AXI Project

Prof. William Fornaciari
PhD Davide Zoni
PhD Std Andrea Galimberti

Deliverable:	Report
Title:	Project Report
Authors:	Marco Colombi 10631973 marco3.colombi@mail.polimi.it,
	Giorgio Corbetta 10570080 giorgio1.corbetta@mail.polimi.it,
	Cesare Consonni 10476810 cesare.consonni@mail.polimi.it
Version:	1.0
Date:	23-January-2021
Download page:	CCC-AXI git repo

Contents

1   Introduction

1.1   AXI Protocol

The AMBA AXI protocol supports high-performance, high-frequency system designs for communication between multi masters and multi slaves components. **AXI4** is widely adopted, providing **benefits** to **productivity** (standardization simplifies the work of developers), **flexibility** (there are slightly different protocols, each one of them with their peculiarity), and **availability** (it's an industrial standard, and there is a world wide community that uses and support it).

AXI4-Lite, the procol that we studied, is a **subset of AXI4** for communication with simpler control register style interfaces within components.

Some **key features** of the AXI4-Lite protocol are: **separate address/control and data phases**, support for unaligned data transfers, using byte strobes, **separate read and write data channels**, all **transactions** are of burst **length 1**, all data accesses are non-modifiable, non-bufferable and use the full **width of the data bus** (the supported busses are the ones with width of **32-bit** (in our case) or 64-bit), exclusive accesses are not supported.

One of the features of **AXI4-Lite** is the **Handshake protocol**, that is done **for each payload exchanged and address line** and it ensures the reading of the right values. The signals involved are **valid**, asserted by the sender, when the data is stable and available, and **ready**, asserted by the reciever, when it is able to recieve the information. For more detailed explaination, please see [1, Section-A3.2.1].


Figure 1: AXI4 (**non lite**) read

Figure 2: AXI4 (**non lite**) write

WRITE SIGNALS

AW group

AWADDR	[31:0]	//where the master want to write
AWVALID	[0:0]	//the address line is valid
AWPROT	[2:0]	//access permissions
AWREADY	[0:0]	//the slave is ready to recieve the address

W group

WDATA	[31:0]	//what the master want to write
WSTRB	[3:0]	//which bytes of the WDATA are meaningful
WVALID	[0:0]	//the data line is valid
WREADY	[0:0]	//the slave is ready to recieve the data

B group

BREADY	[0:0]	//the master is ready to recieve the response
BRESP	[1:0]	//slave's response about the operation
BVALID	[0:0]	//the response line is valid

READ SIGNALS

AR group

ARADDR	[31:0]	// where the master want to read
ARVALID	[0:0]	//the address line is valid
ARPROT	[2:0]	//access permissions
ARREADY	[0:0]	//the slave is ready to recieve the address

R group

RREADY	[0:0]	//the master is ready to recieve the data
RDATA	[31:0]	//the data requested by the master
RVALID	[0:0]	//the data line is valid
RRESP	[1:0]	//slave's response about the operation

MASTER controlled

SLAVE controlled

1.2   Project Scope

The scope of the project is to understand **how the AXI4-Lite** communication protocol **works**, and **design by ourselves** an "AXI interconnect" **component** (that we called **CCCAXI**) to **replace** the real one inside the *Arm Cortex-M3 DesignStart FPGA-Xilinx edition* and **observe** its **behaviour** and the **differences** between them.

In our case there is only one master with multiple slaves (we don't need arbitrer) and there is no need for clock gating (because the clock is shared between all components). For more informations, see: [5] [1]

2   Our Design

Here we will explain how we developed our AXI interconnect, first showing how it works as a stand-alone component, and then how we inserted it into the Processor.

2.1   Block Design

In the figure 3 is reported the Block Diagram of the CCCAXI.
What we have implemented is essentially the **crossbar**-related part inside the **original AXI interconnection**: we specifically tailored our component to fit in the ARM Design Start, so **single-master multiple-slaves architecture**, but with few changes the number of slaves can be increased/decreased.
The architecture is divided into **two independent** mirrored **parts**, one for the **write** operation and one for the **read** operation, that are differentiated only by the inner logic of the two FSMs and by the number of wires that they manage. Their core is the **MUX-DEMUX-DECODER** sub-units for the **routing** of the signals and a supporting **FSM for** the handling of **handshakes**.
The MUX / *DEMUX* simply gathers the messages from slaves to master / *from the master to the slaves* and rally them for the master / *redirect them to the correct slave* under the explicit command of the **DE-CODER** that **maps the components** upon their **addresses**.
The FSM is in charge to follow the master in the write/read operations: it tracks the sequence of handshake (address -> data for read and address -> data -> response for write) keeping frozen the MUX / DEMUX states to preserve the connection of the interested parts of the system.
There are 2 types of error that we had considered: the ones raised by the slaves, which merely pass through the inteconnection and the ones raised if the address of the required slave is not mapped. The **FSM** is also a **supervisor** in case of the **errors** in the **addressing**: if the DECODER detects that the address provided by the master is not valid, the FSM will commute to an **error-handling state** and allow the AXI to show an appropriate behaviour.
Here can be found the schemas of the read FSM 5 1 and to the write FSM 4 2.

2.2   Integration and Testing

We preferred to use the same enviroment of **ARM Design Start** respect to the implementation of a fake memory to **test the correctness** of our design: we make this choiche to make a **comparison between the original wave diagram** and the **one produced** with **our AXI** in. Aside from our component, we have had to insert an **ad hoc adapter** to match the **full AXI3** interface exposed by the processor to the **AXI4-Lite** implemented by us.

Current State	Condition to Move	decoderrst	Next State
RESET	1	1	IDLE
IDLE	!decerr && (ARVALID && ARREADY) && !(RVALID && RREADY)	0	ADDR HS
	!decerr && (ARVALID && ARREADY) && (RVALID && RREADY)	0	DATA HS
	RREADY && decerr	0	ERR STATE
ADDR HS	RVALID && RREADY	0	DATA HS
DATA HS	RVALID == 0	1	IDLE
ERR STATE	1	1	IDLE

Table 1: Read FSM Schema. decerr is raised by decoder when address is not mapped

Current State	Condition to Move	decoderrst	Next State
RESET	1	1	IDLE
IDLE	!decerr && (AWVALID && AWREADY) && !(WVALID && WREADY)	0	ADDR HS
	!decerr && (AWVALID && AWREADY) && (WVALID && WREADY)	0	DATA HS
	BREADY && decerr	0	ERR STATE
ADDR HS	WVALID && WREADY	0	DATA HS
DATA HS	WVALID == 0	0	RESP HS
RESP HS	1	1	IDLE
ERR STATE	1	1	IDLE

Table 2: Write FSM Schema. decerr is raised by decoder when address is not mapped

Figure 3: highLevel block diagram

Figure 4: high level write FSM schema

Figure 5: high level read FSM schema

3   Reading The OUTPUT

The **first signals** sent by **Cortex M3** are transmitted to the **uartlite** element. We studied the **meaning** of the **bits** sent on the **tx channel** and how the processor controls them.

3.1   Uart Decoding

The **communication** between Cortex M3 and uartlite is achieved using a **set of reads and writes** on the **same three addresses**: 0x4010_0004, 0x4010_000c (write only) and 0x4010_0008 (read only). While the first 16 bits are the base address for the communication with uartlite (as shown in the address editor of the block design), the last 16 bits define the offset specified in the uartlite's product guide [4, Chapter2.Register Space]. In particular, the offset 0x0004 is used as FIFO queue for the data which should be transmitted on tx channel.

Looking at the documentation [2] and at the IP custumization 6, we can conclude that the **transmission on tx channel** is defined by a **sequence of 8 bits words**, enclosed by the **starting bit 0** and **the ending bit 1**, taken from the address 0x4010_0004 and **read starting from the last significant bit**. Despite what described in the figure 6, we found that the actual baudrate is about 115740 bit/sec (in according to the sampling period used 8.34 us for the tx channel in *tb m3 for arty*).


Figure 6: IP configuration with Baud Rate and bits definition

Figure 7: 0x0d (0000_1101 in binary) in the tx channel waveform with start and end bits

3.2   Decoding Software

After analysing the signals transmitted by the uartlite and after understanding their encoding, we wrote in the **Verilog file** of the testbench some lines of code in order to make **automatic** the **decoding of the output** and, at the same time, write it on a text file.

All the **read bits** are saved in **three register** variables (`start_sig`, `buffer` and `end_sig`, respectively) and cleaned a clock's cycle after being writtten or, for the `end_sig`, at the beginning of the always block using value Z for one bit variable and 0 for the buffer.

The **assigning** and the **checking** of the tx's values occur at the **positive edge** of the `clk_baud`. This is because we noticed that the bit changing is aligned with that clock.

4   Differences

In this section we will analyse the **main differences** between the *AXI interconnect* **inside the Cortex** M3 Design Start and the *CCCAXI connect* module that **we implemented**.

In particular, the **first paragraph** explains how the signals pass through the two AXI and the **different delays** given by them, while the **second one** focuses on some **gating differences** of our implementation, discussing the **pros and cons**.

4.1   Time Differences

Comparing the two implementations and the relative simulations, it is possible to notice that the handshake protocol provided by *CCCAXI connect* is faster than the one of *AXI interconnect*.

This difference is caused by the fact that the *CCCAXI connect* is simpler and designed to run specifically in this enviroment. The *AXI interconnect* must provide various features (for example customize the number of masters/slaves, the arbitration betwen masters, safety, support clock-gating, ...). The difference is conceptually due to a different approach: designing a specific IP or designing a generic one.

The timing difference is due to a different number of intermediate steps that the signal finds in its path and by the nature of those steps; infact, while in *CCCAXI connect* there is simply a muxer/demuxer gating the signals, inside the *AXI interconnect* there are more (and more sophisticated) steps:

Slave Coupler: Used as interface for the Cortex M3, it converts the **AXI3 protocol** of the processor to the **AXI4-lite protocol** of *Xbar* and the peripherals. As a consequence, this conversion introduces a delay into the main signal of both write and read operations (in our implementation the conversion is provided by the component *AXI4lite adapter* which hides a simple wire connection for only the signals used).

Xbar: Its main function is to initialize and manage the communication between a *Slave Coupler* and a *Master Coupler*. Therefore, the delay introduced by it is not only for choosing the right master interface to associate but also to achieve the handshake protocol among the interfaces (in our implementation the handshake protocol is managed by the master and slave themselves). The Figure 8 shows an example of read and write operations of the *Xbar*.

Master Coupler: Like the *Slave Coupler* but without conversion logic, it is used as interface for peripheral.
For more information about the *AXI interconnection* and its components, see [3].

4.2   Signal Propagation

An other **difference** between the two considered modules can be found in the **way** with which the **various signals** on the bus are **propagated**. In particular, the *AXI interconnection* always propagates the data and address signals to all the slaves, while propagates the control signals (the ones involved into the handshake) only to the proper slave. The data read from the slave and its response in case of a write are propagated only from the right slave to the right master. On the other hand, *CCCAXI connect* **propagates each signal only between the interested components**, without any form of broacasting.

A **pro** of our implementation could be the fact that the **addresses** that came as output from the processor are **kept private** and forwarded only to the proper slave: the other components are left detatched from the interaction. This can prevent the possibility from a malicious agent to sniff these sensible data if not explicitly counsulted by the current master. An other positive fact is that our component is **more compliant with the specifications**.

On the **other side**, our choiche **increases the complexity of** the **propagation** of addresses and data, requiring a more complex, power hungry and costly mux/demux. Moreover, as shown in 11, our implementation uses **lot of IOBs**, in such a number that makes it quite unrealistic to be implemented with the given architecture.
However we managed to **fully execute** the program loaded in the memory, **without** raising **any error**, as we observed by the output of the uarlite.


Figure 8: Read and write communication on Xbar with Xbar delays and other latencies (curly arrow to identify the communication's sections)

Figure 9: Write example of the CCCAXI

Figure 10: Write example of the AXI

```
+---------------------------+------+-------+-----------+--------+
|         Site Type         | Used | Fixed | Available |  Util% |
+---------------------------+------+-------+-----------+--------+
| Bonded IOB                | 1066 |     0 |       210 | 507.62 |
| Bonded IPADs              |    0 |     0 |         2 |   0.00 |
| PHY_CONTROL               |    0 |     0 |         6 |   0.00 |
| PHASER_REF                |    0 |     0 |         6 |   0.00 |
| OUT_FIFO                  |    0 |     0 |        24 |   0.00 |
| IN_FIFO                   |    0 |     0 |        24 |   0.00 |
| IDELAYCTRL                |    0 |     0 |         6 |   0.00 |
| IBUFDS                    |    0 |     0 |       202 |   0.00 |
| PHASER_OUT/PHASER_OUT_PHY |    0 |     0 |        24 |   0.00 |
| PHASER_IN/PHASER_IN_PHY   |    0 |     0 |        24 |   0.00 |
| IDELAYE2/IDELAYE2_FINEDELAY |  0 |     0 |       300 |   0.00 |
| ILOGIC                    |    0 |     0 |       210 |   0.00 |
| OLOGIC                    |    0 |     0 |       210 |   0.00 |
+---------------------------+------+-------+-----------+--------+
```

Figure 11: Post syntesis outcome

References

[1] ARM. $IHI0022H_ambaaxi_protocol_spec.pdf$. URL: https://beep.metid.polimi.it/web/
2018-19-embedded-systems-1-william-fornaciari-/documenti-e-media?p_p_id=
20&p_p_lifecycle=0&p_p_state=normal&p_p_mode=view&_20_struts_action=
%2Fdocument_library%2Fview_file_entry&_20_redirect=https%3A%2F%2Fbeep.
metid.polimi.it%2Fweb%2F2018-19-embedded-systems-1-william-fornaciari-
%2Fdocumenti-e-media%3Fp_p_id%3D20%26p_p_lifecycle%3D0%26p_p_state%
3Dnormal%26p_p_mode%3Dview%26_20_entryEnd%3D20%26_20_displayStyle%3Dlist%
26_20_viewEntries%3D1%26_20_viewFolders%3D1%26_20_expandFolder%3D0%26_
20_folderStart%3D0%26_20_action%3DbrowseFolder%26_20_struts_action%3D%
252Fdocument_library%252Fview%26_20_folderEnd%3D20%26_20_entryStart%3D0%26_
20_folderId%3D197422972%26%23p_20&_20_fileEntryId=197423679&#p_20.

[2] Scott Campbell. *Basics of UART communication*. URL: https://www.circuitbasics.com/
basics-uart-communication.

[3] Vivado XIlinx. *AXI Interconnect v2.1 LogiCORE IP Product Guide*. URL: https://www.xilinx.
com/support/documentation/ip_documentation/axi_interconnect/v2_1/pg059-
axi-interconnect.pdf.

[4] Vivado Xilinx. *AXI UART Lite v2.0 LogiCORE IP Product Guide*. URL: https://www.xilinx.
com/support/documentation/ip_documentation/axi_uartlite/v2_0/pg142-axi-
uartlite.pdf.

[5] Vivado Xilinx. *ug1037-vivado-axi-reference-guide.pdf*. URL: https://beep.metid.polimi.
it/web/2018-19-embedded-systems-1-william-fornaciari-/documenti-e-media?p_
p_id=20&p_p_lifecycle=0&p_p_state=normal&p_p_mode=view&_20_struts_action=
%2Fdocument_library%2Fview_file_entry&_20_redirect=https%3A%2F%2Fbeep.
metid.polimi.it%2Fweb%2F2018-19-embedded-systems-1-william-fornaciari-
%2Fdocumenti-e-media%3Fp_p_id%3D20%26p_p_lifecycle%3D0%26p_p_state%
3Dnormal%26p_p_mode%3Dview%26_20_entryEnd%3D20%26_20_displayStyle%3Dlist%
26_20_viewEntries%3D1%26_20_viewFolders%3D1%26_20_expandFolder%3D0%26_
20_folderStart%3D0%26_20_action%3DbrowseFolder%26_20_struts_action%3D%
252Fdocument_library%252Fview%26_20_folderEnd%3D20%26_20_entryStart%3D0%26_
20_folderId%3D197422972%26%23p_20&_20_fileEntryId=197423705&#p_20.