

Aave V3 sAVAX Verification and Listing Stewards Audit

Scope

The scope of the assessment is the contracts <code>StakedAvax.sol</code> (<code>sAVAX</code>) which was formally verified, <code>sAVAXOracleAdapter.sol</code> , and <code>AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward.sol</code> which were manually audited due to the fact that the implementation involves only calls to function with concrete values. The latter two contracts defines <code>sAvax</code> configuration on the Aave V3 platform on Avalanche. These contracts had 2 security engineers and 1 security researcher reviewing the code in detail.

The verification of the token was finished on the 28th of June, reviewing the deployed contract on avalanche.

The audit was finished on the 15th of June, reviewing commit 1d00da87 of the savax listing steward.

Contracts Overview

As part of our continues formal verification for Aave, we've inspected the savax token for security issues and non-trivial features. You can see the results in our Aave dashboard.

The audited contracts' purpose is configuring sAvax as a collateral token on the Aave V3 platform on the Avalanche network.

Two contracts were audited:

- 1. sAVAX0racleAdapter.sol, which implements an sAvax to USD oracle adapter using two components: 1.1. Avax to USD aggregator see in code.
 - 1.2. sAvax-Avax pool ratio (using the original sAvax pool contract) see in code.

- 2. AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward.sol, which configures sAvax as a traded token on AAVE V3 platform. The contract contains 3 steps:
 - 2.1. Setting a price feed on the AAVE oracle for the sAvax token see in code.
 - 2.2. Creating a new E-mode category on Aave V3 protocol for sAvax and wAvax see in code.
 - 2.3. Listing the token onto Aave V3 protocol and configuring it as a collateral asset see in code.

Audit Goals

During the review of the code, the following checks has been performed:

sAVAXOracleAdapter

- 1. All addresses of external contracts that are being used are matching the existing contracts on the Avalanche network.
- 2. The lastAnswer() method returns a correct representation of 1 sAvax in USD, i.e. the formula returns USD/sAvax.
- 3. The current order of arithmetic operations in lastAnswer() preserves the precision of the oracle's decimals.
- 4. Examining the possibility of price manipulation on the oracle, bearing in mind the xSushi attack. A short description of the attack can be also found on the appendix.

AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward

1. All addresses of external contracts being used match the existing contracts on the Avalanche network.

E-mode Category Checks

- 2. The ID of the new e-mode category is unique, i.e. it is not currently in use.
- 3. Decimals of the e-mode category parameters match the Aave standard for those parameters.
- 4. Which additional tokens are added to the same e-mode category as savax.
- 5. The e-mode parameters' values are properly configured, i.e. better loan conditions than regular loans, and proper relations between the LTV, Threshold and Liquidation bonus.

Correct Setting Of Parameters And Values

- 6. The asset is being added to the system correctly with all the correct InitReserveInput struct values. Additional parameters are being passed in the correct methods and with the right decimals, e.g. SUPPLY_CAP, RESERVE_FACTOR and LIQ_PROTOCOL_FEE.
- 7. The asset is being configured as collateral with proper relations between the LTV, threshold and liquidation bonus.

Privileges

- 8. AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward has the necessary roles to execute listAssetAddingOracle() without reverting.
- 9. The roles are being renounced from the contract at the end.

Related Checks

In the recent past, Certora has verified the original stakedAvax contract deployed by Benqi onto the Avalanche network. In this verification process we verified several properties, including - totalSupply does indeed correctly track the sum of shares in the pool, the preservation of correlation between Avax and sAvax, and more. You can read more about the benqi report here.

Findings And Recommendations

Severity: Low

Issue:	Missing E-mode configuration of wAvax.
Description:	In AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward, wAvax is absent from the configuration of the same e-mode category as sAvax. It is expected that pegged tokens will be in the same e-mode category so they could be traded with improved conditions.
Aave Response:	This issue was fixed in commit ff957ede.

Recommendation

Issue:	Verify that AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward has necessary
	privileges.

Issue:	Verify that AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward has necessary privileges.
Description:	Many function calls in the listing process require the listing contract to have both Asset Listing Admin and Risk Admin roles in order to be successfully executed. It is important to remember granting those roles prior to calling listAssetAddingOracle().
Recommendation:	Add a dedicated require condition at the beginning of listAssetAddingOracle() in order to give a clearer error message in case of such a revert case.

Informational - Non-Standard Behavior:

- 1. The token is puasable.
- 2. The token is mintable

You can see the full result in our AAVE dashboard.

Conclusions

sAVAXOracleAdapter

- 1. All addresses specified in the contract are matching existing relevant contracts on the Avalanche blockchain
- 2. The units of measure in which lastAnswer() returns the data is indeed USD/sAvax. The price feed returns answer in units of USD/AVAX, and getPooledAvaxByShares returns the ratio AVAX/sAVAX.
- 3. The order of operation does preserve the precision of the oracle. By multiplying first and dividing the result, the precision is promised to be preserved.
- 4. The savaxoracleAdapter was inspected bearing in mind the XSushi attack from November 2021. In the case of the savax oracle, the ratio of savax-avax is being taken directly from the savax contract, where the calculation is done correctly, i.e. by tracking the amount of deposited Avax tokens, as opposed to the balance of Avax held by the contract. Moreover, the exchange rate that the oracle retrieves is given in USD/savax, which is the intended unit, and the precision of the Avax-USD oracle is not compromised as a result of the additional calculation in the adapter.

AaveV3SAVAXListingSteward

1. All addresses specified in the contract are matching existing relevant contracts on the Avalanche blockchain

E-mode Category Checks

- 2. E-mode category id 2 is indeed unique and doesn't exist in the platform. Currently the only existing e-mode category is id 1 which represents stablecoins
- 3. The assigned decimals in the contract match the Aave standard.
- 4. savax was configured as the only asset on this category. More on that can be read in the above issue "Missing E-mode configuration of was.".
- 5. The relations between the LTV, Threshold and Liquidation bonus found to be configured reasonably, and the e-mode configuration are indeed improved compared to the regular listing.

Correct Setting Of Parameters And Values

- 6. The asset was being added to the system correctly with all the correct InitReserveInput struct values, and all parameters are being passed in the correct methods and with the right decimals.
- 7. The asset was being configured as collateral with proper relations between the LTV, threshold and liquidation bonus.

Privileges

- 8. The roles to the contract are being given externally. Therefore, the executor should make sure to delegate the necessary privileges before trying to execute.
- 9. At the end of the process the contract renounce it's privileges in a correct manner.

Disclaimer

We hope that this information is useful, but provide no warranty of any kind, explicit or implied. The contents of this report should not be construed as a complete guarantee that the contract is secure in all dimensions. In no event shall Certora or any of its employees be liable for any claim, damages or other liability, whether in an action of contract, tort or otherwise, arising from, out of or in connection with the results reported here.

Appendix

xSushi Attack Description

In the xSushi attack, the ratio between the two tokens - Sushi and xSushi, was calculated as the balance of Sushi that the xSushi contract holds, divided by the total supply of xSushi. \$\$ Sushi-xSushi : ratio = \frac{Sushi.balanceOf(xSushi)}{xSushi.totalSupply()} \$\$ This calculation left a gap for price manipulation where Sushi could have been sent directly to the xSushi contract without minting any xSushi, thereby increasing the value of xSushi according to the Aave oracle adapter.