

yod: A library for language generation

Daniel James

April 2017

Chapter 1

Introduction

yod is a library designed to aid in the construction and generation of artificial languages. Artificial languages (also known as constructed languages or 'conlangs') are probably most publicly well known through the popularisation of 'fictional languages' - that is, languages designed for use in works of fiction, usually for adding depth to a fictional world. For example, J. R. R. Tolkien devised the languages of *Sindarin* and *Quenya* for use in his *Lord of the Rings* series. Other popular fictional languages that have reached mainstream awareness include *Star Trek's Klingon* and *Game of Thrones' Dothraki*.

The creation of these languages has become an indispensable tool for writers to add depth and character to their worlds. However, constructed languages do not exist solely for creative uses. The language *Esperanto* was developed by its creator L. L. Zamenhof with the goal of being a global language that was easy for people to learn[1]. Now it is reported that as many as 63,000 people worldwide can speak Esperanto to some degree[2]. The existence of Esperanto and other so-called "international auxiliary languages" (languages designed to simplify communication between people of different countries and cultures) shows that the usefulness of constructed languages extends into real-world applications as well as artistic uses.

yod was developed with a focus on artistic languages, and so the goal was to generate a large variety of languages which could suit many different worlds and fictional civilisations. However, due to the hierarchy of rules *yod* uses to build languages, the languages are regular, in contrast to most natural languages, which means generated languages with certain features could be treated as auxiliary languages too.

talk about
structure

Chapter 2

Building Words

The 'naïve' method of building words is by taking an alphabet (for example, the Latin alphabet) and concatenating random characters until the sequence reaches a random length between a minimum and maximum. For example, given $min = 3$ and $max = 8$ we can generate the following example text:

Figure 2.1: Random letters from the Latin alphabet

```
tqk qzsyjla msmnix jvxx wug sysrh cuepg snyow ptjo bcek  
arjdubw pfwpt nabgzk jmq taphh zewll dmpr uvpmx sfpfk uuo  
bdm vnjbq hahuj wstq kohvma irn fott axdut rlgg tawz wsol  
wigom psqwd tnv vlzgt lbcikk bof msmyg zkggubb veht ukaznqn  
ixp rppfj eqllnko uyyp aot uowtn icv fgypx cenawnk hypq  
rruh eosgrf wmakeg hhweua gnbfh mkpzi ebtwbv cjwrxw ucky  
kqezcm ucme wmrk khsya llzbeqw uxwivpp pbao gkzu pda txdp  
iwl gkmfqn uxeupe atjxy vyul
```

Several problems can be immediately seen with this generated text. First, many of the words are difficult to pronounce and unrealistic with regards to their consonant clusters - for example, words like `jvxx` and `rppfj` are unlikely to exist in any natural languages. Generating words in this manner will also not produce very much variation in languages, as each letter has an equal probability to be picked.

We also quickly run into the problem of representing language in text. Written languages are based on spoken languages, so generating a written language first without basing it on a spoken one will lead to an unrealistic language. Furthermore, it is hard to say how our generated words are pronounced - one can apply English pronunciations to some of the words (for example `tawz` becomes /tɔːz/ and `axdut` becomes /'æks.dʌt/), but this results in a very Anglo-centric phonology, as we are biased to only use phonemes that exist in our own language

cite

while pronouncing unknown words.

Because of these problems, it is obvious that merely stringing together random characters with no thought towards pronunciation is insufficient when it comes to creating realistic and varied languages. Therefore it is necessary to first create a phonology on which to base all of our language’s words.

2.1 Phonology

In its simplest form, a phonology is a list of every sound that is included in a language. English phonology, for example, contains around 24 consonants (with more or less depending on dialect) and anywhere between 7 and 14 vowels, again depending heavily on dialect. The phonology for the ‘Received Pronunciation’ dialect of English can be seen in 2.1 and 2.2.

cite (WALS)

Table 2.1: Consonant inventory in English phonology

		Labial	Dental, Alveolar	Post-alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Nasal		m	n			ŋ	
Plosive, Affricate		p / b	t / d	tʃ / dʒ		k / g	
Fricative	Sibilant		s / z	ʃ / ʒ			
	Non-sibilant	f / v	θ / ð			x	h
Approximant			l	r	j	w	

Table 2.2: Vowel inventory in English phonology (Received Pronunciation)

	Front	Central	Back
Close	i / ɪ		u / ʊ
Mid	e	ɜ / ə	ɔ
Open	æ	ʌ	ɑ / ɒ

Other languages have different phonemic inventories, with varying numbers of consonants and vowels. Some, for example, have as few as 3 vowels, or as many as 84 or more consonants, depending on the method of counting[3]. A very large factor in the variety of languages generated is the phonology, as it restricts the type of sounds which often has a profound impact on how it is perceived. Therefore the first step towards a completed language should be a randomly generated, unique phonology.

The International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) is an alphabet created by the International Phonetic Association for phonetic representation of speech and language[4]. It contains (or aims to contain) distinct symbols for each unique sound possible to create that is part of a language. As such, it provides a perfect way to convey the “end result” of generation process, since it can describe precisely how every word in the language is pronounced. The IPA also includes

Figure 2.3: Shared properties of phonemes

- IPA symbol representation
- Sonority

of creating words. The problems just discussed are largely more to do with this word creation process, whereas there is still some work to do to improve the selection of individual phonemes for the phonology.

The first step is to create a distinction between the two different types of phonemes: consonants and vowels. Consonants and vowels have very different features, and play very different roles in the formation of words. Thus the phonology's set of consonants should be generated separately from its set of vowels.

Both consonants and vowels share the common features of phonemes shown in figure 2.3. Sonority is a numerical representation of how loud or sonorous the phoneme. Each phoneme also has a representative symbol in the International Phonetic Alphabet so they can be easily transcribed.

In addition to these shared properties, consonants also have the extra features shown in figure 2.4.

Figure 2.4: Unique properties of consonants

- Place of articulation
- Manner of articulation
- Phonation/Voicing
- Gemination

The place of articulation refers to the part of the vocal track that creates an obstruction of airflow. The manner of articulation describes *how* this obstruction affects the airflow - for example, "stops" or "plosives" completely block airflow, whereas "sibilants" and "fricatives" merely result in a turbulent airflow. The phonation of a consonant refers to whether, in producing the sound, the larynx affects the airflow through vibration of the vocal folds. Geminate consonants are consonants which are pronounced for a longer period of time, contrasting the usual shorter consonants. In 'stops' this is realised as a delayed release of the consonant, whereas other consonants with other types of articulation are merely prolonged.

Similarly to consonants, vowels can be distinguished by the features in figure 2.5.

Figure 2.5: Unique properties of vowels

1. Height
2. Backness
3. Roundedness
4. Length

A vowels characteristics are formed from the position of the tongue in the mouth and the rounding of the lips. The height of a vowel refers to the height of the tongue, and the backness is the forward/back position of the tongue. Roundedness is the state of the lips in pronouncing the vowel - either rounded or unrounded. This unrounded/rounded distinction can be seen in the difference between the two open mid-back vowels /ʌ/ and /ɔ/ (compare *shut* /ʃʌt/ and *short* /ʃɔ:t/). The word *short* /ʃɔ:t/ also shows a good example of a long vowel (although there is no long/short vowel distinction in most English dialects).

cite?

In fact, both height and backness are defined by the formant frequency of the voice, which are produced by the tongue's position. A spoken vowel can be represented with two formants $F1 = \text{height}$ and $F2 = \text{backness}$. Roundness also has an effect on these formants, usually being seen as a decrease in $F2$ as well as a small decrease in $F1$.

It can be seen that both vowels and consonants have properties which relate to their length; these being consonants' gemination and vowels' length. Due to the similarities between these properties, they can be abstracted into the set of base phoneme properties as a 'Length' property. Thus we end up with our final set of properties being as follows:

Figure 2.6: Shared properties of phonemes, with length

- IPA symbol representation
- Sonority
- Length

This arrangement of properties lends itself extremely well to a simple data structure in C#. Consonants and vowels both translate trivially to classes, with their respective properties existing as enum members in those classes. For example, an enum `PlaceOfArticulation` can be created to represent the place of articulation of a consonants which would contain the set of values `Bilabial`, `Labiodental`, `Lingualabial`, `Dental` etc. Both the `Consonant` and `Vowel` classes are subclasses of a more general, abstract `Phoneme` class which contains only

the properties that are shared between both of them. This allows the entire International Phonetic alphabet to be represented in code as a set of **Phonemes**.

2.2 Syllables

2.3 Stress and Long/Geminate Phonemes

2.4 Words

Chapter 3

Orthography

Chapter 4

Grammar

4.1 Lexicon

4.2 Phrase Structure Grammar

Bibliography

- [1] L. L. Zamenhof. Dr. Esperanto's International Language. 1887.
- [2] Svend Nielsen. Per-country rates of Esperanto speakers. 2016. URL: <https://svendvnielsen.wordpress.com/2016/12/10/percountry-rates-of-esperanto-speakers/>.
- [3] Georges Dumézil and Tefvik Esenç. Le verbe oubykh: études descriptives et comparatives. 1975.
- [4] International Phonetic Association. Handbook of the International Phonetic Association. 1999.