Efficient Graph Kernels for RDF data using Spark

Bernhard Japes¹ and Shinho Kang²

- ¹ Informatik III, Universität Bonn, Germany bernhard.japes@uni-bonn.de
- ² Informatik III, Universität Bonn, Germany TODO

Abstract

In this paper we study the application of graph kernels for RDF data using the popular Apache Spark¹ engine in combination with the SANSA-Stack² data flow utilities. We focus on an implementation of the Intersection Tree Path (ITP) Kernel, published by Gerben Klaas Dirk de Vries and Steven de Rooij in [2], that is based on the concept of constructing a tree for each instance and counting the number of paths in that tree.

TODO: Add further information about implementation and/or results

1 Introduction

The increasing availability of structured data and the rise of the semantic web pose new challenges for machine learning and data mining. As an official standard, the *Resource Description Framework* (RDF) is commonly used to represent those graphs, which led to research on how to use the RDF structure to predict links and labels of instances efficiently. Most of the current approaches to mining structured graph-data focus on specific semantic properties and are individually designed for different problems [4, 3].

Kernels, however, have already been proven to be useful as a much more flexible approach for Pattern Analysis in different areas [5], which resulted in further research on specific graph kernels for RDF. The main drawback of most of these graph kernels, including the state-of-the-art Weisfeiler-Lehman (WL) RDF kernel, is their computation time as shown in [1]. In [2] Gerben Klaas Dirk de Vries and Steven de Rooij present a Fast and Simple Graph Kernel for RDF with just a slightly worse prediction performance than the WL graph kernel, but the huge upside of being 10 times faster in practice. Their idea of a fast and simple, but scalable kernel also seems to be promising for big data applications. However several adaptions of their algorithm are required to ensure consistent computations on distributed data sets using the Apache Spark engine.

2 Approach

The graph kernel presented in [2] is based on the idea that instances are represented by their subgraphs. This assumption implies that we should be able to explicitly compute a feature vector for each instance by constructing a tree starting from the instance vertex, up to a certain depth d and counting the paths. Now taking the dot product of two feature vectors is essentially the intersection of both trees. The original pseudo-code for the so called Intersection Tree Path Kernel (ITP) is given in Algorithm 1.

¹http://spark.apache.org

²http://www.sansa-stack.net

Algorithm 1: The Intersection Tree Path (ITP) Kernel as introduced in [2] **Data**: a set of RDF triples R, a set of instances I and a max depth d_{max}

```
Result: a set of feature vectors F corresponding to the instances I
Comment: pathMap is a global map between paths of vertices and edges and integers
- set pathIdx = 1
- for each i \in I
   - create a new feature vector fv
   - do processVertex(i, i, [], fv, d_{max})
   - add fv to F
function processVertex(v, root, path, fv, d)
   - if d=0, return
   - for each (v, p, o) \in R
       - if o is root, set path = [path, p, rootID]
       - else, path = [path, p, o]
       - if pathMap(path) is undiffied
           - set pathMap(path) = pathIdx - setpathIdx = pathIdx + 1
       - set fv(pathMap(path)) = fv(pathMap(path)) + 1
       - do processVertex(o, root, path, fv, d-1)
```

This algorithm can be directly applied to small and medium size datasets. However, big datasets are commonly distributed on different nodes as a *Resilient Distributed Dataset* (RDD), or *DataFrame* (DF) [6] and should be processed in parallel by using frameworks like Spark and SANSA. One main aspect of the ITP kernel is the iterative construction of paths and the associated *pathMap* that assigns a unique integer to each path. To optimize the performance on distributed data we want to avoid this iterative and not parallelized construction if possible.

This can be achieved by using a different representation of the constructed trees as shown in algorithm 2. To keep track of the different $Uniform\ Resource\ Identifiers\ (URIs)$ of subjects and objects during the parallelized construction of trees, we start off by mapping these URIs iteratively to integers. Furthermore we map the instances to their respective label and transform the TripleRDD, generated by using the SANSA RDF utilities, to a DataFrame. Construction of the paths of trees is implemented as a series of SQL queries on DataFrames utilizing the inherent structure. Instead of representing each path as an integer on a pathMap as in the ITP kernel, we construct each path in pathDF as a String containing the predicates and objects respectively subjects.

Based on all the paths created and stored in pathDF we can now compute the trees by aggregating paths and collecting them as an Array[String] for each subject. Now those Array[String] can not only be interpreted as a tree in form of a list of paths, but also as a regular text document using paths as a vocabulary. In doing so we can make use of the Spark ML CountVectorizer that is designed to convert a collection of text documents to vectors of token counts, extracting the vocabulary in form of a sparse representation. This basically replaces the pathMap with the huge upside of performing parallelized on distributed data.

The Array[String] of each subject is transformed to a sparse vector containing information which paths are part of the tree with a consistent mapping for all subjects covering all the occurring paths. These sparse vectors can be used as feature vectors for different machine

Algorithm 2: The RDFFastTreeGraphKernel

Data: a TripleRDD R, an instance DF I and a max depth d_{max} **Result**: a set of feature vectors F corresponding to the instances I

Initialization:

- map subjects and objects in R and I to unique integers
- map instances in I to their label
- transform R into a DF T with columns (s, p, o) for subject, predicate and object

Construct the paths of trees using SQL-Queries:

- create a new pathDF with columns (s, path, o), where path is the concatenation of p and o based on T
- for depth d in $[2, d_{max}]$:
 - create an empty DF_d with columns (s, path, o)
 - find rows $r_s = (s_s, path_s, o_s)$ in the pathDF where o_s is the subject s_o of another row $r_o = (s_o, path_o, o_o)$
 - add these rows to DF_d as $(s, path, o) = (s_s, path_s + path_o, o_o)$
- add all the DF_d to the pathDF

Construct the feature vectors:

- drop the column o
- aggregate the rows of pathDF for each s and collect all the path as a list in a new column paths of type Array[String]
- use the $Spark\ ML\ CountVectorizer$ to transform this set of Array [String] to sparse feature vectors per subject

3 Implementation

4 Evaluation

5 Conclusion

- 5.1 Project timeline
- 5.2 Further ideas

References

- [1] Gerben K. D. de Vries. A Fast Approximation of the Weisfeiler-Lehman Graph Kernel for RDF Data, pages 606–621. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [2] Gerben Klaas Dirk De Vries and Steven De Rooij. A fast and simple graph kernel for rdf. In *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Data Mining on Linked Data Volume 1082*, DMoLD'13, pages 23–34, Aachen, Germany, Germany, 2013. CEUR-WS.org.

- [3] Yi Huang, Volker Tresp, Markus Bundschus, Achim Rettinger, and Hans-Peter Kriegel. *Multivariate Prediction for Learning on the Semantic Web*, pages 92–104. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [4] Achim Rettinger, Matthias Nickles, and Volker Tresp. Statistical Relational Learning with Formal Ontologies, pages 286–301. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [5] John Shawe-Taylor and Nello Cristianini. Kernel Methods for Pattern Analysis. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2004.
- [6] Matei Zaharia, Mosharaf Chowdhury, Tathagata Das, Ankur Dave, Justin Ma, Murphy McCauly, Michael J. Franklin, Scott Shenker, and Ion Stoica. Resilient distributed datasets: A fault-tolerant abstraction for in-memory cluster computing. In Presented as part of the 9th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 12), pages 15–28, San Jose, CA, 2012. USENIX.