

Analysis of electric vehicle usage patterns in New Zealand

Statistical Report

Rafferty Parker and Ben Anderson (University of Otago)

Last run at: 2019-03-22 16:26:10

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Data information	2
2.1	Background	2
2.2	Initial cleaning	2
2.3	Definitions and preparation	2
3	Key Findings:	5
4	Observed demand	5
5	Daily demand	8
6	Charging duration	8
7	State of charge	11
8	Time charging begins	11
9	Implications to the electricity grid	16
10	Summary	16
11	References	19

1 Introduction

The New Zealand government has set a target of increasing the number of EVs in New Zealand to 64,000 by 2021[@TranspowerNewZealand2017]. High penetration of EVs would cause EV recharging to contribute a substantial portion of total electricity load. A report prepared for lines companies Orion, Powerco and Unison by Concept Consulting Group entitled “Driving change - Issues and options to maximise the opportunities from large-scale electric vehicle uptake in New Zealand” predicts that if all current light private vehicles were electric, annual residential electricity consumption would increase by approximately 30%, whereas if all vehicles including trucks were electric, this would increase the total electricity consumption of New Zealand by approximately 41%[@ConceptConsulting2018].

New Zealand’s total electricity demand varies throughout the day, with weekdays in particular having two distinct “peaks”; one in the morning, and one in the evening[@TranspowerNZ2015]. Providing the electricity to meet these demand peaks is a costly and inefficient process[@Kahn2018]. Concurrent electric vehicle (EV) charging, especially in the early evening when many motorists return home, would have the potential to negatively impact the operation of the grid through drastically increasing peak loads [Azadfar2015],

leading to an increased cost of electricity due to the requirement of expensive upgrades to the electricity grid[@stephenson_smart_2017].

The Concept Consulting report considers different methods of EV charging in its models. The assumption that most drivers would begin charging immediately after returning home is referred to as “passive” charging, while charging that is programmed (either by the driver or by an external entity) to occur during off-peak periods is referred to as “smart”. The key findings of the Concept Consulting report are as follows.

Under a scenario whereby 57% of the current private vehicle fleet were EVs (corresponding to one EV per household): * If all were charged in a passive fashion, New Zealand’s peak electricity demand would increase by approximately 3,000MW * If all were charged in a “smart” fashion, there would be no increase in peak demand

This report extends the work done by Concept Consulting, but utilises actual data collected from electric vehicles, as opposed to using models based on current usage patterns of internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles. The intention of the report is to provide further insight into the potential effects on the New Zealand electricity grid that may occur with a dramatic increase in EVs, so that these may be planned for and mitigated. It is also inspired by the UK DoT statistical report 2018.

To provide these insights we focus on * Observed demand * Daily demand * Charging duration * State of charge * Time charging begins

2 Data information

2.1 Background

The data used has been provided by “Flip the Fleet”, a community organisation that hopes to increase uptake of electric vehicles in New Zealand. Flip the Fleet have been collecting data on electric vehicle usage patterns, collected using Exact IOT Limited’s blackbox recorder, a small electronic device that connects to the vehicle’s internal computer and sends detailed data about the battery health, consumption, speed, etc.

The data used consisted of 1291881 data points from 44 vehicles over 8 months (April 2018 - January 2019). The recorder provided measurements at 1 minute frequency of charging power and battery charge state.

Due to privacy considerations, the data is not publicly available.

2.2 Initial cleaning

There were 6 additional vehicles in the data provided that had no recorded charging occur. These were immediately discarded leaving 44 remaining vehicles.

Some instances of charging power greater than 120kW were recorded. These were considered anomalies and discarded, as these exceed the capacity of the highest charging stations available in New Zealand[@ConceptConsulting2018].

Instances of battery state of charge being greater than 100% or less than 0% were also discarded.

2.3 Definitions and preparation

Charging data has been broadly separated into two separate categories, “standard” and “fast”. Standard charging is when the charger is reading less than 7kW - this is considered the upper limit of what can be obtained from a standard home charging scenario without an expensive wiring upgrade[@ConceptConsulting2018]. Fast charging is all charging above 7kW, and would likely occur at designated and purpose-built fast charging stations.




Figure 1: Duration of charging sequences

The data was also categorised according to whether it was a weekday or not. This allows analysis to occur of differing charging patterns between weekdays and weekends, allowing for further accuracy in determining the effects of electric vehicles on grid peaks.

In order to determine charging durations, rows were initially flagged as “charging begins” if the charging power was greater than zero and the previous and following row’s charging power were (respectively) equal to zero and greater than zero. Similarly, rows were flagged as “charge ends” if the charging power was greater than zero and the previous and following row’s charging power were (respectively) greater than zero and equal to zero.

Using this method we obtained 7376 instances of charge beginning, and 7385 instances of charge ending. The additional 9 instances of the charge ending than there are of the charge beginning may be due to the first instance of data collection occurring during mid-charge for some vehicles.

The charge duration was then calculated as being the time duration between each pair of “charge begins” and “charge ends” flags.

Figure 1 shows the overall distribution of all charging sequences. Clearly there are very small and a few very large values for both charging types.

Table 1 shows the overall distributions and indicates the extent to which the means are skewed by the very small and a few very large values shown in Figure 1.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of very short charging sequences. As we can see these appear to be generally less than 8 minutes in length for Standard Charges.

Table 2 shows the same descriptive statistics but for all sequences of greater than 8 minute duration. Now we can see that the mean and median durations for Standard Charge sequences are closer to one another.




Figure 2: Duration of charging sequences < 10 minutes

Table 1: Duration of all charge sequences by charge type (minutes)

chargeType	N	mean	median	min	max
Standard charging	6983	101.24	3.72	0.27	1616.72
Fast charging	392	38.00	12.48	0.32	8621.00

Table 2: Duration of charge sequences > 8 minutes by charge type (minutes,)

chargeType	N	mean	median	min	max
Standard charging	2860	244.01	208.65	8.02	1616.72
Fast charging	279	51.61	15.73	8.05	8621.00

Manual inspection of the data showed that these short-duration charging “events” generally occurred near the end of a longer-duration charging event. It appeared that once the vehicle had reached its highest state of charge, charging would intermittently stop and start again. This is likely due to the behaviour of the charger once the battery was almost full. As these can not be considered truly independent charging events, they have been removed from the data for the analyses in Sections 3 and 6.

In addition to the myriad “small” charging duration values, a small amount of unreasonably long charging durations (longer than 100 hours for standard charging or longer than 14 hours for fast charging) were calculated. As these exceeded the expected charge durations of the most high capacity vehicles currently available, they were assumed to be anomalies and are not included in the analyses in Sections 3 and 6.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of charging sequences with the excessively long or short events removed. These charging durations appear more reasonable when considering standard battery capacities and charging powers.

```
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
## Saving 6.5 x 4.5 in image
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
```

3 Key Findings:

- *Power supplied:* The median power supplied during a standard charging event was 1.78 kW. The mean was slightly higher at 2.12 kW. Fast charging observations had a median of 30.84 kW (mean = 30.68kW);
- *Charging duration:* Charging durations tended to fall into one of two groups. Longer ‘standard’ charges had a median duration of 0.06 hours and a mean duration of 1.69 hours. High power “fast” charge events had a median duration of 12.47 minutes and a mean duration of 13.87 minutes.
- *Time of Day:* Standard charging events tended to begin around 10pm, suggesting the drivers in our dataset utilise timers to take advantage of off-peak electricity. Fast charging events tended to begin at 11:30am on weekdays and 1pm during weekends.

4 Observed demand

Figure 4 shows the distribution of observed charging kW demand by inferred charge type. This plot shows that fast charges are relatively rare in the dataset whilst standard charges are much more common, and are concentrated around 1.8kW, 3kW and 6kW.

```
## `stat_bin()` using `bins = 30`. Pick better value with `binwidth`.
```

75% of standard charging observations were 1.47 kW or more but the figure was 20.28 kW or more for fast charging




Figure 3: Duration of charging sequences with unreasonably long or short values removed




Figure 4: Observed power demand distribution by charge type where charging observed




Figure 5: Observed power demand distribution by day of the week and charge type

Table 3: Mean duration of charge events by charge type

chargeType	N	mean	median	min	max
Standard charging	2860	244.00682	208.65000	8.016667	1616.717
Fast charging	279	51.61231	15.73333	8.050000	8621.000

5 Daily demand

Figure 5 shows the distribution of observed charging kW demand by day of the week. We can see that fast charging varies somewhat in daily demand, with weekends having a slightly lower demand than weekdays, while standard charging is relatively constant across days.

6 Charging duration

Figures 6 and 7 show that the duration of standard charging events by event end time drops significantly for events beginning ending 9:45am. This may indicate that people are plugging in after returning home from a school run or other morning activity, even though the battery is still close to full capacity. It may also suggest that those who plug in shortly after 9:45am but do not have a high battery state of charge are only “topping up”, and take the vehicle out again before charging is fully complete. Duration of fast charge events by event start time appear to be more randomly distributed, although very few events were recorded between midnight and 7am. This, along with the comparatively low number of recorded fast charge events indicated in Fig. 4 suggests that drivers utilize fast charging only “as necessary” to ensure they have enough battery capacity to complete their journey.




Figure 6: Duration by time of charging start




Figure 7: Mean duration (within quarter hours) by time of charging end for sequences > 8 minutes




Figure 8: Value of state of charge at beginning of charge

7 State of charge

```
## Saving 6.5 x 4.5 in image
```

As can be seen in Figure 8, using the originally defined “charge begins” data we have the majority of charges beginning while the state of charge is above 90%. This is likely due to the manner in which the charger regularly turns off and on again near the end of the charging cycle as described in Section 2.3.

Figure 9 shows the state of charge values when charge begins but with state of charge greater than 90% removed from the data. The figure shows that many vehicles begin charging despite having greater than 50% charge remaining.

```
## Saving 6.5 x 4.5 in image
```

8 Time charging begins

After filtering out any data whereby charging begins while the state of charge is greater than 90% to account for battery ‘top-ups’ (refer to Section 7) we obtain the following figures.

```
## Picking joint bandwidth of 6060
```

```
## <ggproto object: Class FacetGrid, Facet, gg>
##   compute_layout: function
##   draw_back: function
```




Figure 9: Value of state of charge at beginning of charge (>90% values removed)




Figure 10: Time charging begins




Figure 11: Density plot of charging start times during weekdays

```
## draw_front: function
## draw_labels: function
## draw_panels: function
## finish_data: function
## init_scales: function
## map_data: function
## params: list
## setup_data: function
## setup_params: function
## shrink: TRUE
## train_scales: function
## vars: function
## super: <ggproto object: Class FacetGrid, Facet, gg>
```

```
## Saving 6.5 x 4.5 in image
```

```
## Saving 6.5 x 4.5 in image
```

Standard charging has a noticeably different profile to charging patterns for fast charges. It suggests that it is common for plug-in vehicle owners to charge overnight at home, and perhaps use the more powerful public chargepoints to top up during the day.

Standard charging events most commonly began around 10pm during both weekdays and weekends. As it seems unlikely that this is due to vehicle drivers returning home at this hour, this effect may be due to drivers setting the charger on a timer to take advantage of cheaper “off-peak” electricity times, which frequently begin around 10pm.




Figure 12: Density plot of charging start times during weekends




Figure 13: Count of observed charging events by type, day of week and time

Fast charging events tended to begin at 11:30am on weekdays and 1pm during weekends.

Figure 13 shows the distribution of observed charging by time of day and day of the week. Aggregating counts in this way emphasises the times at which charging most commonly occurs and we can see...

Charging demand varies somewhat by time of day and day of the week. Weekdays show ... whilst weekends show. Saturdays and Sundays vary with...

9 Implications to the electricity grid

Under the assumption that later adopters of EVs follow the same charging patterns as those of our data sample, we can make the following predictions for an uptake of one EV per NZ household (1,729,300): *
Total electricity demand:

10 Summary

Figure 9 shows that many vehicles arrive home with greater than 50% charge remaining. This indicates that charging may be delayed until early the following morning (during low aggregate electricity demand) while providing enough “back up” state of charge to allow for small evening trips if necessary. Alternatively, the battery may be able to transfer energy to the home during the evening grid peak as a form of demand response.




Figure 14: Boxplot of daily standard charging demand




Figure 15: Boxplot of daily fast charging demand

11 References