter intention.

# Disclaimer

The Certora Prover takes as input a contract and a specification and formally proves that the contract satisfies the specification in all scenarios. Importantly, the guarantees of the Certora Prover are scoped to the provided specification, and the Certora Prover does not check any cases not covered by the specification.

We hope that this information is useful, but provide no warranty of any kind, explicit or implied. The contents of this report should not be construed as a complete guarantee that the contract is secure in all dimensions. In no event shall Certora or any of its employees be liable for any claim, damages or other liability, whether in an action of contract, tort or otherwise, arising from, out of or in connection with the results reported here.

# **Assumptions and Simplifications Made During Verification**

We made the following assumptions during our verification:

- We unroll loops. Violations that require executing a loop more than:
  - Once on VotingPortal.sol and some properties on VotingMachine.sol.
  - Twice on VotingStrategy.sol.
  - Three times on Governance.sol, payloadController, some properties on
     VotingMachine.sol and GovernancePowerStrategy.sol. will not be detected.
- We do not verify the cryptographic correctness of functions that involves calls to the keccak256() function.
- We check a single chain ID at at time.
- The proposal power of a user is being determined once and does not change over time.
- We abstract function CrossChainForwarder.forwardMessage(uint256 destinationChainId, address destination, uint256 gasLimit, bytes memory message) external returns (bytes32, bytes32). We replace the function with no-op and we allow it to return arbitrary values.

## **Notations**

- ✓ indicates the rule is formally verified on the latest reviewed commit.
- ✓ \* indicates that the rule is verified on the simplified assumptions described above in "Assumptions and Simplifications Made During Verification".
- × indicates that the rule was violated under one of the tested versions of the code.
- indicates the rule is currently timing out and therefore was not proved and no violations were found.

# **Properties of Aave Governance V3**

#### Governance

1. ✓ Proposal IDs are consecutive and incremental. Proposal ID increments by 1 iff createProposal was called.

- 2. V Every proposal should contain at least one payload with all its required data. For initialized proposal, the payload list is not empty.
- 3. ✓ Address zero cannot be a creator of a proposal
- 4. ✓ If a voting portal gets invalidated during the proposal life cycle, the proposal should not transition to any non-terminal state, i.e. Cancelled, Expired, and Failed.
- 5. If the proposer's proposition power goes below the minimum required threshold, an action on proposal should not go to any state apart from Failed or Canceled at the same block. 5.1 If time have elapsed state could become Expired.
- 6. Once a voting portal was configured for a proposal, it cannot be changed.
- 7. ✓ State transitions are impossible if proposal.state > 3.l.e. Executed, Failed, Cancelled, Expired are terminal states.
- 8. proposal.state can't transition backwards, it can only transition forward.
- 9. ✓ It is impossible to do more than 1 state transition per proposal per block, excpet the following four cases.
  - (a) Cancellation due proposition power change.
  - (b) Cancellation after proposal creation by creator.
  - (c) Proposal execution after proposal queuing if COOLDOWN\_PERIOD = 0.
  - (d) Disapproval of voting portals by the owner, calling removeVotingPortals() or setVotingConfigs().
- 10. ✓ Only owner can set voting power strategy and voting config.
- 11. ✔ A proposal cannot be queued if its voting portal is unapproved.
- 12. ✔ Guardian can only cancel proposals with proposal.state < 4 . l.e. while the proposal is still in process Null , Created , Active , Queued .
- 13. A guardian and an owner can cancel any proposal. A creator of a proposal can cancel his own proposal.
- 14. ✓ The proposal parameters creator, accessLevel, votingPortal, creationTime, ipfsHash, payloads.length cannot be updated post proposal creation.
- 15. ✓ The proposal parameters votingActivationTime , snapshotBlockHash , votingDuration cannot be updated post voting activation.

- 16. Voting via Portal can occur only when proposal Active and only once per voter. Property became **obsolete** after an architectural change.
- 17. Only a valid voting portal can queue proposals.
- 18. ✓ A voting portal can queue a proposal only if it is in Active state.
- 19. ✓ A proposal can be executed only after the cooldownPeriod has elapsed since it was queued.
- 20. When a proposal is in a terminal state, no function can change its state.
- 21. Only createProposal(), activateVoting(), queueProposal(), executeProposal(), cancelProposal() can progress the state of a proposal.

#### **Cancellation Fee**

- 22. ✓ For any proposal that wasn't created, the cancellation fee must be 0.
- 23. The ETH balance held in the contract can cover the total cancellation fee of all proposals.
- 23a. ✓ In any case that proposal.CancellationFee doesn't change, ETH balance cannot decrease.

### Voting by representative

24 A voter cannot represent himself (in particulare, address zero can be a representative) 24a. Address zero has no representatives

#### Representative Logic

25. **✓** updateRepresentatives() modifies the representative set correctly.

## GovernancePowerStrategy

- 26. ✓ An invalid token or slot is refused.
- 27. If a voter's power in each token is 0, then the voter has no voting power at all.
- 28. Transferring does not raise the power of the sender nor lowers the power of the receiver.
- 29. Delegating does not increase delegator's power nor decrease delegatee's power.

## **VotingMachine**

- 29. ✓ A voter cannot vote twice. 29.1. ✓ An existing proposal must have a non-zero proposal blockhash. 29.2 ✓ Stored voting power is immutable (once positive).
- 30. Vote tally can change only for active and properly configured proposals.
- 31. Vote tally may change only if voter had zero stored voting power before. It can only change to a positive value.
- 32. When starting a proposal vote it already has a valid config.
- 33. Once a proposal vote is started the required roots exist.
- 34. **✓** Existing proposal config has non-zero duration.
- 35. ✓ New proposal must have a unique, unused ID.
- 36. ✓ A proposal's configuration is immutable once set.
- 37. ✓ A proposal's vote start time must be set to an earlier time than its end time.
- 38. A started proposal's end time is derived from the start time and voting duration.
- 39. ✓ A proposal can only be within one of its valid states: 39.1. ✓ A proposal not created iff the configured endTime is 0. 39.2. ✓ A proposal is active iff the proposal endTime is set (>0) and current timestamp is before endTime. 39.3. ✓ If current timestamp is after endTime then the proposal cannot be active. 39.4. ✓ A proposal is Finished iff the end time is set (>0), current timestamp is past endTime the proposal wasn't yet sent to mainnet. 39.5. ✓ A proposal is sent to governance iff endTime is set (>0) and current timestamp is after endTime.
- 40. ✓ A proposal can only transition between its valid states according to the defined state machine: 40.1 ✓ NotCreated can only transition to Active by a call to startProposalVote(). 40.2 ✓ Active can only transition to Finished by a passage of time. Not function can cause a state transition. 40.3 ✓ Finished can only transition to SentToGovernance by a call to closeAndSendVote 40.4 ✓ SentToGovernance is a terminal state.
- 41. ✓ The proposal parameters id , startTime , endTime , creationBlockNumber are immutable once created.
- 42. ✓ The voting machine can only send results for finished votes.
- 43. **✓** The stored voting power equals getUserProposalVote.

- 44. ✓ The sum of votes in favor and against equals the sum of stored voting powers.
- 45. ✓ If a proposal's votes tally changed then a vote was cast on the proposal. I.e. if the proposal's votes tally changed then there exists a voter v whose stored voting power on the proposal changed from zero to positive.
- 46. ✓ Casting a vote changes the proposal's votes tally. If a vote was cast, then the proposal's tally changed by an amount equal to the power of the vote cast.
- 47. Vote tally can be changed only by one of the voting methods submitVote, submitVoteBySignature and settleVoteFromPortal.
- 48. ✓ Voting tally cannot decrease.
- 49. ✓ Only a single proposal's tally and votes may change by a single method call.
- 50. Only a single voter's stored voting power may change by a single method call on a given proposal.

## PayloadsController.sol

- 52. ✓ A payload is uninitialized if it's beyond \_payloadsCount . 52.1. ✓ The payload cannot have any set actions. 52.2. ✓ The payload state must be None. 52.3. ✓ The payload maximal access level must be null. 52.4. ✓ The payload creator is the address 0. 52.5. ✓ The payload expiration time is 0.
- 53. ✓ A payload maximal access level is greater than or equal to the access level of its actions.
- 54. ✓ A valid payload must have valid maximal access level. X found issues in previous commits.
- 55. **✓** Payloads IDs are consecutive.
- 56. ✓ A payload must include at least one action.
- 57. ✓ The payload parameters creator, maximumAccessLevelRequired, createdAt, expirationTime, delay and gracePeriod cannot be updated post payload creation.
- 58. ✓ The payload actions fields target , withDelegateCall , accessLevel , value , signature and callData cannot be updated post payload creation.
- 59. ✓ Payload executor cannot be address 0.
- 60. ✓ Post payload creation, all action access level must not be null.

- 61. ✓ Post payload creation, payload maximal access level must not be null.
- 62. A Payload can only be executed once in queued state, time lock has finished and before the grace period has passed.
- 63. The Guardian can cancel a Payload if it has not been executed yet.
- 64. ✓ A payload cannot execute after a guardian canceled it.
- 65. ✓ It's impossible to cancel a payload before creation.
- 66. ✓ It's impossible to cancel a payload after is was executed.
- 67. A state of a payload cannot can't transition backwards, it can only transition forward.
- 68. ✓ Cancelled and Executed are terminal states.
- 69. ✓ A Payload's grace period is global and determined by the controller.
- 70. ✓ Payload's delay is within the range [MIN\_EXECUTION\_DELAY, MAX\_EXECUTION\_DELAY].
- 71. Executor delay of payload's max access level is within the range [MIN\_EXECUTION\_DELAY] .
- 72. Executor delay is within the range [MIN\_EXECUTION\_DELAY, MAX\_EXECUTION\_DELAY].
- 73. Executor delay is within the range [MIN\_EXECUTION\_DELAY, MAX\_EXECUTION\_DELAY].
- 74. A Payload can never be executed if it has not been queued before the EXPIRATION\_DELAY defined.
- 75. Queuing time cannot occur after creation time and must occur before creationTime + EXPIRATION\_DELAY.

## VotingStrategy

- 76. ✓ DelegationModeHarness.Mode equals DelegationMode.
- 77. ✓ Zero power implies zero voting power.
- 78. For undelegated balance, the voting power is equal to the balance.
- 79. **V** Token slot that aren't approved yield zero voting power.
- 80. Assets that aren't the white listed voting tokens aren't possessing any voting power.

# **Appendix**

The correct way to encode the digest would be:

```
// TODO: Declare this as immutable.
// Defines the VotingAssetWithSlot struct's typehash
bytes32 VOTING ASSET WITH SLOT TYPEHASH = keccak256(
  'VotingAssetWithSlot(address underlyingAsset,uint128 slot)'
);
// Designate new memory underlyingAssetsWithSlot hashes.
bytes32[] memory underlyingAssetsWithSlotHashes = new bytes32[](
  underlyingAssetsWithSlot.length
);
// Iterate over each underlyingAsset
for (uint256 i = 0; i < votingBalanceProofs.length; ++i) {</pre>
  // Hash the VotingAssetWithSlot and place the result into memory.
  underlyingAssetsWithSlotHashes[i] = keccak256(
    abi.encode(
      VOTING_ASSET_WITH_SLOT_TYPEHASH,
      votingBalanceProofs[i].underlyingAsset,
      votingBalanceProofs[i].slot
    )
  );
}
// Derive and return the order hash as specified by EIP-712.
bytes32 digest = _hashTypedDataV4(
  keccak256(
    abi.encode(
      VOTE_SUBMITTED_TYPEHASH,
      proposalId,
      voter,
      support,
      keccak256(abi.encodePacked(underlyingAssetsWithSlotHashes))
  )
);
```